POCLAFAT

CG 002 789 ED 023 116

Modification of the School Environment through Intervention with Significant Adults Final Report Chico State Coll., Calif. Western Regional Center of the Interprofessional Research Commission on Pupil Personnel Services, IRCOPPS.

Spons Agency-National Inst. of Mental Health (DHEW), Bethesda, Md.

Pub Date Jul 68

Note-165p., Monograph #7.

EDRS Price MF -\$075 HC -\$835

Descriptors - *Achievement, *Behavior Change, *Family Counseling, Group Counseling, Parent Participation,

Research Projects, *Student Behavior

This research was based on a counseling model of prevention through attempts to influence the behaviors of significant adults. Group counseling was offered to parents of first-, seventh-, and ninth-grade children during the first year of the project. During the second year group meetings for teachers were conducted. Previous monographs have reported data collected on attitude changes of the participants. This monograph discusses the project's impact on the behavior of children. Significant differences in achievement and school behavior between children of participants and nonparticipants were found. The children of participants had higher achievement tests scores, fewer school absences, and fewer behavior referrals. Correlations between parent and counselor perceptions of the experience and child behavior presented a complex picture. Not all favorable perceptions correlated with high achievement as expected. Implications of this model for further research are discussed. (NS)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

RESEARCH EPROJECT

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.



MODIFICATION OF THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT THROUGH INTERVENTION WITH SIGNIFICANT ADULTS

Monograph #7
Merville C. Shaw and William H. Rector

Π	WESTERN REGIONAL CENTER	
	WESTERN REGIONAL CENTER OF THE INTERPROFESSIONAL RESEARCH	9
	COMMISSION ON PUPIL PERSONNEL SERVICES	5.7
	CHICO STATE COLLEGE	2
	CHICO, CALIFORNIA	
		i

CG 002 789



Modification of the School Environment through Intervention with Significant Adults

Merville C. Shaw, Director

and

William H. Rector, Associate Director

Final Report of

Western Regional Center of

the Interprofessional Research Commission on

Pupil Personnel Services

MH 14763-06

Chico State College Chico, California

July, 1968

Preface

Six previous monographs published by the Western Regional Center of the Interprofessional Research Commission on Pupil Personnel Services have delineated the model of pupil services which this Center has tested, cutlined the research procedures and design utilized by this Center an α reported on the feasibility of the approach, the responses of those who participated and the relationships between these responses and certain behavioral data, particularly that relating to the participation of parents, teachers and counselors in the group counseling process which was utilized. The purpose of this monograph is to report on the impact which procedures utilized by this Center had on children. Children are, of course, the ultimate focus of any program of pupil services. There have been few attempts to demonstrate that pupil services do, in fact, have any impact on the lives of children, or that children have benefitted from such programs. It is usually assumed that the good intentions of pupil personnel specialists must inevitably result in positive outcomes insofar as children are concerned. There is some reason to doubt that this faith is justified. Those studies reported in the literature suffer from serious deficiencies of conceptualization and design, but reading between the lines indicates that such outcomes are almost uniformly negative or, at best, inconclusive.

This monograph, which will serve as the final report of this project, will focus on the impact which the model testing had on children. The reader should remember that this study was conducted in real school situations. No new or different personnel were introduced; rather,



available and interested personnel were utilized in carrying out the various phases of this research.

It is the hope of project staff that this study will stimulate other studies of different models of pupil services and that further and more intensive testing of the model under study here will come about. We remain firmly convinced that the prevalent service station approach to the provision of pupil personnel services will not return to children the benefits which could accrue from the utilization of sound theoretical models as the basis for developing such services.

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

Acknowledgements

The project which is summarized in the following pages represents five years of work on the part of the staff of the Western Regional Center of the Interprofessional Research Commission on Pupil Personnel Services. The project involved, at one time or another, as many as eleven different school districts. Some of these districts participated in the standardization of instruments utilized in the study, while others were active in the testing of the model for pupil services designed by the project staff.

In addition to the normal turnover which occurs in any research organization, the staff of the Western Regional Center underwent a major personnel shift when it moved from the campus of UCLA to the campus of Chico State College. Only the Director and the Associate Director of the project made this change. For these reasons, the list of people to whom specific credit for participation must be given is necessarily a long one. In order to make acknowledgements where they are due, the following pages list the names of active participants in the project under the heading of their organizational affiliation.

In the beginning phases of the research when attempts were being made to locate districts which would be interested in participating in a unique and, at that time, far-out research project, both Dr. Harry Smallenburg, Director of Research and Guidance for the Los Angeles County Schools and Dr. Kenneth Weisbrod, now Associate Dean of Students at Long Beach State College, were both helpful and generous in providing assistance.

Following the location of research sites, certain individuals in



administrative positions within these districts were particularly helpful in smoothing the way and in making it possible to conduct the research. In Albuquerque these individuals included Dr. Stanley Caplan,
Assistant Superintendent for Pupil Personnel Services and his successor,
Dr. D. H. Watson. In addition, Dr. B. B. Patten, Director of Guidance,
and Mrs. Camille McRae, Guidance Consultant, were involved in the project over and above any reasonable requirements of their job.

In Los Angeles Dr. Donald Kincaid, Director of Elementary School

Guidance Services, performed an invaluable facilitative and liaison

function, as did the late Ernest Duckworth, Director of Pupil Personnel

Services in the Alvord Unified School District,

Special mention should be made of Dr. John Tuel, first Associate Director of the project. His expenditure of effort and great attention to detail, as well as his assistance in the training and supervision of participating consultants, were invaluable ingredients in the ultimate success of the research.

Dr. Andy Adams of the Los Angeles Elementary Schools performed an invaluable service for the project and for the profession in general in volunteering to serve as the counselor in the parent group counseling films which now are available through the Psychological Cinema Register at Penn State University.

Dr. Clarence Mahler, Chairman of the Psychology Department at Chico Sters College, had a primary responsibility in the training of project consultants as parent and teacher group counselors. In addition, he provided invaluable assistance in certain aspects of the development of instruments utilized in the data collection process.

Sincere thanks are due also to Dr. Walter Waetjen, first General Director of IRCOPPS and now Executive Vice President of the University of Maryland, and to his successor, Dr. Gordon Liddle, for their assistance in providing liaison between Western Regional Center and the Commission, as well as for their efforts to provide fiscal backing for the project.

Special thanks are due to individuals who performed invaluable services related to the development of instruments utilized by the project in the assessment of outcomes. These individuals include Mr. Harry Larson, Director of Pupil Services, Antioch Unified School District; Mr. Richard Nunes, Director of Pupil Services of the Chico Unified School District; Mr. Martin Larens, Counselor at the Gridley High School; Mr. Gael Kauffman, Counselor at Las Plumas High School and Mrs. Edith Kunkel, Counselor at Oroville High School.

The Health Sciences Computing Facility, the Western Data Processing Center at UCLA and the Computer Center at Chico State College under the direction of Prof. William Lane provided the data analysis without which the project could not have been concluded.

There are, unfortunately, many others who should be specifically mentioned in this section but space does not permit. We hope that they will understand and forgive this necessary oversight.

MCS and WHR

T

44

Albuquerque Schools

Nick Abeyta
Vada Allen
Helen Andrews
John Baca
Betsey Bounds
Ruth Caplan
Esther Chavez
Evelyn Curb
Helen Dean
Lucy Dobkins
Geraldine Drake

Ross Easterling
Adeline Golkowski
Wynn Grisham
Dessa Halasz
John Hanzel
Betty Harrison
Bettie Jones
Jack Kaemper
Dale Kerby
Camille McRae
Helen Mills

Joyce Moeller
Agnes Moloney
Clara Morris
Julianne Papcsy
Grace Richardson
Paul Rost
Edward Saiers
Anthony Sanchez
Jay Thibert
Frances Tuthill
Ray Veseth

Alvord Schools

Joseph Didier Ernest Duckworth

Robert Duff June Foreman Fred Heslet

Jack Kaeppel Loring Snook

Azusa Schools

Joyce Brown

Willis Kleinsasser Warren Rich

Donald Welch

Los Angeles Schools

Andrew Adams Katsumi Arimoto Ruth Caughlin Sylvia Ehrlich Alfred Foster Jack Gold Fay Grassi

Enid Lilley Meyer Marx Irwin Sobel

Palm Springs Schools

Clara Alcorn Greta Baldwin

UCLA RESEARCH CENTER STAFF

Sandra Benay	Deborah Frisch	Rodney Pickup
	Adrianne Garfinkle	Delena Robinson
James Bruno	Norton Kiritz	Alice Saul
Alla Bullen	Donna Lewis	Harry Schaefer
Margot Coons		Lani Steele
Ris e Cousin	Janiece Long	Karen Stubsjoen
Dale Deason	Barbara MacMillan	Carol Walker
Stanley Frager	Leslie Madrical	
Christine French	Carol Pfaff	Rosemary Wursten

CHICO STATE COLLEGE RESEARCH CENTER STAFF

	Linda Fredrickson	Lee (Cox) Lillis
Terry Benn	Lydia (Pires) Freeby	Paul Molino
Meredith Bradley	Lydia (Pires) Fleeby	Sylvia Myers
Harriet Brodnansky	Debra Fuller	•
Bernadette Chavez	Frank Hernandez	Betty Pires
Robert Crocker	Margo Kennedy	Alma Price
	Rose (Adamcyk) Kutsch Robin (Murdock) Leivers	Teresa Summy
Nancy (Mecorney) Cutler		Eunice Toussaint
Jo Anne Eckhardt	Robin (Murdock) hervers	

CONTENTS

Chartor	Page
Chapter	1
1. Introduction	•
The Objectives of Pupil Services	2 3
n a . 1 Demotions	3
Roles and runctions Relationship of the Pupil Personnel Professions	4
to Education	5
The Effecatveness of Pupil Services	6
Summary	
a n was of the Present Study	7
2. Purposes of the Present Study	7
Limiting Criteria	7 8
The Basic Questions	O
	11
 A Model for Pupil Personnel Services 	
- 4 N 1-1	12
The General Model	13
Figure 1 The Six Basic Programs	14
The Focus of the Present Approach	15
The Outline of an Appropriate Program	18
The outside in the second seco	21
4. Procedures and Design	22
	21
Research Sites	22
Cooperating Schools	22
Cooperating Personnel Background and Training of Participating Specialis	ts 23
Procedures	
First Year Procedures	27
Parent Group Structure	29 31
Teacher Group Structure	32
Data Collection: Instruments and Procedures	32
Data Collection: Parents	34
Data Collection: Teachers	35
Data Collection: Principals Counselors	35
Data Collection: Counselors Data Collection: Children	36
	37
Data Types	00
5. Summary of Previously Reported Findings	39
	39
Feasibility Reactions of Participating Groups to Their Experi	ence 44
Changes in Participants	46
INIGHT CO	



Cha	Chapter			Page	
	6.	Impact of Research Procedures on Children			
			Comparison of the Children of Participants and		
			Non-Participants	49	
			Differences on Standardized Achievement Tests	51	
			Other Behavioral Comparisons	51	
			Interrelationships between Outcome Behaviors and		
			Participant Reactions by Groups	53	
			General Counselor Reactions and Outcome Behavior	53	
			Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups and Outcome		
			Behavior	55	
			Parent Reactions and Outcome Behavior	56	
			Attendance Patterns and Outcome Variables	60	
			Interrelationships between Outcome Behaviors and	_	
			Participant Reactions by Individual	62	
			Parent Attendance and Child School Behavior	63	
			Parent Reactions and Behavior Outcomes	65	
			Summary	67	
			Tables 1-38	70-102	
	7.	Imp	lications	103	
			Theory	103	
			Practice	104	
			Administration and Organization of Pupil		
			Personnel Programs	105	
			Preparation of Pupil Personnel Specialists	107	
			Future Research	107	
	Ref	References		111	
Appendices					
		Α.	School Opinion Survey		
		В.	Family Life Attitude Inventory		
		C.	Educational-Vocational Plans Inventory		
		D.	Post-Series Reaction Sheet (Parent)		
		Ε.	Inventory of Professional Educational Practices		
		F.	- 464 6		
		G.			
		н.			
		I.	,		

X

1



Chapter 1

Introduction

Education has been extended to ever broadening segments of the American public ever since its inception in this country. This process appears to be continuing even at the present time. This extension is evidenced in two ways. First, groups whom education previously did not reach are now included within the circle of concern of public education and, second, the length of time which individuals spend in education is being ever lengthened. There is broad general agreement that this development is a sound one from every point of view. At the same time, it has created problems which have not been solved and which plague the professional educator daily. Some of these problems include the increasing heterogeneity of those being educated from cultural, socioeconomic and racial perspectives. This increasing heterogeneity has in turn created a greater diversity of motivations, values and abilities among those being educated. In an attempt to provide meaningful educations to this group of pupils, a variety of special services has been developed to meet particular individual needs and to individualize the curriculum. The basic thrust of these services is generally conceded to be in the direction of humanizing education and of making it possible for those who are exposed to education to profit maximally from it. These services have come to be known collectively as pupil personnel services.

Unfortunately, this title implies the existence of a unity of purpose and functioning among these professions which does not, in actuality, exist. This has been clearly demonstrated in another

publication (Shaw, 1967) which examined the roles of three of these specialists, namely, the counselor, the school psychologist and the school social worker. It appears that disagreement with respect to appropriate roles and functions among these groups is as great within each one as it is among all of them. A similar situation would appear to hold for other professions involved in the pupil personnel enterprises. A variety of problems exist to plague the professional in all of the pupil personnel fields. These include the problems of objectives, roles and functions, staffing, relationship of pupil services to the general educational enterprise and assessment of the effectiveness of pupil services.

The Objectives of Pupil Services

There appears to be a major split among professionals in most of the pupil personnel service professions with respect to the basic objectives of such services. One point of view, the predominant one in the literature, states that pupil services are essentially developmental in nature and are intended for all children. The other major point of view, the one predominant in practice, is that pupil services are intended primarily for the child who has already developed problems and who is found within the school situation. There is not always, in this latter case, an attempt to link school functioning to the problem. Operational programs of pupil services almost never state their objectives in writing and in the few cases where this is done, objectives are usually stated in terms which make it impossible to determine whether or not they have, in fact, been accomplished.

Roles and Functions

The fact that profound disagreement exists with respect to the objectives of such services or that, when stated, such objectives are generally vague, makes it possible to predict a state of confusion among the pupil personnel professions with respect to roles and functions. This is not to say that a great deal of professional attention has not been focused on the problem of appropriate roles and functions among a number of these professions. Professional meetings of counselors, school psychologists and school social workers, for example, nearly always include presentations relating to appropriate roles and functions. Since these discussions seldom take into account either the specific objectives of the given profession or of the pupil personnel work field as a whole, they tend primarily to be expressions of opinion of various experts. These considerations likewise fail to take into account what each of the other pupil personnel professions considers to be its appropriate role, and hence much overlapping of functions is seen when the territorial rights of the various pupil personnel professions are unilaterally asserted. In the extensive review of the research on roles and functions carried out in the course of this project, only a single study was discovered in which one profession attempted to take into account the perceptions of another with regard to appropriate roles and functions (Leton, 1958).

This confusion of roles and functions resulting from failure to delineate objectives has resulted in some interesting outcomes. Both school psychologists and school counselors have established what they state are adequate ratios of students to professions for each of their



own fields. For school psychologists, the magic ratio is 1 to 1,000 (school psychologists to students) (Cutts, 1955). For school counselors, it is either 1 to 300 (Conant, 1959) or 1 to 250 (Loughary, Stripling and Fitzgerald, 1965), depending on whether one accepts the word of James Bryant Conant or the American School Counselors Association. The significant matter is not the ratio which has been established, but rather the question of how it can be justified in the absence of at least general agreement on what outcomes the profession is attempting to bring about within the school system.

Relationship of the Pupil Personnel Professions to Education

The pupil personnel professions appear to be firmly rooted in education, although the extent to which any one of the given professions is found will vary widely from district to district. Substantial amounts of educational monies are utilized in the employment of these specialists in a great many places, but again a disquieting factor occurs when it is realized that these services are usually among the first to be cut from the budget when austerity programs are instituted in school systems. The members of pupil personnel professions, with a few exceptions, perceive themselves as directly related to the general educational enterprise, but the same perception is not always held by persons outside of these professions. Parents, teachers, school boards and even children often raise questions about the roles which pupil service professions play in public education. Thus, while these professions appear to be firmly established in education, this appearance may be somewhat deceiving. Further, those who are associated with the pupil personnel professions in education, but

pupil personnel specialists, and those who are the recipients of pupil personnel services sometimes express doubts about the relevance of such services to education.

The Effectiveness of Pupil Services

A major problem area for pupil services lies in the evaluation of the outcomes of such services. The ger ally held point of view among professionals is that such services are "intangible." It appears, however, that a more parsimonious explanation may be that such services are classed as intangible primarily because little happens as a result of providing them. This outcome is actually predictable when it is considered that, for the most part, goals are not established for such programs. In the absence of such goals, professional behavior may lack direction and this, in turn, will make it appear that little or nothing has been done for students by pupil personnel specialists. This appearance may well be deceiving, but nevertheless it exists and is difficult to explain away.

It would appear that if appropriate roles and functions are to be established for pupil personnel specialists, if the relationship of pupil personnel services to education is to be firmly established and if the effectiveness of such services is to be demonstrated adequately, then an appropriate theoretical basis must be established for the provision of pupil services and the theoretical models resulting from such services must be tested. Above all, it appears that such a theoretical basis must clarify the objectives of such services for whatever model is proposed. Once such models have been established, it will be necessary to test them empirically in order to demonstrate



their validity in the operational sense. The research to be reported upon here has attempted both to provide a firmer theoretical footing for pupil services and to test, operationally, one of the models which eventuated from the theoretical structure derived.

Summary

Pupil personnel services currently appear to lack goal-directedness. This is true not only for the constellation of services as a whole, but for the specific professions which comprise the constellation. As a result, there is confusion with respect to appropriate roles and functions among these professions, some doubt with respect to how they are related to public education and difficulty in defining the effectiveness of such services. These conditions all result in a considerable diminution of the potential effectiveness of such services and a reduction of the impact which they could have on the education of children.

Chapter 2

Purposes of the Present Study

model for guidance services. The basic parameters of this model were delineated in the research proposal, but were refined and sharpened as the design was further developed. To the knowledge of the research team, no specific model for guidance services had been previously tested in any complete kind of way and, to date, no other model has been so tested, if the research literature is accepted as definitive.

Limiting Criteria

Several criteria were established at the outset to guide the thinking of the project staff. The first criterion established was that of practicality. It was clearly established at the outset that whatever theoretical model was developed and whatever procedures were utilized, that both must have characteristics which would permit their adoption into typical, existing school districts. It would have been relatively simple to develop a schema whereby large numbers of very highly trained specialists were introduced into the schools in an effort to create some kind of impact on children. Since such a procedure is obviously not possible in the vast majority of existing school districts, it was ruled out from the beginning in terms of its ultimate impracticality.

A second criterion utilized was that whatever model was developed should be developed completely and should provide a framework for a total pupil personnel services program, not just for limited aspects of the program. As the thinking of project staff progressed, it became



obvious that there was a continuum from the non-behavioral to the behavioral involved in any model which might be delineated. This continuum moves from philosophy to values to objectives to functions and finally to the implementation of functions. It was decided prior to initiating the study that each of these steps must be capable of being spelled out in some detail. Special attention was paid to the matter of program objectives, and additional criteria for determining the soundness of objectives were established.

Five criteria were eventually derived to test the adequacy of program objectives. They included the following:

- 1. Objectives must be stated in clear and unequivocal terms.
- 2. Objectives must be related to the basic purposes of public education.
- 3. Objectives must be capable of accomplishment in a typical school district.
- 4. Objectives should be stated in such terms that they are applicable at all academic levels and in different situations.
- 5. Appropriate objectives will imply both unique and professional services.

The Basic Questions

Four basic questions were posed for present research to answer. The first question relates to feasibility. It was not known at the outset whether or not the model chosen for testing would, in fact, be able to operate in a typical school system. Hence, it would be a major task of the research to determine this.

A second question to be answered was that of whether or not those actively participating in the program would respond positively to it. Thus, the subjective reactions of both pupil personnel specialists and others related to pupil services (particularly those who are the direct object of such services) were seen as highly significant.

A third category of question which the research was intended to answer was that of whether or not the relevant attitudes of those participating in or associated with the program being tested did, in fact, alter as a result of their participation in the program. It has generally been assumed that there is a rather direct relationship between attitudes and behavior, and further assumed that attitudes must change prior to the time that behavior changes.

The final and most basic question asked by this research was related to the impact on children of the model being tested. It was assumed that if child behavior changed, then the ultimate goal of the model had been achieved. The preceding three steps in data collection were seen as intermediate steps which might have diagnostic significance for changes in the model or in the procedures, if answers to the final question were negative.



Chapter 3

A Model for Pupil Personnel Services 1

As previously stated, a major need in the guidance field seems to be for a simple, broadly conceived theoretical model into which more specific theoretical proposals relative to the pupil personnel services operation can be fitted. Such a model should provide for various options with respect to when in the school career of each child guidance services should intervene. It should also delineate who would be the direct recipient of guidance services, as well as having implications for how such services will be rendered, at least in a broad sense. An additional variable for which a general model should have implications relates to the why of guidance services, that is, what are the ultimate goals of the guidance program? It is impossible to ignore this variable in any conceptual scheme because of the implications that projected outcomes have for the questions of who receives guidance services, when they are provided and how they are rendered.

The selection of any specific option encompassed by such a model would be dependent upon the specific objectives established for the program which would, in turn, be dependent upon the values and assumptions made by those who devise and operate the program.



The model postulated in this chapter has been described in considerably greater detail elsewhere. See Shaw, M. C. The function of theory in guidance programs. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1968.

The General Model

Figure 1 represents a means of conceptualizing the different kinds of choices which are open to guidance specialists with respect to a focus for pupil personnel programs. It should be emphasized that this model deals only with the application of the behavioral sciences in the pupil personnel specialties. It does not take into account special training which may be peculiar to certain specialties within the pupil personnel field, such as medical training.

The two rectangles indicate that guidance services can be rendered either <u>directly</u> to those who are the focus of concern or may be provided <u>indirectly</u> through dealing with the other significant persons in the child's environment who may be in a better position than the pupil personnel specialist to influence child behavior. Such significant persons would certainly include parents and teachers, among others.

The vertical dimension within each of the rectangles represents the proportion of the student population which can be affected, while the horizontal dimension indicates the relative point at which guidance services may intervene in the child's educational career. The extreme left hand side of the figure represents the provision of guidance services for all children at the earliest possible time in their school careers. Movement to the right hand side indicates intervention at a later date.

It is assumed that services appearing toward the right hand side will require more intensive, long term work, while services appearing toward the left hand side can be accomplished through less intensive treatment and through the utilization of group techniques. For this

Indirect Focus (Generalist Role)

Milieu Therapy

Consultation with Teachers re Certain

the Educational

Environment

Student Population

Troportion of

Improvement of

Students

B Direct Focus (Specialist Role)

Mental Health
Selected
Program
Students
Students

basic techniques for achieving objectives — directly through working with students or indirectly through working with significant adults in the learning environment. The proportion of the population which can be reached through a given technique initiated at a given time is A general model for guidance services. The horizontal dimension represents the Cross-hatched areas represent the proportion of the population time at which guidance intervention takes place. The two different rectangles represent two not reached by a given program indicated by the white areas. Fig. 1.

ERIC

Trans.

Internal

LTANEGO

I MANAGES

Parities

I WITTERS

TECHNICAL

Teering.

Learner L

NEW YORK

Transactive and a

. servens

Later

Time of Guidance Intervention

Earlier

Diagnosis and Therapy

Early Identification

and Treatment

General Prevention

reason, the later that guidance intervention occurs, the fewer will be the number of individuals in the school situation who can be served by the program. It is further assumed that a program of early and continuous intervention by guidance professionals is aimed at the prevention of certain kinds of problems, while later intervention represents attention to the diagnosis and the remediation of already existing problems.

The goal of general prevention is thus aimed at the total school population. Early identification, the second alternative objective indicated, implies early identification and special treatment of those individuals who either are predicted to have a high probability of developing pathology or who already show minor symptoms of developing pathology. The third alternative, diagnosis and remediation, represents the treatment of pathology only after it has developed to a point where it is easily recognizable or can no longer be tolerated.

The headings across the top represent broad and simplified statements of <u>objectives</u>. It should be emphasized that these three choices represent only three points on a continuum. They are not separate and discrete purposes for guidance programs, but rather the three most widely different choices it is possible to make, given the limits of this continuum. It should also be emphasized that these objectives are presented here only in their most rudimentary form, and further refinement would be necessary before they could become operational in a guidance program.

The Six Basic Programs

ERIC

The diagram delineates six basic programs, three of which are rendered through direct focus on the child himself and three of which

are provided through influencing the child's learning environment. These approaches are not mutually exclusive, and in most operational guidance approaches a smattering of each is likely to be found. Nevertheless, each of the six programs indicated could form the major focus for any given guidance program. It is assumed for purposes of this research that an <u>effective</u> program must focus on the accomplishment of a single approach to the relative exclusion of others.

The direct or specialist approach to each of the three objectives is specified by what may be defined as the mental health program (Ojemann, 1959), the identification and counseling of selected students and by the diagnostic-psychotherapeutic approach. The indirect or generalist approach is typified by attempts to improve the educational environment for purposes of preventing problems, consultation with teachers about students who manifest behavior difficulties (Pierce-Jones and Isco, 1968) and by a milieu therapy approach.

The Focus of the Present Approach

It was necessary, due to the fiscal limitations of the present project, to single out a specific approach for testing in the present research. Several considerations were taken into account in the process of selecting an approach. These considerations include the following:

1. Pupil personnel services are for <u>all</u> children. This idea is far from new and has been so frequently written and stated that it can be considered a cliche. As with many other cliches, examination of the actual situation reveals that in practice it does not hold up. At least it is not



i

A comprehensive report bearing on this subject is in the process of preparation by Dr. John Pierce-Jones and Dr. Ira Isco, Department of Psychology, University of Texas.

true if the further proviso is attached that guidance services should reach all children in an <u>effective</u> manner.

- The treatment of existing pathology is not properly within the scope of public education but is the responsibility of other social agencies.
- 3. It is assumed that prevention is more effective than cure.

 Thus, it is not only assumed that treatment of serious pathology is not a proper function of the educational system, but also that it cannot be effectively carried out within the school setting.
- 4. It is assumed that a rigorous program of prevention will ultimately result in less need for treatment.
- 5. There is evidence to suggest that student behavior can be more effectively influenced through changing the behavior of significant adults than through the direct intervention of guidance personnel who have limited contact with children.

These five assumptions clearly place the focus for guidance programs on approaches which are essentially preventive in nature and which are carried out through intervention with significant adults rather than with the children themselves. The major focus of the model being tested by the present research is that of general prevention through attempts to influence adult behavior.

The fact that research was being carried out under the auspices of an interprofessional group mandated concern for an approach to pupil services which would utilize a broad spectrum of those professions normally considered to be the pupil personnel professions.

Thus, project design took into account the necessity for an interprofessional approach.

Two additional provisos were attached by members of the project staff to the requirements of the design. Both of these requirements represent value judgments and, like other value judgments, may be faulted. The first was that if the research were to be truly feasible and to truly have implications for the public schools, then it should be possible to utilize currently available professionals in the implementation of findings highlighted by the research. School districts are faced with very real limitations on their funding, which in turn limits both the number of personnel they can hire and the level of professional preparation of these personnel. It would, for example, not be possible for typical school districts to hire large numbers of psychiatrists to staff the pupil per ganel services program. If it were possible to ignore the reality of most school situations, then it would also be possible to design a utopian scheme for the provision of pupil services. It was the intent of the project design to prepare a plan which was more practical than utopian and which could be carried out in most school districts utilizing existing personnel with a minimum of additional training.

The second value standard applied to the design was that participating districts should change their pupil personnel practices as a result of having participated in the study, if, in fact, the results of the study were such that change seemed appropriate. Too often, research projects in the public schools are operated as mining ventures in which data are taken from the cooperating schools and little or

NOOTH

nothing is returned. The intent of project staff was clearly to make it possible for cooperating districts to change their practices and, in fact, to assist them to do so rather than using (or misusing) them as data sources only.

The Outline of an Appropriate Program

In order to test this kind of a model, certain functions are appropriate while others are not. In this kind of a program, the role of the guidance specialist is active rather than passive. He will seek out tasks he should accomplish or persons with whom he should work, rather than waiting passively for situations to arise which call for his intervention. One of his primary functions will be work with teachers, either individually or in groups. Whether students learn effectively, learn to use their learning effectively or learn to want to learn will depend in large measure upon the climate created by the teaching staff.

The guidance specialist's task with teachers falls into three general categories. The first way in which the counselor can be of assistance to the teaching staff is to work with them on problems which relate to the effectiveness of their teaching. One technique which might be tested is to attempt to involve teachers in group counseling situations to which all members of the faculty are invited. The focus of such discussion would be the professional problems which reachers face daily.

A second general area of work with teachers in which the guidance professional might contribute much relates to the improvement of curriculum. The guidance specialist has much to contribute to the general

area of curriculum development and curriculum change, and certainly it is inappropriate curriculum which creates many of the learning difficulties manifest by children after exposure to the public educational system.

The third major area of work with the teaching staff relates to the appropriate placement of children in classes. At the primary level, the pioneer work of Ilg (1965) appears to offer some real possibilities to the guidance specialist. At the secondary level, counselors have generally assumed responsibility for placement of students in appropriate curricula, although more meaningful and effective procedures than those ordinarily utilized appear to be called for. This aspect of the guidance specialist's responsibility cannot be clearly differentiated from his responsibility for appropriate curricular change and development.

In addition to teachers, parents would form a highly appropriate focus for a system of pupil services aimed at prevention of learning difficulties through intervention in the environment. Systematic and sustained parent contact should be a part of the proposed system at all levels. Parent counseling groups dealing with normal developmental problems may have a profound effect in the prevention of later learning difficulties through bringing about changes in parent behavior. The role of the pupil personnel specialist with parents will be greater at the elementary level and would tend to decrease somewhat relative to the amount of time spent with children themselves as the age of children increases.

Working with parents has the same advantages as working with



Western .

will spend much more time with the child than he will be able to do.

In addition, through working with groups of parents, the specialist will be able to reach more children more effectively than would be the case through direct work with children themselves. Thus, both the breadth and depth of impact on school children is increased.

Although direct individual work with children will, except for certain special situations, cease to exist, there is an appropriate way and appropriate time at which work with children should be undertaken within the structure of the present approach. While the influence of adults continues to be significant throughout a child's public school career, it nevertheless diminishes as the child moves through school and the child himself is increasingly considered responsible for his own behavior. In addition, the child's peer group becomes an increasingly important element in the learning environment (Coleman, 1961). These two reasons imply that at some point, work with children as a significant aspect of their own environment will be appropriate within the limits of this approach. This discussion could be extended considerably in terms of both appropriate and inappropriate activities for pupil personnel specialists within the limits of this model; however, fiscal and practical considerations placed limits on the aspects of this model which could be tested and further discussion would be irrelevant to the research to be described. More extended discussions can be found in Shaw and Tuel (1964), Shaw and Tuel (1966) and Shaw (1968).

Chapter 4

Procedures and Design

Full scale accounts of the research procedures and design have been provided in Monographs #1 (Shaw and Tuel, 1964) and #2 (Shaw and Tuel, 1965), previously published. This chapter will present a synthesis of the procedures and design utilized to carry out the study.

Research Sites

Following the delineation of a general model for pupil services and the selection of a specific aspect of that model for testing, the primary problem became one of locating school districts interested in cooperating in such a venture. It was anticipated from the outset by project staff that the newness of the proposed approach, coupled with the need for involving teachers and parents, would pose a major problem in getting cooperation from school districts. This concern proved to be well founded. The actual number of school districts contacted was lost track of early in the project's history, but it is a matter of record that full-scale approaches were made to 22 different school districts before five were located which were willing to follow through on all procedures mandated by the research design. This experience was, in and of itself, a valuable lesson to the project staff and one probably worthy of detailed explication elsewhere. The kinds of concerns registered by districts which felt they were unable to participate ranged from those which were highly predictable (parental reactions to taking personality tests) to some which were not predicted (specific expressions of concern that pupil personnel specialists in some



[water

Townson or the second

1

districts would be unable to carry out the tasks required.

Another pitfall lay in the failure of some districts to carefully evaluate the proposed research procedures prior to committing themselves to participation. This problem necessitated the withdrawal of two districts from the project after they had agreed to participate and after their pupil personnel specialists had been provided training by the project staff.

No special criteria were applied to districts interested in participation other than their agreement that they would carry out fully and completely the specific procedures delineated by the research design. It was a goal of the project staff to include districts of varying size, but this was not a criterion for inclusion. The fact that the five participating districts varied in size from one of the largest in the United States to one of approximately 25,000 persons was a matter of good fortune.

Cooperating Schools

It was a specific mandate of project staff that the cooperation of any school in the project should be entirely a matter for decision by appropriate personnel within that school. It was believed that the voluntariness of participation of the schools would be a factor in the ultimate ability of project staff to carry out within that school all of the necessary research procedures. Further, there was no wish on the part of the project staff to test the hypothetical model under hostile conditions.

Cooperating Personnel

The criterion of voluntariness was also applied to participating



personnel. No individual in a participating district was pressured, subtly or otherwise, into cooperation with the project. Only those persons were included as participants who chose of their own volition to do so and who were presently employed in a pupil personnel specialty. Persons who were not, at the time the project was initiated, functioning as pupil personnel specialists were not allowed to participate, even though their training and/or credentialing qualified them to do so. The subjective post facto assessment of project staff was that the self-selection of participants was the most significant criterion utilized with respect to who should or should not have participated in the study.

Background and Training of Participating Specialists

All personnel from participating school districts who were involved in the study were well trained in their respective fields. They represented a wide spectrum of the pupil personnel professions, including counseling, school psychology, school social work, nursing and speech therapy. Every effort was made to encourage participation from among a wide variety of such professions. (The participating pupil personnel specialists will be referred to as project consultants or counselors from this point on.)

The training and backgrounds of these counselors have been discussed in detail in Monograph #3 (Shaw and Rector, 1966). Although each was well trained within his own field, relatively few had had any training in the specific area of group counseling, the primary vehicle utilized to accomplish project objectives, and almost none had had any actual experience in group counseling. For this reason,



Service of the servic

training specific to the development of this skill and for the purpose of familiarizing participants with the goals of the research was provided prior to the initiation of any project activities. Before the first year of data collection, individuals from four of the five participating districts were provided with five full days of workshop training on the UCLA campus. The fifth participating district was provided with only two days of training before the beginning of the first year's activities. Before the beginning of the second year of research procedures, the four districts which received a full week of training the year previously were provided with three full days of additional training. The single district which received only two days of training the first year was likewise provided with three full days of workshop training.

(<u>0</u>

· 1000 · 1000

These workshops were intensive experiences in which a nationally recognized expert in the area of group counseling in the public schools provided both didactic and practical training to project counselors. They had opportunity to observe group counseling, to participate in group counseling and to act as group leaders themselves. In addition, the workshop periods served to familiarize project counselors with the goals of the project and with data collection procedures.

In addition to the training, all counselors were provided with biweekly supervision during the time that they were carrying out their project responsibilities. It might be added at this point that these responsibilities were in addition to their regular workload, rather than being incorporated into it. Through the medium of workshops and continuous supervision, every effort was made to insure that appropriate

procedures both with respect to group counseling and data collection were followed by all project consultants.

Procedures

It has already been specified that the basic thrust of this project was to be an attempt to enhance the learning environment of school children through influencing the significant adults present in this milieu. For reasons related primarily to the fiscal resources available to the project, it was decided at the outset to confine these efforts to reaching parents and teachers. Since the effort was to be primarily a preventive one, it was also decided to attempt to reach as wide a spectrum of these two groups as possible, rather than restricting emphasis to parents or teachers who were experiencing special difficulty in adequately fulfilling their respective roles.

It was anticipated by project staff that the utilization of group counseling procedures with either parents or teachers would be anxiety providing to most pupil personnel workers. This surmise was, in fact, correct. It provided the fundamental basis for rejection of the project by those school districts which refused to participate, and was a matter of some concern to those counselors who eventually did elect to participate. It was further surmised that provision of counseling services to teachers would be more threatening than the provision of counseling services to parents. For this reason, the project was designed so that the first year would be spent entirely in group counseling with parents. The second year of data collection provided for continuation of group counseling with parents and the initiation of group counseling with teachers in those schools where group counseling



with parents was also being carried out.

It has already been mentioned that the activities of project consultants were in addition to their regular responsibilities. It should be further stated that project activities were superimposed on already existing guidance programs. For both of these reasons, it was a practical impossibility to attempt to reach all grades even in the specific schools participating in the project. For this reason, those grades which were considered to be articulation points were chosen for focus. These included the first, seventh and ninth grades. Each of these grades represents the first year of a new academic experience for students in participating schools. While it was considered desirable to attempt to reach all grades, this was a practical impossibility and the choices made were a practical necessity. It cannot be overemphasized that the research design provided for only a partial test of the model under consideration. The reasons why this was so have already been delineated.

One lesson that came through clearly for members of the project staff as a result of this research experience was that school districts and school personnel are in a constant state of flux. Changes in principalships and changes in pupil personnel positions from the first to the second project year caused some changes in participating schools and in participating personnel. It had been hoped to utilize all first-year schools during the second year and to add additional schools. While to a large extent this was carried out, there were schools which participated the first year which did not participate the second year. In nearly all cases, this came about as a result of

the fact that new principals took over administrative management of the school and did not wish to continue participation. Fortunately, this happened in a minimum number of cases and did not pose a serious problem. Among project consultants, the change was minimal. In most cases where project consultants did not continue for a second year of participation, the reason was either leaving the district or returning to academe for advanced work. In no case of which project staff is aware did a project consultant quit the project because they were disappointed in or unhappy with their experience.

First Year Procedures

Experimentation during the first year was limited to the provision of parent group counseling to the parents of first, seventh and ninth grade children in participating schools. In view of the preventive emphasis of this research, invitations were issued to the parents of all first, seventh and ninth graders. No attempt was made either to specifically include or exclude the parents of children whose problems were other than normal.

The mode of reaching parents was, in most schools, through a letter sent home through the first-class mail over the principal's signature. The letter described the purpose and structure of the parent groups and indicated the number of meetings to be held. A postcard was included with the letter so that a parent might indicate interest or disinterest in participating in such a group. The card also provided opportunity for the parent to indicate preference with respect to time. All parent groups were scheduled for the evening in a specific effort to make it possible for fathers as well as mothers to attend.



In schools where the population was primarily from children in minority ethnic groups or lower socioeconomic classes, the approach described above was, predictably, not successful. In one such school, however, (a junior high school) counselors took the responsibility for making personal contacts with the parents of seventh graders. In this school, nearly fifty parents of seventh graders were involved in parent group counseling during the first year and an equal number were involved during the second year. It might be added that this was in a school where the principal predicted flat failure for parent group counseling and where the PTA had been abandoned due to lack of parent participation.

Prior to their participation in group counseling, parents were informed that the purpose of the discussions was to enable them to learn how they could be of greatest help to their children in the academic setting. It was structured so that they would have an opportunity to share their experiences with other parents under the leadership of a pupil personnel specialist. Emphasis was on the fact that the groups would be primarily structured around discussion rather than being didactic in nature. At the first and seventh grade level, parents were informed (during the first year) that a series of four meetings was planned. At the ninth grade level, parents were informed that a series of seven meetings was planned.

During the first year, there was an additional difference between the first and seventh grade groups on the one hand and the ninth grade groups on the other. In the case of the first and seventh grades, emphasis was on the normal development of children. At the ninth and educational decision making of their children. This distinction proved to be an unnecessary one, since high school parents soon indicated their interest in the same kinds of problems which concerned the parents of first and seventh graders. Copies of sample letters to parents can be found in Monograph #2 (Shaw and Tuel, 1965).

Following determination of which parents had stated that they would participate in the groups and which parents either stated that they would not participate or had failed to respond, the instruments to be utilized in the pre and post aspects of data collection were mailed to them. At the end of the year, following completion of all parent groups, research materials were again mailed to participants and non-participants. Data returns were significantly impaired through this method of collection and during the second year of project operation, data was collected from participating parents at the time groups met rather than through the mail. There was no other practical way to deal with the problem of data collection from non-participating parents, and use of the mail continued with this group.

Parent Group Structure

Parents at the first and seventh grade levels were initially invited to attend a series of four group counseling sessions. These meetings were not referred to as counseling oriented, but rather were labeled as parent discussion groups in the presence of the parents.

As the initial series of four meetings drew to a close, each project consultant informed his group that there would be a second series of four meetings for parents who were interested in continuing. At this



point those who wished to drop out could do so, while those who wished to continue also could do so. As the second series approached its conclusion, parents were informed that there would be a third series of four meetings in which they could participate if they wished to do so. Any parent could thus elect not to participate or participate in four, eight or twelve group counseling sessions. If a group became too small to operate appropriately as a result of parent dropout, it was combined with another ongoing group.

At the high school level, parents initially were invited to attend a series of seven meetings. As this series reached its conclusion, they were informed that an additional series of five meetings would be held for interested parents. Thus, at this level a parent could elect not to participate, participate in up to seven meetings or continue beyond this to participate in as many as twelve group counseling meetings.

Previous attempts to control counselor behavior during counseling have not proven highly successful. In the light of this knowledge, project staff did not attempt to impose any specific mode of operation on individual counselors. Emphasis was on the fact that counselors must not utilize such meetings as vehicles for a dicactic or legture approach and that group interaction was a basic sim in all groups.

During the second year of operation, there was increased emphasis on attempting to get parent participants to actually try some of the techniques discussed during the counseling sessions. A difference was found between teachers and parents in this regard, with teachers being more inclined to attempt to alter their behaviors and parents being

inclined more to discuss their behavior without accompanying attempts at behavior modification.

The project consultants who carried out parent groups were in every case those consultants who were employed in or consultant to the specific schools in which they handled parent groups.

Teacher Group Structure

Teacher groups were formed only in those schools where parent group counseling was being carried out. The invitation to teachers to participate in such groups was made in every case by the project consultant responsible for carrying out teacher groups in that school. The presentation was made at a faculty meeting early in the fall. Teachers were informed that the basic purpose of the groups was to provide a place in which they might discuss with their colleagues the professional problems and concerns which were of interest to them. They were informed that there would be five such meetings and that the groups would meet weekly for five consecutive weeks.

Immediately after the beginning of the second semester, teachers in participating schools were informed that a second series of five teacher group meetings would be held. It was indicated to them that the purpose and structure of the meetings would be the same in the upring of it had been in the fall. All interested teachers were invited to participate. Teacher group meetings were structured in this way in order to determine, through the proportion of attendance at the second series, whether or not teachers perceived such group meetings to be of assistance to them in the carrying out of their professional responsibilities.



The structure of groups for teachers was, in most ways, similar to that used for parents. Emphasis was on the facilitative role of the counselor and the interactive role of the teacher. Teachers, to a greater extent than parents, tended to try out new behaviors and to discuss the results in the groups. The only limit placed on discussion was that those problems or concerns discussed within the groups should be of such a nature that the individual involved in the discussion should be able to initiate steps toward change on his own. This proviso thus limited discussions of such areas as administrative policy and focused concern on areas where participating individuals could make changes themselves. For the most part, this simple limitation caused teachers (and parents) to focus on their own behavior.

Data Collection: Instruments and Procedures

Although the basic purpose of this monograph is to report the outcomes of parent group counseling on children, the entire data collection procedure will be briefly recapitulated here. A detailed presentation of data collection with parents and children can be found in Monograph #2 (Shaw and Tuel, 1965).

Data Collection: Parents

During the first year of parent group counseling, an attempt was made to collect all data from parents through use of the mails. This proved particularly difficult and loss of data from participating parents was high. During the second year of the project, the pre-experimental data were collected at the first parent group meeting and the first series was extended from four to five sessions to take

Some parents were aggravated by this procedure, even though attempts were made to explain to them that certain data would assist in determining whether or not the parent groups were worthwhile. At this juncture, some parents elected not to return for participation in the groups. This approach did, however, result in obtaining a much higher proportion of data from parents who participated in the group counseling sessions. At the end of a parent's participation in group counseling, the consultant who had handled a particular group was responsible for obtaining post-experimental data from the parents. This effort, too, proved more successful than attempts to utilize the mails.

Elementary and junior high school parents were all asked to respond to a specially constructed attitude questionnaire called The School Opinion Survey (Appendix A). This instrument was factorially derived and attempts to reflect basic parent attitudes towards school. It is more completely described in Monograph #4 (Shaw and Rector, 1968) which is devoted exclusively to the development of this instrument. Elementary and junior high school parents also responded to a modified version of the Family Life Attitude Inventory (Schaeffer, Bell and Bayley, 1958). The modification of this scale is described in Monograph #2 (Shaw and Tuel, 1965). Briefly, the modification consisted of shortening the inventory by utilizing only the fourteen scales which appear on both the mother and father forms of the inventory and by reducing the number of items on each scale to seven. A copy is included in Appendix B.



K. Serten

San Car

At the high school level, parents were likewise requested to respond to the School Opinion Survey both prior to and following their participation in the groups and, in addition, were asked to complete the Educational-Vocational Plans Inventory (parent form) prior to and following their counseling experience (Appendix C).

In addition to parents who participated, the research materials described above were mailed to all parents of first, seventh and ninth grade children who did not participate in parent group counseling in an effort to develop a control group. It has already been mentioned that strong administrative feeling forbade the randomization of the parents who participated. Thus, the data will be biased by whatever differences exist between a group of parents who volunteer to participate in such an experience and a group who do not volunteer to participate in such an experience. These data are further biased by the fact that a certain proportion of parents, particularly among the non-participants, failed to respond to these forms.

Each participating parent was asked to complete the Post-Series Reaction Sheet following the completion of each series (Appendix D). If a parent participated in more than one series, then he would complete more than one Post-Series Reaction Sheet.

Data Collection: Teachers

ERIC

Data was collected on <u>all</u> teachers in participating schools whether or not they elected to participate in counseling groups.

This data was collected at a regularly scheduled faculty meeting, both prior to the initiation of teacher counseling groups and following their conclusion in the spring. The instruments utilized with

Inventory of Professional Educational Practices (Appendix E). This latter instrument was put together by project staff for specific use on this project. It includes a modification of the Organizational Climate Description Questionnaire by Halpin and Croft (1963), an abbreviated form of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Scale developed originally by Cook, Leeds and Callas (1951) and factored by Horn and Morrison (1965). In addition, a section entitled The Grading Practices Opinionnaire was developed by the project staff to determine the criteria utilized by teachers in assigning grades to students. It consists of 36 items and seven factors. The same data were collected from these teachers again at the end of the academic year.

Data Collection: Principals

Samuel .

All principals of participating schools were asked to complete the School Opinion Survey and the Inventory of Professional Educational Practices. Since they were not asked (nor permitted) to participate in any of the groups, these instruments were completed by principals only once at the beginning of the experiment.

Data Collection: Counselors

All counselors were asked to complete a form reporting certain biographical, experiential and educational data. In addition, each participating consultant was required to complete a form entitled Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups (Appendix F) following the completion of a given series for each group. In addition, each consultant was required to complete a form entitled General Counselor Reactions

(Appendix G) following the completion of all parent and/or teacher group counseling activities for a given year. In the Spring of 1965, all participating consultants were asked to complete a form entitled Qualitative Impressions of Consultants (Appendix H). This form was completed by all consultants at the workshops provided by the research center.

Data Collection: Children

The children were actively involved in the collection of data only at the ninth grade level. At this level, children in participating high schools completed the Educational-Vocational Plans Inventory (student form) and the School Opinion Survey, both prior to the initiation of parent counseling and following the completion of parent counseling.

At all levels (Grades 1, 7 and 9), the following data were collected on children throughout the experimental year:

- 1. Disciplinary referrals
- 2. Referrals to pupil personnel specialists
- 3. Grades assigned by teachers
- 4. Scores on achievement tests
- 5. Scores on intelligence tests
- 6. Attendance records
- 7. Tardiness records

It can be seen that the data collected on children are essentially behavior data rather than attitude data.

Data Types

It would be well to recapitulate at this point the four basic questions which this study has attempted to answer and to relate these questions to the data which has been delineated above. The first question under consideration relates to the <u>feasibility</u> of the hypothesized approach. Feasibility will be essentially determined by degree of participation. This, in turn, can be determined through attendance records which were kept on teacher and parent counseling groups.

A second question raised was related to the <u>reactions</u> which participants would have toward the experience following its conclusion.

Participants are those parents and teachers who attended group meetings as well as the project consultants who conducted them. The data obtained from the Post-Series Reaction Sheets, Counselor Responses to Specific Groups, General Counselor Reactions and Qualitative Impressions of Consultants will assist in answering this kind of question.

A third question which this research raised was related to the attitudes of participating and non-participating persons. In the first instance, it was deemed necessary to discover whether or not there were basic attitudinal differences between those who volunteer to participate and those who did not participate. It was also intended that a determination be made as to whether counseling had an effect on attitudes which might be deemed significant; was there a change in these attitudes following exposure to counseling? The School Opinion Survey, Family Life Attitude Inventory and Inventory of Professional Educational Practices were instruments utilized to accomplish these purposes.

The final question was whether or not child behavior was influenced



I

I

1

A COMMAN

by the participation of their parents and teachers in the group counseling sessions. Information on changes in children's grades, intelligence test scores, achievement test scores, attendance records, tardiness records and referrals for various reasons were intended to provide answers to this question. These latter data were collected and summarized on a form known as the Student Data Summary. A copy of this form will be found in Appendix I.



Chapter 5

Summary of Previously Reported Findings

Six previous monographs have reported in considerably more detail than is possible here the research design, the postulated model and the development of instruments used for data collection purposes. In addition, these monographs have reported on the feasibility of both parent and teacher group counseling as well as on the responses of parents and teachers to their experience.

At no point have the attitude data collected on parents and teachers been reported, nor will this be possible within the time limit imposed by the present grant. It is anticipated that this data will be analyzed and reported in the future, both by the activities of present project staff operating independently and through the medium of studies by graduate students.

The basic purpose of the present monograph is to report the impact of intervention with adults on the behavior of children. Prior to this, however, the findings which have been previously reported in the six other monographs will be summarized. It should be emphasized that this summary requires much abbreviation of a great volume of complex data. This summary will report outcomes in three of the four major areas in which questions were raised prior to initiating the research. The fourth area, impact of counseling with adults on children, will comprise the bulk of the remainder of the report.

Feasibility

The feasibility of the proposed approach has been conclusively



demonstrated. Both parent and teacher participation in voluntary counseling groups was of such a magnitude that there is no question but that both groups took advantage of the opportunity to participate in counseling groups. Those parents who participated were what, for the most part, might be considered "typical" parents rather than the parents of children who had more serious difficulties.

where (Shaw and Tuel, 1965; Shaw and Rector, 1966; Shaw and Rector, 1968). During the first year of parent group meetings, as high as 27% of the parents of first grade children in sixteen different elementary schools attended the first group session. This ranged downward to a low of 4% at another elementary school in the same school district. It has since been learned that one of the reasons for such wide variation in parent attendance is the attitude of the building principal toward the role of pupil personnel services in his school. Principals who indicated that they planned to use such services more extensively in the future than they had in the past tended to have considerably higher parent participation than principals who indicated that they intended to use such services in about the same degree in the future.

Parent attendance at three junior high schools during the first year of parent group meetings ranged from a high of 15% to a low of 4%. In connection with the latter school, it should be pointed out that this school was one comprised largely of a minority ethnic group in the lower socioeconomic strata and that other attempts to get parents into the school, such as the PTA, had been completely abandoned by the administration and teaching faculty. In addition, the building prin-

cipal assured project staff that not one single parent would participate in parent counseling groups. Yet, not only did a surprisingly large proportion of these parents participate, but beyond this, over 50% of those who initially came participated in at least eight sessions and more than one-third of those who initiated parent group counseling participated in twelve group counseling sessions. The second year at this school, the relative proportion of parents participating went up.

At the ninth grade level, parent participation was approximately 15% of ninth grade parents in one high school and 8% in the ninth grade of the second high school. In the latter school, many more parents signified a willingness to participate than the two counselors at the school could handle, so this figure is misleadingly low. Actually, over 25% of the parents of ninth graders wanted to attend group counseling meetings, but counselors handling the groups could not provide the necessary time.

A number of facts about obtaining parent participation were learned in this process. In schools in middle class neighborhoods, there was no difficulty in getting substantial parent participation simply through the medium of sending a letter to the parents through the first-class mail and asking them to return an enclosed postcard indicating their interest or lack of interest in parent groups. This technique was completely inappropriate and ineffective in schools where minority ethnic groups or lower socioeconomic groups formed the core of the student population. Efforts to reach these parents through the mail failed. Even when the problem of bilingualism was taken into account through the use of a second language, parents failed to respond to such

appeals. On the other hand, they did respond well to personal contacts from interested school personnel. It was in this way that parent attendance was achieved in the junior high school cited above. It was the feeling of the counselors involved in this school and others like it, that word-of-mouth communication in the community was a significant factor in increasing the proportion of parent attendance in the second year.

Another valuable lesson to project staff was learned in connection with the high school series. This series was initially structured around educational-vocational choice and the ways in which parents could be effective in assisting their children in this process. Counselors soon discovered that parental concerns were considerably broader than this and that it was necessary to structure the groups in essentially the same way that groups at the elementary and junior high school were structured. The concerns of high school parents paralleled in large part the concerns of elementary and junior high school parents. The specific problems were different; the issues were the same.

The common myth about lack of interest of both minority ethnic group parents and high school parents in their children has been effectively dispelled by data collected in this project. Minority ethnic group parents do not, under normal circumstances, view schools as social agencies concerned with them and their children, but appear to view schools somewhat as an extension of the police force. When approached appropriately, they will respond to the school when the school evidences real concerns for their children. A gratuitous outcome of the parent discussion groups was the fact, observed by project

consultants, that if the child of a parent who had participated in counseling groups did subsequently get into difficulty, the parent attitude toward the school and toward the problem was totally different from what it would have been prior to participation in parent groups.

At the high school level, parents were clearly interested in and concerned about their children when the school approached them with a proposal for cooperative action which they saw as relevant to their interests and concerns and which appeared from the outset to have some value to them and their children. Parents do not seem to lose interest in their children as they get older; rather they appear either to give up any hope of modifying school practices or they give up hope of modifying their children's behavior.

The feasibility of teacher group counseling was likewise emphatically illustrated. A high proportion of principals predicted that teachers would not participate in such groups without such inducements as compensating time off, academic credit or credit on the salary schedule. These devices were specifically banned during this project, and the proportion of teacher response was highly gratifying. In some schools, as high as 100% of the available teachers elected to participate in the voluntary teacher discussion groups. At the same time, it should be pointed out that there were schools in which it proved impossible to get enough teachers participating to form groups. In this kind of school, again administrative attitudes tended to be neutral toward pupil personnel services rather than firmly positive. At the same time, there can be no doubt that most teachers in most



Ţ

.

schools are professional enough to want to participate in meetings intended to assist them to improve their effectiveness even when the carrot-on-a-stick philosophy is abandoned. Attendance at these groups was better for the two districts with the largest proportion of minority group pupils.

Reactions of Participating Groups to Their Experience

Three groups can be said to have participated in the experiment.

These are teachers, parents and the pupil personnel workers themselves.

Data on the reactions of each of these three groups has either been reported previously or is in the process of being reported. Data already reported appears in Monograph #2 (Shaw and Tuel, 1965),

Monograph #3 (Shaw and Rector, 1966), Monograph #5 (Shaw and Rector, 1968) and Monograph #6 (Shaw and Rector, 1968).

The responses of all three participating groups to their experiences have been highly positive. There is always the suspicion when such self-reports are obtained that halo effect may act to unduly increase positive aspects of such reports. Three factors appear in the present circumstances to mitigate concern about halo effect. The first is that responses are generally so highly positive that it is difficult to attribute them to halo effect. The second is that there appears to be a certain selectivity in response. For instance, counselors who handled more than one parent or teacher group respond differentially to different groups, reporting positive reactions to one group and non-committal or even negative reactions to another. The same can be said for parents and teachers from one group to another; the responses of some groups are uniformly positive, while those of other groups tend

to be more noncommittal. Still a third factor which reduces suspicion about the halo effect in the present instance are the high correlations seen between counselor responses in general and the responses of teacher and parent participants. This latter finding tends to establish the validity of responses to a certain extent.

It has been established that while parent responses about the helpfulness of group discussions were positive from beginning to end, that this positiveness tends to increase the longer a parent stays in the counseling groups. It has also been established that there are no differences in degree of positiveness or negativeness of parent responses at the three academic levels investigated. This is a finding of significance, since it supports the contention made previously that high school parents are apparently as interested as elementary school parents in their children.

There was some variation in patterns of teacher response from the patterns seen in parent responses. Although those teachers who did participate in the groups tended to be uniformly positive in their perceptions of the groups, there were some variations in the relative amount of participation from the elementary to the high school level. At the elementary level, there were some schools where as many as 100% of the available teaching staff participated in teacher discussion groups. It should also be pointed out that there were elementary schools where so few teachers indicated interest in participation that it was not possible to form teacher groups, but at the same time it is clear that the proportion of participation among teachers is higher at the elementary level than at either the junior high or the high school level.



REENTRELL

Contract I

At the high school level, teacher participation proved relatively small, although it should be pointed out that only two high schools were available and that there were certain special problems of morale existing in both these schools which might have mitigated against teacher participation in the group counseling effort. At the same time, if the data are accepted at face value, then it will be more difficult to obtain teacher participation at a secondary level than at the elementary level.

Another index of teacher response to the counseling groups is the extent to which teachers who participated in the group discussions held in the fall elected to participate in a similar series of group discussions held in their school in the spring. Approximately 75% of teachers who participated in the first series also participated in the second series in schools where this opportunity was offered. This is a firm behavioral indication that teachers found the groups to be of value and tends to substantiate the highly positive self-reports of teachers relative to their attitudes toward the groups.

Changes in Participants

Voluminous data was collected on participants prior to and following their participation in the groups. This data included, for parents, a revised version of the Family Life Attitude Inventory and the School Opinion Survey. Data collected on teachers included the School Opinion Survey and the Inventory of Professional Educational Practices. All of these instruments are highly complex and reflect a wealth of valuable data. This data has all been transferred to IBM cards, but at this point has not been subjected to analysis. A hard look at the facts

indicates that most of it will not be treated prior to the end of the project on July 31, 1968.

No.

Chapter 6

Impact of Research Procedures on Children

The ultimate concern of testing this particular model of pupil services has been to determine the impact of such services on children. Data reported to this point have demonstrated both that the model is feasible within a typical public school system and that those who participate in such a venture respond to it in a highly positive way. But regardless of how pleased or even how changed significant adults may be, the services provided must either result in changes in children or modifications would need to be considered. The first section of this chapter will compare the children of non-participating parents to those of participating parents. A participant was defined as any parent who attended only one session of the discussion groups, and for this reason the data on participants are considerably vitiated due to the parents represented in these tables who attended only one or two sessions. Data will be reported in subsequent sections which will compare the children of parents who participated differentially in the parent group counseling.

Comparison of the Children of Participants and Non-Participants

The data reported on the Student Data Summary (see Appendix I) will be utilized to compare children whose parents participated in contrast to parents who did not participate at all in the parent group counseling. Tables 1, 2 and 3 compare children of participants and non-participants on the results achieved on standardized intelligence tests and on the grades they received at the end of the first

ERIC

and second semesters during which their parents were active in counseling groups. Intelligence scores and grades are reported in terms of standard scores, and the standard scores were computed for each school separately in order to minimize differences in grading practices from one school to another. For each school the standard score mean was 5 and the standard deviation was 1.00. Scores above 5 represent I.Q. and grades above average for the school and scores below 5 represent below average performance on these criteria. These tables present results for both the 1964-65 and 1965-66 academic years, the period during which parent group counseling was actively being carried out.

Application of the Sign Test to grades indicates significant differences during both of the experimental years and at all three academic levels, with the exception of the elementary level during the 1964-65 academic year. The Sign Test was not significant at that level during that period of time but did approach significance. All in all, there can be little doubt that the children of participants did receive better grades than the children of non-participants.

There is always the possibility that built-in differences in academic aptitude may account for such a finding. Study of intelligence tests results reveals that the children of participating parents did score higher on five of the six measures of I.Q. contrasts than children of non-participating parents. These differences are, however, so small that they probably cannot be held to account for the obtained differences in grades. It is also difficult to accept

Impact of Research Procedures 51 on Children

aptitude as the basic determinant of grade differences, since the standard I.Q. score of participants tended to go down from the 1964 population to the 1965 population but grade standard scores tended to go up during the second year.

Differences on Standardized Achievement Tests

Tables 5, 6 and 7 report differences between children of participants and non-participants on standardized achievement tests. As was the case with the I.Q. measures, the achievement measures were standardized within each school and then averaged for the participant and the non-participant groups separately. No data are available for the junior high or elementary school level during the 1964-65 year; however, data were available for the 1965-66 year at both these levels. A fairly representative sample of achievement test behavior is available for both years at the high school level.

In the case of every single comparison made between participants and non-participants at all three levels, the scores of the participants exceed those of the non-participants. Outcomes of the Sign Test applied to these findings are as expected and are reported in Table 8. All differences tested were highly significant.

Other Behavioral Comparisons

In addition to grades and achievement test scores, the Student Data Summary made provision for the collection of information on excused absences, unexcused absences, referrals to administrators and referrals to guidance personnel. Results are reported in terms of the percent of the population referred and how frequently. Results



reported for 1965-66 are shown for the end of the first semester and the end of the second semester as well, when available. The data for males and females are reported separately.

Results for makes are reported in Tables 9, 10 and 11. At both the high school and elementary school levels, it seems generally true that non-participants exceeded participants in excused absences but no pattern can be seen for the unexcused absences. This tends to be true both at the end of the first semester and at the end of the second semester. Variations to this pattern are seen at the junior high school level with non-participants exceeding participants in unexcused rather than excused absences at the end of the second semester during the 1965-66 academic year. There are no meaningful differences in the referral patterns of participants and non-participants to administrative or guidance sources.

Outcomes for females are reported in Tables 12, 13 and 14. The pattern which emerges for females is highly similar to that obtained with males. At the high school and elementary school levels, participants tend to have fewer excused absences and the high school and junior high participants had fewer unexcused absences than non-participants. There do not seem to be any real differences on unexcused absences at the elementary level or on excused absences at the junior high level. Referrals to administrative and guidance sources show few differences between participants and non-participants; however, the participants at the lower grade levels were referred more frequently.

Results of behavior data accumulated on the Student Data Summary

Impact of Research Procedures 53 on Children

indicate that the area of school attendance was the most sensitive to the participant - non-participant impact on children's school "citizenship." This tended to be true at all three grade levels. Both boys and girls of participating parents had better attendance records than their peers whose parents did not choose to participate in the parent discussion groups.

Interrelationships between Outcome Behaviors and Participant Reactions by Groups

Study of the relationships existing between outcome behaviors and participant reactions should provide clues to the meaning and validity of the participant reactions. The general question of whether subjective responses of those who have participated in counseling are related to behavior outcomes is one which has not been thoroughly explored. Some contend that subjective reactions of participants in counseling are related primarily to their own changed feelings, but not necessarily to behavior changes. In this instance, of course, the concern is with behavior changes in individuals once removed from the counseling situation. At the same time, evidence of change in this group must almost be accepted as evidence of change in the group involved in counseling. This at least has been an assumption underlying the basic thrust of this research.

General Counselor Reactions and Outcome Behavior

Tables 15 through 18 provide data on the interrelationships between general counselor reactions and other outcome criteria.

Table 15 reports the mean responses of counselors to the three items of the GCR. All three responses are above the scale mean of 3, and



responses to Items 1 and 2 fall between a positive and a highly positive response.

Tables 16 through 18 represent correlations between indices of child behavior and specific items of the GCR by group means. Group means were derived from the standard score mean of the groups' children on the various criteria. The standard scores were derived for each school individually. These tables reflect outcomes at all three levels. Only one correlation in Table 16 reaches significance. This is the positive correlation between Item 3 on the GCR which asks "Do you feel that your work with parent groups had any impact on their children?" and scores on Achievement Test No. 2 (Science). This correlation may well be a chance deviation in the absence of other significant correlations in this table.

Table 17 reflects items of the GCR correlated with grades at the end of the first semester and at the end of the second semester. Negative correlations reflect the fact that items on the GCR were responded to positively and that grades went up. Positive correlations, of course, reflect the reverse situation. Perusal of the table indicates that most correlations are in a negative direction, indicating a tendency for positive counselor response to be related to improvement in school grades generally. There are only four significant correlations in the table. Three of these exist between Item 1 of the GCR and various grades. Two of these indicate a relationship between positive GCR response and improved grades, while the third indicates that as GCR responses became more favorable, grades in science at the end of the second semester went down. The same relationship holds between

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Item 2 of the GCR and second semester grades in science.

Table 18 reports the relationship between the GCR and data from the Student Data Summary. The most consistent finding is that as counselor response became more positive to all three items of the GCR, second semester excused absences went down. On the other hand, as counselor responses to Items 1 and 3 of the GCR became more positive, second semester unexcused absences went up. The meaning of this data is difficult to interpret without further research. It is known, however, that excused absences are negatively correlated to unexcused absences for the students in this study.

Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups and Outcome Behavior

Tables 19 through 22 report the relationships between counselor responses to the form labeled "Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups" (CRSG) and outcome data, including achievement tests, grades and certain specific behaviors. Table 19 reports the mean counselor responses to the CRSG items in terms of raw scores. These responses are specific to particular groups, and hence might be logically expected to correlate more highly than did the items of the GCR with outcomes. Inspection of Table 19 indicates that the mean counselor responses are uniformly in directions that can be interpreted as being positive, although the fact that they are closer to the scale mean than the items of the GCR tended to be indicates more moderation in responding when specifics about the individual groups are asked for than was true of their generalized reactions.

Table 20 reveals no significant correlations between items of the CRSG and outcomes on achievement tests. Table 21 indicates that there

and the grades obtained by the children of participating parents.

However, in every case where counselor responses tend to be positive about the group experience, the grades of the children tend to go down. As was true with responses to the GCR, second semester grades in science are particularly sensitive to counselor reactions, and on every item but one on the CRSG positive counselor responses are related to lower student grades. The same can be said for second semester grades in art and music and to a lesser extent of first semester grades in art and music. No specific item of the CRSG appears to be any more sensitive than another, but some academic areas (those just mentioned) do seem more sensitive than others. It should also be noticed that with the exception of art and music, every significant correlation is associated with grades at the end of the second semester, rather than with grades at the end of the first selecter.

Table 22 reports correlations between CRSG items and behavioral indices obtained on children of participating parents. Two significant correlations appear in this table. These indicate a relationship between positive counselor perceptions of group outcomes and a decline in excused absences at the end of the second semester and a relationship between positive counselor perceptions of group rapport and an increase in the number of unexcused absences during the second semester.

Parent Reactions and Outcome Behavior

ERIC

Tables 23 through 26 reflect relationships between parent perceptions of their group counseling experience through the use of the

Impact of Research Procedures 57 on Children

reveals the raw score means of the groups on the various items of the PSRS. Item 1 is the only item which has an approximately normal distribution. Three of the other four items are highly skewed in a favorable direction, but Item 3 asking about behavior change in children is considerably below the midpoint on the scale. Table 24 reports the results of correlations between items of the PSRS and the five achievement measures. Significant correlations are found between Item 1 and 2 of the PSRS and every one of the five achievement measures. Outcomes for Item 1 are rather surprising, to say the least. These correlations indicate that as parent perceptions of the helpfulness of the groups increased, the performance of their children on achievement measures tended to go down. Results obtained on Item 2 indicate that the more that parents perceived a lack of negative results from their group participation, the more the grades of their children tended to go up.

opposites and that one would serve as a check on the other. This is obviously not the case. In attempting to understand this phenomenon further, parents were divided into four groups—a high helpfulness—low negative results group, a low helpfulness—low negative results group, a high helpfulness—moderate negative results group and a low helpfulness—moderate negative results group and a low helpfulness—moderate negative results group. The total parent population was approximately equally divided among these four groups. This finding clearly indicates that Items 1 and 2 of the PSRS are uncorrelated, and the fact that 25% of the total participant parent group was comprised of a high helpfulness—moderate negative results

group may indicate some incomplete closure on the part of a significant segment of the responding population. Steps are under way to analyze these four groups further to see if correlations between their responses to the PSRS and the achievement scores of their children vary from the pattern reported by Table 24.

Table 25 tends, in many ways, to confirm the findings of Table 24. Again, there is a strong tendency for parents who see the groups as very helpful to have children who do more poorly in their academic grades following parent participation in counseling. On the other hand, parents who perceive strongly a lack of negative outcomes from their group participation tended to have children who did better in their grades following parent participation in group counseling. This pattern is almost precisely that described above and will be studied further. At this point, it appears sufficient to say that self-reports of the helpfulness of group counseling by parents is reflected rather surprisingly in some of the more significant behaviors of their children.

The precise reason that parents' self-reports relative to the helpfulness of the group should diverge from counseling outcomes is difficult to pinpoint. More than one alternative answer is possible. In view of the lack of relationship between high interaction and positive behavior outcomes, there is the possibility that counselors in some groups tended to emphasize a therapeutic situation rather than discussion of child behaviors and how parents could influence child behaviors. Thus, parents in these groups might perceive such a group experience as highly helpful but few changes in the behavior of

Impact of Research Procedures 59 on Children

not in that particular direction. The possibility also exists that those parents who were most disturbed found the groups to be the most "helpful." At the same time, these particular parents might logically be expected to show the least behavior change and therefore have less impact on the behavior of their children. Available evidence probably supports the former hypothesis somewhat more strongly than the latter. As will be seen in a subsequent section, when the responses of individuals rather than the responses of groups are correlated with outcome behaviors, the number of significant correlations drops. This would imply that the groups are functioning as units and that there tended to be a certain unanimity of response within a particular group.

Table 26 reflects PSRS relationships with certain child behaviors. The pattern seen in the preceding two tables is not in evidence here. In this instance, Item 3, reflecting parent perceptions of changes in their children's behavior, tends to correlate most highly with the behavioral indices reflected in the table. As parent perceptions of helpfulness and changes in child behavior go up, excused absences during both the first and second semester go down; as parents express willingness to recommend participation in the groups to other friends, first semester excused absences go down; as parents report negative results from their group participation, guidance referrals go up. These relationships fit the project's objectives. However, as parent perceptions of behavior change in their children go up, second semester unexcused absences and administrative referrals for their children also go up and these are not the outcomes anticipated.

N SUCKES

These data are extremely hard to summarize and interpret. Perhaps the best statement that can be made about them is that no simple relationship exists between parent perceptions of their experience in group counseling and the subsequent behavior of the groups' children in school. It is quite possible that the parents' reaction to their children's behavior changed but that the children did not themselves change behavior patterns, and as parents no longer agreed to excuse absences for their children, unexcused absences by definition went up and thus administrative referrals also went up. Clearly, it is no longer sufficient to ask a group whether or not counseling was of benefit to them and assume that a one—to—one relationship exists between their perceptions and the type of subsequent behavioral change experienced by the groups' children.

Attendance Patterns and Outcome Variables

Parent attendance during group counseling sessions is a more firm behavioral index of commitment to counseling than the responses which they make to questionnaires following counseling. For this reason, study of the relationships between parent attendance and the performance of children on outcome criteria are significant. Table 27 reports the mean of the proportion of the groups who attended each of the five meetings. In no case is this proportion as high as 100%, indicating that there was not necessarily a progression of attendance which began with the first meeting; rather, it indicates that some parents initiated their attendance at some time subsequent to the first meeting.

The greatest single drop in attendance does follow Meeting No. 1.

Impact of Research Procedures 61 on Children

This is, in all probability, due to the fact that Meeting No. 1 was utilized solely for the completion of certain instruments by the parents, and a certain number of parents were disgruntled enough with this procedure to break off their participation at this time. This was an anticipated risk, but one the project staff felt must be taken in order to secure certain data. Parent attendance dropped somewhat more than 50% from the first through the fifth meeting. The average participant attended nearly three meetings, as indicated by the figure of 2.67 under the heading "Total Meetings." The fact that one group did not meet at all after the third meeting and two groups did not hold a fifth meeting tended to depress this mean.

Table 28 indicates that there was no significant relationship between attendance at any particular meeting and outcomes on I.Q. and achievement tests. Nor was there any significant relationship between the average total number of meetings attended by the group and the I.Q. and achievement test scores attained by their children. However, this is in an increasingly negative direction as attendance goes up.

Table 29 reports relationships between group attendance at each of the five meetings and average total number of meetings attended with grades obtained by children during the year in which their parents participated in counseling groups. In a matrix of 74 correlations, one correlation appears which is significant at the .05 level and two significant at the .10 level. This indicates a marked lack of correlation between attendance at any one meeting and total number of meetings attended on the one hand and the grades obtained by children of participating parents on the other.

Table 30 does report a large number of highly significant correlations. It is interesting to note that none of these correlations exists between Meeting No. 1, the data collection meeting, and the behavior criteria. These correlations indicate that attendance at Meetings 2, 3, 4 and 5, as well as total number of meetings attended, was related to a decrease in excused absences following both the first and the second semester. On the other hand, attendance at Meetings 2, 3, 4 and total number of meetings attended was correlated with an increase in the number of unexcused absences following the second semester. Attendance at Meetings 3 and 4 was related to a higher number of administrative referrals, while attendance at Meeting No. 3 and total number of meetings attended was related to an increased number of guidance referrals. In this instance, it appears that there are some rather firm relationships between parental attendance and outcome criteria.

Interrelationships between Outcome Behaviors and Participant Reactions by Individual

The preceding section has reported on the interrelationships between outcome behaviors and participant reactions when the group mean was used. This section will report on similar outcomes when individual scores rather than group means were utilized in the correlations. In order to achieve an even clearer picture of such outcomes, mothers and fathers are considered separately. In addition, the much increased N achieved through utilizing individual scores makes it possible to look at the elementary, junior high and high school levels separately and so view the correlations from the point of view of whether or not

Impact of Research Procedures 63 on Children

participation in Series 2 resulted in outcomes different than participation in Series 1. No data on Series 3 are included because of the small N.

One of the most striking global features of this data is the fact that, taken as a whole, there are considerably fewer significant correlations than were found when the data was treated through use of group means. This diminution in the number of significant correlations may well indicate that groups tended to function as a unit and to move in similar directions through a similar process. Utilization of individual scores tends to destroy this group action. The existence of this phenomenon substantiates the notion that groups are either effective as a group or ineffective as a group and merits considerable further investigation.

Parent Attendance and Child School Behavior

Tables 31 and 32 present the relationships between certain specific child behaviors, including excused absences, unexcused absences, administrative referrals and guidance referrals, and the total number of meetings mothers and fathers were in attendance, both singly and combined. Table 31 reflects these relationships for the mothers and their husbands, while Table 32 reflects these relationships for fathers and their wives.

The major impact which the attendance of mothers had on the school behavior of their children was on the administrative referrals made of these children. At both the elementary and junior high school levels, as mother attendance increased the number of administrative referrals made of their children also increased at the end of the

second semester. At the junior high level, as the attendance of the husbands of these mothers increased the number of administrative referrals of children also increased. At both the elementary and junior high school levels, as the attendance of both of these parents increased so the number of administrative referrals increased. Three other significant correlations appear in this table. They are related to absences and father attendance, but no pattern open to interpretation appears.

Table 32 indicates that as fathers' total attendance increases, as the attendance of their spouses increases and as their attendance together increases, the number of administrative referrals at the end of the second semester also increases at the elementary level. A nearly identical pattern appears at the junior high school level, with the exception that the attendance of wives does not appear to influence administrative referrals.

The same table also reveals that as father attendance increases, the number of excused absences diminishes and the same is true for the attendance of their wives and of their mutual attendance at the elementary level. Father attendance also appears to have a highly significant relationship to guidance referrals in the elementary school at the end of the second semester. As father attendance increases and as the attendance of their wives increases and as their mutual attendance increases, the number of guidance referrals also increases. These relationships did not appear when the data were viewed from the point of view of mothers (see Table 31). Thus, it would appear that the fathers' attendance affects not only the number of administrative

Impact of Research Procedures 65 on Children

referrals, but also the number of guidance referrals made. From this point of view, father attendance at group counseling sessions appears to be an important variable in determining what happens to children in the school setting. In both of these tables, the least number of significant correlations is found at the high school level. At the same time, it should be pointed out that data were not available at that level on some of the variables which had the most significant correlations at the elementary and junior high school levels. Hence, it should not be assumed that child behavior cannot be influenced through parent attendance at group counseling meetings at the high school level.

Parent Reactions and Behavior Outcomes

The relationships between Post-Series Reaction Sheet outcomes and IQ scores, achievement test scores and grades are reported in Tables 33 and 34. Following Series 1, children whose mothers reported the highest amount of behavior change did most poorly on achievement tests, both at the elementary and junior high school level. In addition, at the junior high level there tended to be a similar relationship between children's achievement test performance and mothers who reported that the groups were the most helpful. A similar relationship existed for junior high school fathers following Series 1 who perceived the most behavior change. Again, their children tended to do poorly on achievement tests. Father perceptions of helpfulness, unlike those of the mother, were unrelated to achievement test performance for the most part. These findings are interesting in light of the fact that nearly 80% of the parents who reported behavior change in their children also



1

reported that the change was for the better. That perception is not borne out as far as performance on achievement tests are concerned.

The relationship between grades and parent perceptions is presented in Tables 35 and 36. Patterns observable between parent perceptions and achievement test scores also appear to exist between parent perceptions and grades. At the elementary level, several correlations reflect the fact that when mothers perceive a relatively high amount of behavior change in their children, the grades which children receive tend to be low. The same relationship holds at the junior high school level and it is stronger here, both in terms of the number and level of significance of correlations. The same pattern holds for junior high school fathers following Series 1, but is not characteristic of elementary school fathers. By and large, the other parent perception variables are not predictive of children's grades following Series 1.

Tables 37 and 38 report correlations between behavior variables and the PSRS for mothers and fathers by school level. The number of significant correlations in these two tables is extremely low. Very little in the way of a pattern of correlations exists. It does appear that mothers at the elementary and junior high school levels who perceive the greatest change to have taken place in their children's behavior were the individuals whose children had the highest proportion of guidance referrals at the end of the second semester. The possibility that these mothers brought their children to the attention of guidance specialists during or following their parent group participation cannot be overlooked.

Impact of Research Procedures 67 on Children

Summary

Statistically significant differences were found between the children of participants and non-participants on the grades which they achieved during both of the experimental years. These differences exist at all academic levels, with the exception of the elementary level during the 1964-65 academic year. Even in this instance the direction of the difference was appropriate, although the difference itself was not significant. Measured differences in academic aptitude between the children of participants and non-participants do not appear to account for this difference.

The scores of the children of participants on standardized academic achievement tests exceeded those of the children of non-participating parents on every single comparison made at all academic levels during both years of data collection. The overwhelming unanimity of differences cannot be ignored.

Specific measures of behavior applied to the children of participants and non-participants generally revealed differences in favor of children whose parents had participated in group counseling. This applies to excused and unexcused absences, tardies and various kinds of referrals. It should be emphasized that this picture is not a unanimous one but, generally speaking, favors children of participants.

The correlation of counselor and parent perceptions of their counseling experience with behavior variables has resulted in the creation of a highly complex picture. The largest number of significant correlations, generally speaking, existed between parent and counselor perceptions and specific behavioral indices rather than grades or

achievement test scores. For the most part, these particular correlations were in expected directions.

On the other hand, other correlations were significant but not in expected directions. For example, parent perceptions of the helpfulness of the group were related to achievement test outcomes and grades, but not in the expected directions. The higher the perceived helpfulness of the groups, the lower the grades and achievement test scores received by children. On the other hand, an item initially assumed to be the polar opposite of the "helpfulness" item turned out to be completely uncorrelated to it. In this instance, when parents reported no negative results had accrued from their participation in counseling, then achievement test scores and grades were high. Further study of this phenomenon is warrented by the fact that four approximately equal groups were formed when the total group was divided into a high helpfulness-low negative results group, high helpfulness-high negative results group, low negative results-high helpfulness group and a low negative results-low helpfulness group.

Counselor perceptions of rapport, interaction, hostility and group outcomes were related to children's grades, particularly in science, music and art. As with parents, however, these significant correlations were not in the expected direction; the more positive the counselor perception, the lower were grades. It should be remembered that the grades of children of participating parents were above average and were uniformly higher than those of non-participating parents, and it may be hypothesized that the relationship between higher positive perceptions of the counseling process by both parents

Impact of Research Procedures 69 on Children

and counselors reflects some relaxation in parental demands on children's school performance.

Little or no relationship was found between parent attendance at group meetings and achievement test scores or parent attendance patterns and school grades. On the other hand, a number of significant relationships were discovered between parent attendance patterns and subsequent child behavior patterns, including excused and unexcused absences, administrative referrals and guidance referrals. Generally speaking, these outcomes were in expected directions and the significance of correlations in these areas was high.

It was also observed that the absolute number of correlations between both parent and counselor perceptions and child behavior data was reduced materially when correlations were computed on the basis of individual responses rather than on the basis of groups means. This phenomenon cannot be attributed to a smaller response range when individual cases were utilized, since the reverse was actually the case. It would appear that a group mean is a more powerful predictor than the responses of individuals. Further, it can be hypothesized that groups tended to move as a unit or not to move as a unit. While this phenomenon has frequently been speculated upon, present data appear to confirm its existence.



TABLE 1

Comparison of Participant and Non-Participant Children on Grades: High School Level (Standard Scores)

				196	4-65			1965	-66	
			No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.	No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.
I.Q.		X S.D.	54	5•33 0•79	294	4.95 0.96	45	5.15 1.02	241	4.99 0.90
GRADES.										
English	lst Sem.	X S∙D•					59	5•34 1•08	527	4.97 0.98
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	64	5•34 0•89	359	4.93 1.01	60	5.37 1.00	518	4.96 0.99
Language	lst Sem.	X S.D.					37	5.24 1.07	248	4.97 0.98
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	64	5•22 0•94	359	4.95 1.00	38	5.26 1.10	240	4.97 0.98
Math •	lst Sem.	X S.D.					56	5.28 1.04	432	4.97 0.99
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	64	5.22 0.87	357	4.95 1.02	55	5.36 1.05	427	4.96 0.98
Social Studies	lst Sem.	X S.D.					17	5.62 0.98	255	4.97 0.99
	2nd Sem.	\overline{X} S.D.	64	5.03 0.62	358	4.94 0.7 <u>1</u>	16	5.39 1.00	248	4.98 0 .9 9
Science	lst Sem.	X S.D.					50	5.28 1.10	372	4.97 0.98
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	64	5•39 0•98	356	4.92 0.99	51	5.36 1.00	368	4.96 0. 99
Shop and Home Econ.	lst Sem.	X S.D.					23	5•59 0•94	33h	4.97 0.99
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	63	5.28 0.87	348	4.94 1.01	27	5•36 0• 94	332	4.98 1.00
Art and Music	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	40	5.28 0.90	185	4.93 1.01	38	5.40 1.05	29 5	4.95 0.98

Impact of Research Procedures 71 on Children

TABLE 2

Comparison of Participant and Non-Participant Children on Grades: Junior High Level (Standard Scores)

			1964-65			1965-66				
			No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.	No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.
I.Q.		X S.D.	147	5.30 0.87	954	4.97 0.89	172	5 .0 6 0 . 92	702	4.91 0.96
GRADES:										
English	lst S:m.	X s.d.					75	5.04 1.09	723	4.98 0.99
	2nd Sem.	X s.d.	68	5•35 0•92	445	4.94 1.00	33	5.31 0.96	557	4.95 0.99
Language	lst Sem.	X S.D.					75	5,14 1,12	722	4.95 1.00
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	165	5.22 0.98	1042	4.96 1.00	76	5.24 1.05	7 45	4.95 0.99
14eth	lst Sem.	X S.D.					75	5.07 0.98	722	4.93 1.00
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	165	5.31 1.01	1041	4.94 0.99	76	5.08 1.03	748	4.96 1.00
Social Studies	lst Sem.	X S.D.					75	5.11 1.00	723	4.98 1.01
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	164	5 . 22 0 . 98	1039	4.96 1.00	76	5.08 1.01	744	4.97 1.00
Science	lst Sem.	X S.D.					75	5.00 1.04	720	5.00 0.99
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	34	5.22 0.94	148	4.9! 1.01	3	5.89 0.00	38	4.74 1.05
Shop and Home Econ.	løt Sem.	X S.D.					0		0	
<u></u>	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	164	5.26 1.02	1040	4.95 0.99	54	5.09 0.93	316	4.99 1.01
Art and Music	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	52	5.24 1.15	310	4.95 0.97	62	5.28 0.88	576	4.95 1.01

TABLE 3

Comparison of Participant and Non-Participant Children on Grades: Elementary Level (Standard Scores)

				1964-65				1965-66		
			No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.	No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.
I.Q.		\overline{X} s.d.	131	4.97 0.96	226	5.01 0.85	183	5.12 1.03	680	4.96 0.99
GRADES:										
English	lst Sem.	X S.D.					168	5.29 0.96	657	4.93 1.00
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	172	4.96 0.93	532	5.06 0.99	227	5•30 0•90	1088	4.93 0.98
Language	lst Sem.	X S.D.					168	5.31 1.02	657	4.93 0.98
	2nd Sem.	X s.d.	195	5.12 0.91	631	4.96 1.02	224	5 . 23 0 . 95	1045	4.95 0.96
Math	lst Sem.	X S.D.					168	5.24 0.95	656	4.95 1.01
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	184	5.08 0.96	600	4.98 1.00	228	5.19 0.98	1087	4.96 1.00
Social Studies	lst Sem.	X S.D.					167	5.18 1.04	652	4.99 0.95
	2nd Sem.	\overline{X} S.D.	197	5.10 0.96	659	4.96 1.01	222	5.14 0.94	1015	4.96 0.90
Science	lst Sem.	X S.D.					167	5.18 1.03	654	4.96 0.99
	2nd Sem.	\overline{X} S.D.	170	5.10 1.02	517	4.96 0.97	217	5.10 0.93	987	4.98 0.92
Shop and Home Econ.	lst Sem.	\overline{X} S.D.					2	5.00 0.00	10	5.00 0.63
	2nd Sem.	X S.D.	197	5.18 0.94	642	4.94 0.96	5	5.20 0.40	29	4.97 0.89
Art and Music	2nd Sem.	X s.d.	0		3	4.99 1.00	200	5.08 0.86	881	4.98 0.93

Impact of Research Procedures 73 on Children

TABLE	4
-------	---

SIGNIFICANCE OF SIGN TESTS

High School Grades			
2-0-	N	<u>x</u>	P
64-65	7	0	.008
65-66 (1)	6	O	.016
65-66 (2)	7	0	.008
Junior High Grades			_
	<u> N</u>	<u>x</u>	P
<i>6</i> 4 - 65	7	0	•008
65-66 (1)	4	0	.031
65-66 (2)	7	0	.008
Elementary Grades			
	<u> N</u>	<u>x</u>	P
64-65	6	1	.109
65 - 66 (1)	5	0	.031
65-66 (2)	7	0	.008

65**-**66 **(**2)



TABLE 5

Comparison of Participent and Non-Participent Children on Achievement Tests: High School Level (Standard Scores)

		1964-65			1965-66				
Differential Aptitude Test		No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.	No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.
Verbal Reasoning	X S.D.	80	5•24 0•93	428	4.95 1.00	47	5.40 0.85	253	4.92 1.01
Numerical Ability	X S.D.	80	5.18 0.92	428	4.95 1.01	47	5.43 0.80	253	4.92 1.01
Abstract Reasoning	X S.D.	86	5.12 0.96	428	4.97 1.01	47	5.30 1.00	253	4.94 0.99
Space Relations	X S.D.	80	5.45 0.91	428	4.91 0.99	47	5.22 0.90	253	4.95 1.01
Mechanical Reasoning	X S.D.	80	5 . 36 0 . 96	428	4.93 1.00	47	5.17 0.84	253	4.97 1.02
VR + NA	X S.D.	80	5.26 0.86	428	4.96 1.01	47	5.13 0.95	253	4.97 1.01

TABLE 6

Comparison of Participant and Non-Participant Children on Achievement Tests: Junior High Level (Standard Scores)

			1965	-66	
Science Rese		No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part
Social Studies	X S.D.	71	5.16 0.89	657	4.94 1.00
Science	X S.D.	72	5.26 1.06	653	4.93 0.98
Ianguage Arts	∵X S•D•	72	5•23 0•91	657	4.94 1.00
Math	X S•D•	72	5.18 1.12	653	4.93 0.98
Total Reading	X S.D.	72	5.24 0.98	654	4.94 0.99

TABLE 7

Comparison of Participant and Non-Participant Children on Achievement Tests*: Elementary Level (Standard Scores)

		1965-66						
		No.	Part.	No.	Non- Part.			
Social Studies	X S∙D•	149	5•31 1•06	650	4.92 0.97			
Science	X S.D.	149	5.25 1.14	650	4.92 0.94			
Language Arts**	X S•D•	160	5•31 1•07	719	4.92 0.97			
Math	X S.D.	18	5.20 1.06	93	4.96 0.98			
Total Reading	X S.D.	18	5.24 1.11	93	4.95 0.97			

^{*} Categories grouped from various tests to roughly follow SRA pattern.
** Reading Readiness total score combined here with Language Arts
score.

TABLE 8

SIGNIFICANCE OF SIGN TESTS

High School Achievement Tests

	<u>N</u>	<u>x</u>	P
64-65	6	0	.016
65-66	6	0	.016

Junior High Achievement Tests

$$\frac{N}{5}$$
 $\frac{X}{5}$ $\frac{P}{0}$.031

Elementary Achievement Tests

	N	X	<u>P</u>
65-66	5	0	.031

							Impact of on Chil	Researc	h Proced	ures 77
			O	0	0	7	72	0	0	
			ω	0	0	₽.	m	0	0	
			-	0	0	ω	-	0	0	
#			nts 6	0	0	7	o/	0	0	able
			cipa 5	0	0	10	12	0	0	vail
			arti 4	Q	ณ	90	ω	0	0	ស ស ស
			Non-Participants 3 4 5 6	Q	က	11	נו	α	0	No data available
i y			2 0	m	#	18	15	#	0	4
			т	ಟ	เร	13	15	Φ	က	
		Data Summary School Boys	0	81	77	12	15	98	97	
		Dete Summer School Boys	o,	0	0	m	0	0	0	
	Q		Φ	0	0	0	o,	0	0	
	TABLE	1965-66 Student Behavior: High	7	0	0	9	m	0	0	
	A	Stu r:]	₈ 9	0	0	12	15	0	0	able.
		1965-66 S4 Behavior:	pant 5	0	0	ന	9	0	0	veil
		196 Beh	Participants 3 4 5 '	0	0	12	9	0	0	148 a
			Par 3	0	0	15	က	0	0	No data available
T			ณ	0	0	27	S	0	0	A
			ч	o,	က	12	8	0	က	
			0	91	76	18	0/	100	26	
And the second s			Frequency	% 1st Sem. Excused Absences	% 2nd Sem. Excused Absences	% 1st Sem. Unexcused Absences	% 2nd Sem. Unexcused Absences	% lst Sem. Administrative Referrals	% 2nd Sem. Administrative Referrals	Guidance Referrals

TABLE 10

1965-66 Student Data Summary Behavior: Junior High Boys

And the second s

							Impac on	t of Res Childres	search F n	rocedur	res 79
		o	`	т	Ħ	4	5		0		0
		α)	0	ณ	4	ω		0		0
		1	_	0	0	ω	ω		0		0
		nts 6	o	0	ч	9	Q	арле	m	able	0
		cipa	^	0	ณ	7	10	vail	0	veil	4
		Non-Participants	‡	0	ત	ដ	o,	No data available	0	data available	0
		on-P	ກ	Н	က	בו	11	න් ර	Н	No da	0
		-	પ	ત્ય	ω	1 7	10	z	က	4	ณ
			-	1.7	55	16	1 7		ω		13
	arry		0	42	53	17	15		ਛੋ		81
	Summary Boy		σ	0	0	ч	Q		4		0
11	6 Student Data Summary or: Elementary Boys		ω	0	o,	4	ដ		0		rv.
TABLE			2	0	н	Q,	ω		0		0
Ħ		Ø	9	0	Н	9	य	lable	0	No data available	0
	1965-66 Behavior	pants	ς.	0	m	12	Φ	ve.11	0	veil.	0
	1965-6 Behavi	Participan	‡	0	Н	2	10	No data avai	0	8 8 1	0
		Par	ო	0	ო	7,4	ω	ර යින	0	වූ රූ	0
			ณ	Н	4	57	† 1	2	#	H	0
			Н	55	88	13	0/		ω		ננ
			0	83	55	17	11		83		83
			Frequency	% 1st Sem. Excused Absences	% 2nd Sem. Excused Absences	% 1st Sem. Unexcused Absences	% 2nd Sem. Unexcused Absences	% 1st Sem. Administrative Referrals	% 2nd Sem. Administrative Referrals	% lst Sem. Guidance Referrals	% 2nd Sem. Guidance Referrals

A SERVICE COM

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

TABLE 12

1965-66 Student Data Summary Behavior: High School Girls

Girle		
High School Girls		
High		
Behavior:		

Frequency	0	Н	Q	Par	tici 4	Participants 3 4 5	₈ 9	7	ω	σ	C	-	۵ م	on-F	arti L	Non-Participants 3 4 5 6	nts	4	α	U
% lst Sem. Excused Absences	ಪೆ	12	4	, 0	0	. 0	0	. 0	0	. 0	92	ı g	1 4) н	• н	. 0	0	- 0) 0	`
% 2nd Sem. Excused Absences	92	4	4	0	0	0	0	o ´	0	0	99	56	Ŋ	0	က	0	0	0	0	•
% 1st Sem. Unexcused Absences	80	88	0	16	ω	76	0	0	ω	†	12	य	17	55	נו	ω	Q	0/	2	
% 2nd Sem. Unexcused Absences	ည်	8	16	ω	ထ	4	ω	ထ	ω	0	10	16	13	9	11	10	ω	0/	īζ	
% 1st Sem. Administrative Referrals	96	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	4	4	a	0	0	0	0	0	
% 2nd Sem. Administrative Referrals	96	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	#	٦	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Guidance Referrals			Z	No data availablė	ර්ෂ න ැ	raila	ıblė						Ž	ර ශ්ය	្ន ខ្មែ	No data available	1ble			

1											
raccourt							Impao on	ct of Re Childre	search n	Procedures	81
Investo			O	35	O 1		0	0	ત્ય		
			ဆ	4	4		ri.	0	0		
Vername			7	7	9		H	0	-		
**** ! ##			nts 6	7	9	ble	~	0	0	ble	
The same			cipa 5	ω	ω	aila	ч	0	ત્ય	หน้ใย	
វត			arti 4	9	7	8 8	0	0	ત્ય	ස ස	
Lancas I			Non-Participants 3 4 5 6	9	Q	No data available	તા	0	4	No data available	
			N N	11	o/	NG	ო	0	ന	ă	
			러	N	9		Φ	4	7		
No.		1965-66 Student Data Summary Behavior: Junior High Girls	O	10	9		83	96	81		
43		a Su Iigh	Q	34	33		0	0	લ		
1	51	Dat or H	80	4	70		0	0	0		
	TABLE	ıdent Juni	2	ŗ,	īυ		0	0	0		
elikang)	er -	S Stu or:	83 9	11	9	арле	0	0	0	able.	
		1965-66 S Behavior:	Participants 3 4 5	N	က	No data available	0	0	0	No data available	
		19(Bel	rtic: 4	10	9	දු ස	ત	0	٦	rta a	
			ည္ကိုက္	0	10	o da	0	0	rV.	ර් ශ්ෂ	
			ณ	0/	10	7	ณ	0	Φ	H	
			႕	ω	Φ		ന	Т	9		
			0	ω	10		93	8	78		
								e O	e A		
			ency	% 1st Sem. Excused Absences	% 2nd Sem. Excused Absences	% 1st Sem. Unexcused Absences	% 2nd Sem. Unexcused Absences	% lst Sem. Administrative Referrals	% 2nd Sem. Administrative Referrals	Guidance Referrals	
			Frequency	% lst Se Excused Absences	% 2nd Sel Excused Absences	% lst Sem Unexcused Absences	% 2nd Ser Unexcuse Absences	% lst Admin Refe	% 2nd Admil Refe	Guidance Referral	

Service Servic

 ω

ဌ

0

0

the state of the s

TABLE 14

1965-66.Student Dat. Summary Behavior: Elemental Girls

				α Ω	Dartioinarts	ממני	v +								‡.	Mon. Dowt 4 od no nte	υ ‡			
Frequency	0	Н	ณ	; m	; 	5	9	7	ω	σ	0	~	ณ	3	7	אָל זיין זיין	9	7	ω	
% lst Sem. Excused Absences	85	212	m	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	18	5	-	0	0	0	0	0	
% 2nd Sem. Excused Absences	59	เร	4	4	Н	4	ત	Н	႕	ω	64	25	9	ณ	ณ	Н	α	a	Н	г-1
% lst Sem. Unexcused Absences	15	13	S,	13	2	12	7	ω	72	H	13	12	11	검	נו	10	10	īV	_	
% 2nd Sem. Unexcused Absences	12	ω	13	2	य	9/	10	7	13	o/	1.5	11	11	10	13	10	7	8	9	
% lst Sem. Administrative Referrals	83	හ	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$	7	ri	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% 2nd Sem. Administrative Referrals	83	TT	0	٥.	0	0	0	0	7	0	90	ω	Ø	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Guldance Referrals			Z	No da:	data available	vail	able						74	ရာ ဝ	8 8	vail.	No data available			

Impact of Research Procedures 83 on Children

TABLE 15

General Counselor Reactions Mean & Standard Deviation (Raw Data)

General Counselor Reaction	Mean	S.D.	N
1	4.06	•95	31
2	4.10	1.00	31
2	3.48	1.04	31

TABLE 16

General Counselor Reactions Correlated with Post I.Q. and Achievement Tests (Standard Scores)

	1	2	3
I.Q.	.143	.118	.081
	(30)	(30)	(30)
Social Studies	.311	.117	.326
	(21)	(21)	(21)
Science	.235	.179	•378 *
	(21)	(21)	(21)
Language Arts	.240	.153	.081
	(21)	(21)	(21)
Math	.22 ¹ 4	.163	.021
	(21)	(21)	(21)
Total Reading	.163	.076	.138
	(21)	(21)	(21)

^{*} Significant at .10 level.

TABLE 17 General Counselor Reactions Correlated with Grades (Standard Scores)

			1	2	3
lst	Sem.	English	216 (26)	107 (26)	10 4 (26)
2nd	Sem.	English	.049 (25)	•071 (25)	.108 (25)
lst	Sem.	Language	214 (26)	022 (26)	098 (26)
2nd	Sem.	Language	150 (31)	088 (31)	085 (31)
lst	Sem.	Math	-•387 * (26)	(26)	111 (26)
2nd	Sem.	Math	044 (31)	.045 (31)	.204 (31)
lst	Sem.	Social Studies		191 (26)	
2nd	Sem.	Social Studies	001 (31)	.077 (31)	.060 (31)
lst	Sem.	Science	368* (26)	217 (26)	088 (26)
2nd	Sem.	Science	.629 ** (20)	.627 ** (20)	.367 (20)
lst	Sem.	Shop and H.E.	.000 (2)	.000 (2)	.000
2nd	Sem.	Shop and H.E.	.112 (18)	.252 (18)	.172 (18)
lst	Sea.	Art and Music	228 (26)	140 (26)	017 (26)
2nd	Sem.	Art and Music		.079 (30)	.065 (30)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .01 level.

Impact of Research Procedures 85 on Children

TABLE 18

General Counselor Reactions Correlated with Behavior (Raw Data)

	1	2	3
1st Sem. Excused Absences	146	<u>194</u>	-•539***
	(26)	(26)	(26)
2nd Sem. Excused Absences	-•384**	369**	497***
	(31)	(31)	(31)
1st Sem. Unexcused Absences	.048	.048	048
	(5)	(5)	(5)
2nd Sem. Unexcused Absences	•330 *	•297	•653 ***
	(27)	(27)	(27)
Administrative Referrals for Year	.316	.280	.187
	(27)	(27)	(27)
Guidance Referrals	319	240	.049
for Year	(25)	(25)	(25)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 19

Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups

Mean & Standard Deviation

(Raw Data)

Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups	Mean	S.D.	N
1	3.61	. 98	41
2	3.56	1.04	43.
3	2.32	1.22	41
5	2.32	•95	41
6	3.22	1.09	41

TABLE 20

Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups
Correlated with I.Q. and Achievement Tests
(Standard Scores)

	1	2	3	5	6
I.Q.	-•147	041	187	•199	.071
	(40)	(40)	(40)	(40)	(40)
Social Studies	070	039	237	•037	009
	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)
Science	043	•054	164	.010	.031
	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)
Language Arts	073	-•134	-•35 ¹ 4	.025	002
	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)
Math	2 ⁱ 42	257	191	•239	163
	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)
Total Reading	196	184	325	.100	133
	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)

Impact of Research Procedures 87 on Children

TABLE 21	
Counselor Reactions to Specific Correlated with Grades (Standard Scores)	Groups

		1	5	3	5	ь
lst Sem.	English	•164 (34)	.211 (34)	.198 (34)	099 (34)	.092 (34)
2nd Sem.	English	.201 (35)	.20 9 (35)	•359 ** (35)	099 (35)	.184 (35)
lst Sem.	Language	. <u>1</u> 46 (34)	•173 (34)	.272 (34)	133 (34)	.079 (34)
2nd Sem.	Language	.277* (41)	.149 (41)	.264* (41)	180 (41)	.111 (41)
lst Sem.	Math	016 (34)	.006 (34)	.198 (34)	.113 (34)	082 (34)
2nd Sem.	Math	.189 (41)	.254 (41)	.200 (41)	.000 (41)	.153 (41)
lst Sem.	Social Studies	.009 (34)	.111 (34)	•199 (34)	.128 (34)	.088 (34)
2nd Sem.	Social Studies	.260 (41)	.250 (41)	.213 (41)	059 (41)	.303* (41)
lst Sem.	Science	021 (34)	•054 (34)	.286 (34)	.148 (34)	.016 (34)
2nd Sem.	Science	.կկ6 ** (31)	•395 ** (31)	.426 ** (31)	231 (31)	.482 *** (31)
1st Sem.	Shop and Home Econ.	.000 (3)	.000	.000	.000 (3)	.000 (3)
2nd Sem.	Shop and Home Econ.	197 (21)	216 (21)	.117 (21)	.059 (21)	.014 (21)
lst Sem.	Art and Music	.300* (34)	•299* (34)	.107	230 (34)	.224 (34)
2nd Sem.	Art and Music	.272* (40)	.229 ** (40)	•376 ** (40)	249 (40)	•322 ** (40)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 22

Counselor Reactions to Specific Groups
Correlated with Behavior
(Raw Data)

		1	2	3	5	6
lst Sem.	Excused	056	047	041	090	169
	Absences	(34)	(34)	(34)	(34)	(34)
2nd Sem.	Excused	093	153	-•139	131	279*
	Absences	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)
lst Sem.	Unexcused	.613**	•353	•352	233	.459
	Absences	(13)	(13)	(13)	(13)	(13)
2nd Sem.	Unexcused	.058	021	•024	.085	.122
	Absences	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)
Administra	ative	•083	064	.007	.071	.090
Referr a la	s for Year	(31)	(31)	(31)	(31)	(31)
Guidance I	Referrals	.141	.183	.105	.069	.051
for Year		(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level.

Impact	of	Research	Procedures	89
on Ch	nile	iren		

TABLE :	23
---------	----

Post-Series Reaction Sheet Mean & Standard Deviation (Raw Data)

Post-Series Reaction Sheet	Mean	S.D.	n
1	3.15	.81	40
2	4.72	-37	40
3	1.80	.64	40
3A	1.12	•24	25
4	1.08	•22	40

TABLE 24

Post-Series Reaction Sheet Correlated with I.Q. and Achievement Tests (Standard Scores)

	1	2 3	3 <u>A</u>	4
I.Q.	282*	.520***192	.244	.186
	(39)	(39) (39)	(25)	(39)
Social Studies	451**	.488*263	.168	015
	(22)	(22) (22)	(12)	(22)
Science	422*	.522***093	.097	.063
	(22)	(22) (22)	(12)	(22)
Language Arts	-•535 **	.618***363*	•395	•033
	(22)	(22) (22)	(12)	(22)
Math	435 **	.554***131	.172	.131
	(22)	(22)	(12)	(22)
Total Reading	471**	.659***205	.190	013
	(22)	(22) (22)	(12)	(22)



^{*} Significant - .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 25

Post-Series Reaction Sheet Correlated with Grades (Standard Scores)

		1	2	3	3 A	4
lst Sem.	English		462 *** (33)	.235 (33)	-•228 (23)	139 (33)
2nd Sem.	English	.442 *** (35)	(35)	.409** (35)	159 (22)	.025 (35)
lst Sem.	Language		465 *** (33)	.232 (33)	-•333 (23)	-•099 (33)
2nd Sem.	Language		-•473 *** (40)	•337 ** (40)	246 (25)	120 (40)
lst Sem.	Math	,461*** (33)	509*** (33)	•220 (33 _,)	-•277 (23)	 184
2nd Sem.	Math	•364 ** (40)	419*** (40)	.172 (40)	-•2 ¹¹ 1 (25)	127 (40)
lst Sem.	Social Studies	.345 ** (33)	237 (33)	.260 (33)	0 1/1 (23)	229 (33)
2nd Sem.	Social Studies	•365 ** (40)	-•331 ** (40)	.238 (40)	178 (25)	-•144 (40)
lst Sem.	Science	·312* (33)	479*** (33)	.052 (33)	(23)	124 (33)
2nd Sem.	Science	.112 (30)	048 (30)	.183 (30)	.142 (20)	.021 (30)
lst Sem.	Shop and Home Econ.	•000 (3)	.000 (3)	·000 (3)	.000 (2)	•000 (3)
2nd Scm.	Shop and Home Econ.	.028 (21)	.061 (21)	··•032 (21)	148 (13)	157 (21)
lst Sem.	Art and Music	•332 * (33)	-•394** (33)	.119 (33)	202 (23)	008 (33)
2nd Sem.	Art and Music	•197 (39)	172 (39)	.224 (39)	075 (25)	142 (39)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

Impact of Research Procedures 91 on Children

TABLE 26

Post-Series Reaction Sheet Correlated with Behavior (Raw Data)

		1	2	3	3 A	4
1st Sem.	Excused	336 *	204	516***	.038	.294*
	Absences	(33)	(33)	(33)	(2 3)	(33)
2nd Sem.	Excused	403**	227	346**	028	.105
	Absences	(40)	(40)	(40)	(25)	(40)
1st Sem.	Unexcused	.252	246	084	166	404
	Absences	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)
2nd Sem.	Unexcused	.201	.184	•305 *	121	226
	Absences	(34)	(34)	(34)	(23)	(34)
Administr	rative	.222	.114	•359 **	173	100
Referral	Ls for Year	(31)	(31)	(31)	(17)	(31)
Guidance	Referrals	•306	456**	.095	157	.050
for Yes	ar	(28)	(28)	(28)	(16)	(28)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 27

Parent Attendance Patterns Series 1 Mean & Standard Deviation (Raw Data)

Meeting Number	Proportion Attending	S.D.	N
1	79.02%	23.17%	55
2	63.05	17.13	55
3	49.04	23.59	55
4	42.39	24.65	54
5	34.56	23.24	52
Total Meetings	2.67	.69	55

TABLE 28

Parent Attendance Patterns Series 1 Correlated with I.Q. and Achievement Tests (Standard Scores)

	1	2	3	4	5	Total Meetings Attended
I.Q.	045	-•045	•035	033	.0 06	035
	(46)	(46)	(46)	(46)	(46)	(46)
Social Studies	172	059	068	238	054	166
	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)
Science	139	102	128	243	~•232	278
	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)
Language Arts	163	.045	.082	151	.018	047
	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)
Math	157	141	106	-•242	093	228
	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)
Total Reading	210	197	114	256	095	-•239
	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)

Total

TABLE 29

Parent Attendance Patterns Correlated with Grades (Standard Scores)

			1	2	3	4	5	Meetings Attended
	lst Sem.	English	.071 (44)	073 (44)	(ħ†) •000	.080 (43)	.063 (41)	.091 (44)
	2nd Sem.	English	.019 (49)	140 (49)	089 (49)	.133 (48)	.043 (46)	.014 (49)
	lst Sem.	Language	.153 (44)	- . 130 (44)	.012 (44)	.130 (43)	.007 (41)	.102 (44)
	2nd Sem.	Language	016 (54)	.038 (54)	.036 (54)	.158 (53)	.091 (51)	.096 (54)
A CONTRACTOR	lst Sem.	Math	.083	127 (44)	-•012 (44)	.153 (43)	.149 (4 1)	.096 (44)
	2nd Sem.	Math	.117 (55)	225 * (55)	064 (55)	155 (54)	068 (52)	130 (55)
Ш	lst Sem.	Social Science	.178 (44)	.070 (44)	•039 (44)	.046 (43)	.182 (41)	•25l+ * (44)
	2nd Sem.	Social Science	•154 (54)	069 (54)	.132 (54)	.065 (53)	.168 (51)	.174 (54)
	lst Sem.	Science	(44) •5/4	.026 (44)	.011 (44)	.113 (43)	.092 (41)	.189 (44)
	2nd Sem.	Science	.071 (43)	.146 (43)	.175 (43)	.006 (42)	.101 (40)	.139 (43)
	lst Sem.	Shop & H.E.	.000 (3)	.000	.000	.000	.000 (3)	.000 (3)
	2nd Sem.	Shop & H.E.	.512 ** (22)	.233 (22)	019 (22)	.058 (22)	271 (22)	.121 (22)
	lst Sem.	Art and Music	.045 (44)	- • 055 (44)	062 (44)	.044 (43)	092 (41)	(1 11 1)
	2nd Sem.	Art and Music	091 (51)	.106 (51)	026 (51)	016 (50)	.015 (48)	.006 (51)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 30 Parent Attendance Patterns Correlated with Behavior (Raw Data)

. ** #	ı	2	3	4	5	Total Meetings Attended
lst Sem. Excused Absences	.094	-•473***	-•309 **	322 **	281*	-•363 **
	(43)	(43)	(43)	(43)	(41)	(43)
2nd Sem. Excused Absences	•033	452 ***	-•283**	-•333 **	-•309**	406***
	(54)	(54)	(54)	(54)	(52)	(54)
lst Sem. Unexcused Absences	.109	•037	056	.151	.165	.193
	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(18)	(20)
2nd Sem. Unexcused	191	.479***	•317 **	,կկկ * **	•237	•319 **
Absences	(48)	(48)	(1:8)		(46)	(48)
Administrative Referrals for Year	216	.252	.292 *	•378 **	.220	.177
	(38)	(38)	(38)	(38)	(36)	(38)
Guidance Referrals	084	.271	.346*	.168	.207	•296 *
for Year	(32)	(32)	(32)	(32)	(31)	(32)

^{*} Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 31

Correlations between Student Data Summary Indices and Attendance of Mothers and Their Spouses by Academic Level

School	Total	032	066	057	0 4 3 (57)		
High Sch	Mother	051 (54)	.013 (54)	072	051 (54)		
H	Husband	056 (38)	-,038	.099 (38)	.395** (38)		
ជ	Total Att.	.016 (162)	011		.009	. ,184** (174)	.055
Junior High	Mother	.040 (142)	008 (150)		.0 63 (150)	.234*** (151)	.048 (151)
ų	Husband	0 ⁴ 1 (97)	024 (103)		046 (103)	.353***	.099
	Total Att.	.164** (142)	116 (201)	089 (142)	.058	.534 *** (47)	-, O43 (32)
lementary	Mother	.162 (131)	113 (186)	094 (131)	.058 (152)	.533*** (44)	058 (29)
Œ	Husband	.251** (68)	946) (46)	.186 (83)	(80)	.000	.000
		Excused Absences	Excused Absences	Unexcused Absences	Unexcused Absences	Administrative Referrals	Guidance Referrals
		lst Sem.	2nd Sem.	lst Sem.	2nd Sem.	2nd Sem.	2nd Sem.

** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 32

Correlations between Student Data Summary Indices and Attendance of Mothers and Their Spouses by Academic Level

		EJ	Elementary		Ju	Junior High	ď	HJ	High Schcol	
		Father	Wife	Total Att.	Father	Wife	Total Att.	Father	Wife	Total Att.
lst Sem.	Excused Absences	. 194 (68)	.078 (131)	.131 (142)	.029 (97)	.054 (142)	.018 (162)	091 (38)	.158 (54)	.079 (57)
2nd Sem.	Excuseà Absences	205** (94)	154** (186)	165** (201)	035 (103)	102 (150)	070 (172)	094 (38)	.227* (54)	.016 (57)
1st Sem.	Unexcused Absences	450. (89)	022	066 (142)				.010 (38)	006 (54)	038 (57)
2nd Sem.	Unexcused Absences	(80)	.081 (152)	.066 (164)	032 (103)	073 (150)	044 (172)	. 259 (38)	,179 (54)	.070
lst Sem.	Administrative Referrals	250 (5)	.346 (12)	.482* (13)						
2nd Sem.	Administrative Referrals	.604** (11)	·403*** (44)	.606*** (47)	.222** (104)	.137 (151)	.166** (174)			
2nd Sem.	Guidance Referrals	.682*** (14)	.620*** (29)	.374** (32)	.101. (104)	.051 (151)	(471)			

* Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

The state of the s

TABLE 33

A CARLON

Part of

Contant

Correlations between Individual Mother's PSRS Responses and I.Q. and Achievement Test Scores by Academic Level Following Scries 1

		II	Elementary				υ'n	Junior High			
	Helpful- ness	Neg. Results	Changes in Child's Behav.	Better or Worse	Recom- mend Part.	Helpful- ness	Neg. Results	Changes in Child's Behav.	Metter Or Worse	Recom- mend Part.	
т.е.	.133	.180	015 (71)	-,178 (22)	088	. 123 (64)	.134 (64)	241.* (62)	(6)	.102 (62)	
Science Research Associates											
Social Studies	- 08¢ (58)	.141 (58)	529***	120 (20)	.160	030	.125	. 267**	.330 (8)	033 (56)	
Science	105 (58)	58) (58)	- ,483*** (57)	.035	.220	233 (59)	.023 (59)	. 181	왕(원)	##1. (57)	on (
Language Arts	表(法)	.131 (54)	236	146 (20)	. 259 (51)	124 (60)	170 (60)	178	272 (8)	.059	Childre
Math	642 (8)	.518 (8)	657	161 (5)	(8)	274** (61)	. 034 (61)	. 390*** . (59)	.372	.164 (59)	n:
Total Reading	157	.425 (8)	583 (8)	% % **	88	126 (60)	.139	. okś (58)	345	, 028 (58)	
\$ 6 C THE POST OF	ָרָלְיָּאָפָּרָ רָלָיָרָ	_									

* Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level. *** Significant at .01 level.

TABLE 34

Correlations between Individual Father's PSRS Responses and I.Q. and Achievement Test Scores by Academic Level Following Series 1

		щ	Elementary				J.	Junior High		
	Helpful- ness	Neg. Results	Changes in Child's Behav.	Better or Worse	Recom- mend Part.	Helpful- ness	Neg. Results	Changes in Child's Behav.	Better or Worse	Recom- mend Part.
i, Q.	401* (33)	-,148 (33)	086 (34)	192 (11)	.033 (34)	-,127 (41)	.30¢* (41)	326** (41)	225	.064 (41)
Science Research Associates										
Social Studies	.305 (28)	.083 (88)	-,1 ⁴ 7 (89)	-,480 (10)	.162 (29)	106 (39)	.116 (39)	328* (39)	055	076 (39)
Science	.162 (27)	(२२)	291 (28)	.095 (10)	036 (28)	0 6 3 (39)	. 206 (39)	- <u>.</u> 267 (39)	669	-,123 (39)
Language Arts	121 (25)	053 (25)	140 (26)	-,160 (10)	, 073 (26)	014 (39)	. 146 (39)	399* (39)	.582 (6)	066 (39)
Meth						268 (39)	. 227 (39)	37 ⁴ * (39)	.015	.058 (39)
Total Reading	(1)	(1)	(1)		(1)	- · 华7 (38)	(38)	337* (38)	.000	-,140 (38)

* Significant at .05 level. ** Significant at .01 level.

mental mental of the control of the

TABLE 35

THE STATE OF

Same.

Sec.

real and

THE PERSON

Correlations between Individual Mother's PSRS Responses and Children's Grades by Academic Level Following Series 1

Juntor High

Elementary

Recom- mend Part.	046 (63)	039 (##)	-:111	.056 (63)	036 (63)	105	097 (63)	(68)	021 (62)	% (6)	86. 66	(o+)
Better or Worse	117	.021	380	.318 (9)	026 (9)	271 (9)	202 (9)); (9)	94. (9)	(1)		(3)
Changes in Child's Behav.	. 158 (63)	.384* (43)	. 147 (63)	.336* (63)	.358** (63)	.429** (63)	.278* (63)	.331** (62)	.275* (62)	.902 (8)	.256* (66) 1148	(0 #)
Neg. Results	199 (65)	359* (45)	058 (65)	036 (65)	158 (65)	03 (65)	212 (65)	 (4)	052 (4)	.224 (9)	117 (62) 239	(0#)
Helpful- ness	37t; (65)	.097 (45)	3118 (65)	.122 (65)	.083 (65)	. 1 ⁴⁴ (65)	.176 (65)	241. (49)	.054 (45)	063 (9)	. 190 (62) - 003	()
Recom- mend Part.	.022 (69)	0 4 3 (89)	.022 (69)	055 (86)	130 (69)	010 (89)	(전) (전)	,114 (85)	990 (69)	08) (86)	031 (67)	<u>(8</u>
Better or Worse	150 (25)	095 (28)	-,109 (25)	071 (28)	.057 (25)	.019 (28)	.228 (25)	144 (28)	218 (25)	.073 (88)	(25) (25)	(51)
Changes 1n Child's Behav.	.311 ** (69)	.231* (90)	.292* (69)	.178 (87)	.120 (69)	.131 (90)	.139 (69)	.160 (86)	.179 (69)	.172 (87)	96. (7.) 986.	8
Neg. Results	(0)	118 (91)	136	193 (88)	.100 (07)	138 (91)	126	055 (87)	.001 (70)	117 (88)		(81)
Helpful- ness	.086 (17)	.067 (91)	00	108 (88)	.015 (17)	132 (91)	.075 (71)	.053 (87)	039	-:05t (88)	150 (69) 146	(81)
	Bngl1sh	English	lst Sem. Language	2nd Sem. Language	Math	Math	Social Studies	Social Studies	Science	Science	Art and Music Art and	Music
	lst Sem. English	2nd Sem. English	lst Sem.	2nd Sem.	lst Sem. Math	2nd Sem. Math	lst Sem. Social	2nd Sem. Social Studies	lst Sem. Science	2nd Sem. Science	lot Sem. Art and Music 2nd Sem. Art and	

* Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level.

TABLE 36

Correlations between Individual Father's PSRS Responses and Children's Grades by Academic Level Following Series 1

	Recom- mend Part.	,072 (40)	. 134 (89)	.093 (40)	.108 (14)	.035 (40)	-,030 (41)	.019 (04)	,016 (40)	, 147 (40)	869	.581* (17)	169 (38)	. 028 (31)
	Better or Worse	579	00(±)	726 (6)	% (9) •	.866 (6)	9 ^{†1} . (9)	521 (6)	ಕ್ಟ ಕ್ಟ	(6)	%; .	.333 (#)	%; (9)	(3)
Junior High	Changes in Child's Behav.	.185 (40)	.350 (89)	.351* (40)	.32/t* (41)	.360* (40)	.230 (41)	.358* (40)	.333* (40)	.255 (40)	.220 (6)	(71)	, 232 (38)	.179 (31)
υĽ	Neg. Results	189 (40)	050 (39)	-,156 (40)	-,170 (41)	(01) (10)	102 (41)	082 (40)	243 (40)	112 (40)	(6)	.120 (17)	. 15t (38)	270
	Kelpful- ness	.153 (40)	,021 (89)	,100 (40)	.062 (14)	.089 (04)	.055 (41)	.209 (40)	.163 (40)	.013 (40)	1 ⁴⁹	226	. 134 (38)	.214 (31)
	Recom- mend Part.	.062 (30)	.071 (42)	070 (30)	.약. (39)	051	.058 (42)	037	0% (39)	450* (30)	.101 (38)		.:110	. o ⁴⁵ (36)
	Better or Worse	279 (10)	349 (11)	(01)	2% (11)	247 (10)	272 (11)	,156 (10)	219 (11)	.266 (10)	369 (10)		.079 (10)	.015 (10)
Bi ementary	Changes in Child's Behav.	. 1 ⁴ 3 (30)	.004 (42)	015	.108 (39)	060	. 198 (42)	.289 (30)	116	.083 (30)	008		.218 (30)	.023 (36)
4	Neg. Results	.83 (%)	187 (41)	306 (%)	018 (38)	.125 (89)	.220 (41)	.056 (89)	152 (38)	.151 (%)	179		.071 (89)	. 196 (35)
	Helpful- ness	07 ⁴ (29)	.058 (41)	-, 146 (29)	.142 (38)	037 (89)	.103 (41)	366 (29)	.089 (38)	026 (89)	078		(%)	205 (35)
		Snglish	English	Language	Language	Math	Math	Social Studies	Social Studies	Science	Science	Shop and H.E.	lst Sem. Art and Music	2nd Sem. Art and Music
		lst Sem. English	2nd Sem. English	lst Sem. Language	2nd Sem. Language	lst Sem. Math	2nd Sem. Math	lst Sem. Social	2nd Sem.	lst Scm. Science	2nd Sem. Science	2nd Sem. Shop and 1	lst Sem.	2nd Sem.

* Significant at .10 level.

The state of the s

Impact of Research Procedures 101 on Children

TABLE 37

Incocati

Treatest

1 ismani

Correlations between Individual Mother's PSRS Responses and Student Data Summary Behavior Indices by Academic Level Following Series 1

	Recom- mend Part.	.143 (59)	.107 (61)		.046 (61)	.075 (62)	034 (62)
	Better] or Worfe	.503 (8)	-736 (7)		· %(2)	% (8)	. 072 (8)
Junior High	Changes in Child's Behav.	.060 (58)	(60)		990° (09)	.106	.367** (61)
Jun	Neg. Results	.036	. 112 (62)		.049 (62)	· 080 (63)	.110 (63)
	Helpful- ness	. 015	254* (62)		015 (62)	.1 ⁴⁷ (63)	032 (63)
	Recom- mend Part.	.168 (62)	.150 (81)	217 (62)	,007 (67)	068 (20)	.000
	Better or Worse	187 (22)	186 (25)	034 (22)	.068 (22)	.000.	.000
Elementary	Changes in Child's Behav.	.131 (62)	022 (83)	008 (62)	-,1 ⁴ 1 (69)	.146 (20)	.689 ** (13)
臼	Neg. Results	119 (63)	090 - -	.027 (63)	.188 (70)	201	.086 (13)
	Helpful- ness	.083 (44)	131 (83)	.303* (49)	-: 32 (70)	017 (19)	.105 (12)
		Excused Absences	Sem. Excused Absences	Unex. Absences	Unex. Absences	Adm. Referrals	Guidance Referrals
		lst Sem. Excused Absence	2nd Sem. I	lst Sem. I	2nd Sem. Unex. Absen	2nd Sem. Adm. Refe	2nd Sem. Guidance Referral:

* Significant at .10 level. ** Significant at .05 level.

TABLE 38

ERIC Frontestey ERIC

Correlations between Individual Father's PSRS Responses and Student Data Summary Behavior Indices by Academic Level Following Series 1

			ᄪ	Elementary				Ju	Junior High		
		Helpful- ness	Neg. Results	Changes in Child's Behav.	Better or Worse	Recom- mend Part.	Helpful- ness	Neg. Results	Changes in Child's Behav.	Better or Worse	Recom- mend Part.
1st Sem.	Excused Absences	.097 (28)	.148 (28)	- 540 (29)	156 (10)	\$\frac{4}{8}	.1.04 (38)	018 (38)	.161 (38)	.225	167 (38)
2nd Sem.	Excused Absences	353*	.150 (40)	186 (41)	.000	.208 (41)	-,262 (40)	015 (40)	.193 (40)	·341 (6)	033
lst Sem,	Unex. Absences	.076 (28)	.121 (28)	-,242 (29)	.341 (10)	203 (29)					
2nd Sem.	Unex. Absences	(34)	.158 (34)	.31 <i>5</i> (35)	.156 (11)	096	,062 (40)	. 144 (40)	189 (40)	% % %	.043 (40)
2nd Sem. Adm. Refe	Adm. Referrals	.251 (8)	.301 (8)	492 (8)	.000	.000	.162 (41)	.172 (41)	209 (41)	% (9)	.020 (41)
2nd Sem.	Guidance Referrals	.632 (6)	.316 (6)	3 ^{4,2} (6)		% (9)	.122 (41)	096 (41)	.099 (41)	(6)	061 (41)

* Significant at .10 level.

Chapter 7

Implications

As with any research, a variety of implications can be drawn from the experiences of and data collected by the Western Regional Center of the Interprofessional Research Commission on Pupil Personnel Services. These implications are not presented as facts but as reasonable hypotheses which bear further study and exploration.

Theory

A means has been provided for constructing a series of six specific models for pupil personnel services. These models need further theoretical development and testing. The testing cannot involve comparisons among the six in terms of outcomes, since, having different objectives, they are not comparable. These models, however, are comparable in terms of determining whether or not they are feasible, how they are received in their natural setting and whether or not they, in fact, can accomplish the objectives which they specify.

The frame of reference provided for thinking about pupil services by this model also provides the means for systematically evaluating pupil personnel programs which do not operate on the basis of a given model. One of the major problems in assessing pupil services has been the necessity for carrying out such assessment in the absence of specified objectives. Utilizing the six basic objectives provided by this model as reference points, it is at least possible to determine where any given program of pupil services stands in regard to this model. Such data as actual studies of time usage and the perceptions of those



104 Modification of the School Environment

familiar with pupil services (pupil personnel workers, teachers, administrators and students), will provide the basic information. While this is far from an ideal method of assessment, it is considerably better than the ineffective shotgun approach employed in the assessment of most pupil personnel programs.

Practice

A variety of implications for practice are inherent in the results of the present project. It has been demonstrated that a model for pupil services assumed by many to be impossible of accomplishment is, in fact, feasible, accepted by those involved and effective in the accomplishment of its specific goals. The prevention of learning difficulties through environmental intervention cannot, at this point, be dismissed as an idle dream. Those interested in the preventive aspects of pupil services through use of such techniques need no longer be concerned by the lamentations of their colleagues that such an approach is doomed to failure.

The need for clear-cut objectives and evaluation of outcomes has been emphasized as basic to the present project and basic to the effectiveness of any program of pupil services. Rationalizations about the "intangibility" of pupil services no longer appear reasonable. If such services have an aura of intangibility, it is in all likelihood due to the fact that they aren't actually accomplishing anything. Insistence on the formulation of objectives and assessment of their accomplishment should be a part of any program of pupil services. The present project has demonstrated that this is a practical possibility, not a theoretical speculation.

As a by-product, this research has investigated certain process aspects of group counseling. While it is clear that the acceptance of participants in group counseling is a vital necessity to the success of any enterprise utilizing this procedure as a basic technique, it has also been demonstrated that the subjective reactions of participants in group counseling about the helpfulness of this process to them cannot be accepted at face value. Therefore, in the process of evaluating group counseling (and probably our other clinical techniques as well), attention must be focused, not only on the attitudes of the participants subsequent to their participation, but also on their behaviors and the behaviors of those psychologically close to them. The fact that changed parent behavior can result from participation in such groups without accompanying attitudinal changes and that this changed parent behavior can, in fact, alter children's school performance, calls for reassessment of the psychological assumption that behavior change occurs only following or accompanied by attitude change. 1

Administration and Organization of Pupil Personnel Programs

Although an attempt has been made to structure the implications presented in this chapter in tentative fashion, caution must be taken at this point to reemphasize the caution with which these implications are presented. This study did not specifically investigate the

None of the publications of the Western Regional Center have yet documented this fact. However, data have been collected and analyzed and, although not yet published, appear to substantiate the notion that attitudes, at least insofar as measured, did not change even though there is evidence of behavior change.

administration and organization of pupil personnel services programs; therefore, what appears in this particular section falls considerably more in the realm of opinion than what appears in other sections. One hard fact which has been established is that the building principal, under present circumstances, is a prime determinant in the attitude of the teaching faculty toward pupil personnel services. Rather large differences were found among participating schools, both in principal attitudes and teacher attitudes towards pupil services.

Since there are few ways in which any uniformity of principal attitude with respect to the objectives and functions of pupil services can be brought about, it would appear wise to consider the possibility that pupil personnel specialists, even those assigned to specific school buildings, should be under the direct supervision of someone other than the building principal. This individual might be a district director of pupil services or his designated representative.

これとれて、からからいは、からないから、これでいるというと記れて来るとはいければないのできょうとはいればないのではないのではないではないないのでは、これはないないできないないできませんできないのできます

This suggestion will undoubtedly be unacceptable in administrative quarters and will be viewed as undermining the authority of the building principal. Whether or not it will work in practice is unknown. At the same time, what is obvious at the moment is that district policy relative to pupil services (where it exists) is being undermined by varying attitudes among building principals, and that at the moment no such thing as district policy is possible with respect to pupil services because of varying outlooks and approaches among school principals. The need for both district policy and supervision of the activities of pupil personnel specialists by other pupil personnel specialists appears necessary if such programs are to be effective in different school settings.

Preparation of Pupil Personnel Specialists

One obvious implication of the preparation of pupil personnel specialists where the present model is being used is for appropriate training in group process. What constitutes "appropriate training" is difficult to state at the moment. It appears to have been demonstrated that pupil personnel specialists with varying backgrounds can, through short, intensive training, practical experience and regular supervision, learn group counseling skills adequately. Other data, not yet presented by this project, suggest that "theory" courses preceding practicum training may actually have a damaging effect on subsequent performance.

This project has also made it necessary to raise the question of whether or not the ordinarily assumed differences among pupil personnel specialties are valid. A study of the literature (Shaw, 1967) indicates that the real differences are not so gross as they are ordinarily assumed to be. The essentially equal performance seen among various pupil personnel specialists in the present project indicates that performance differences likewise may not be great. Continued emphasis on such differentiations as school counselor, school social worker, school psychologist, etc. may tend to vitiate efforts unnecessarily. Consideration should, at the very least, be given to providing a common core of training to all pupil personnel specialists.

Future Research

The most obvious need for future research relates to further testing of the present model. It must be recognized that the research



108 Modification of the School Environment

reported on to date by this Center has dealt in only a partial way with the implications of the specific model under consideration. The situations in which the testing was carried out were such that responsibilities of project consultants were superimposed on already existing responsibilities. What would happen if an entire district altered its program to meet the objective of preventing learning difficulties through environmental intervention? If even a partial test conducted over relatively short periods of time can bring about the changes already described, what would a program completely aimed in this direction accomplish?

In addition to further testing of the present model, research exercising tighter controls than those utilized in the present study is necessary. Having demonstrated feasibility, acceptance and apparent change in student behavior, it is now possible to approach school districts with a considerably more specific proposal in which controls would be exercised which were not possible in the present study due to the lack of background knowledge and inability of project staff to reassure school districts that the approach proposed would be feasible and acceptable to other school staff. Random assignment of parents and teachers to groups, as well as further investigation of differences between those who volunteer and those who fail to volunteer for participation in group counseling, are necessary.

An additional variant which must be further tested is a contrast of situations in which parent counseling only, teacher counseling only and combined parent and teacher counseling are utilized in order to assess the differential effectiveness of counseling with these two

major groups. An additional possibility is the introduction of group counseling for building principals in an effort to have them restructure their own behavior.

beyond testing the present model further in a variety of ways, it appears necessary to develop and test other specific models for pupil services. Is the early identification and treatment of learning problems feasible, acceptable and effective? Is therapy with children who have already highly developed problems feasible, acceptable and effective in the school setting? These questions can be applied to every one of the six basic models postulated, and this likewise should be done so that current "professional opinion," which is better described as speculation relative to the desirability and effectiveness of various approaches, can be supplanted with hard data.

The present research has suggested a variety of research projects relative to the group counseling process itself. What is the relationship of training to success? Some present data suggest that those who had the most academic background in group procedures were the poorest group counselors. What is the best way to provide training in group process? Present data also suggest that client perceptions of outcomes and actual outcomes are highly incongruent. To what extent is this true and what implications does it have? What differences are created when groups center on the "selves" of those who are participating and when it focuses on the behavior of their children? A very slight hint contained in available data suggests that groups which focus on the parent's self achieve fewer behavior changes in children than those where group leaders actually focused parent group attention on children

110 Modification of the School Environment

and their concerns. While this may seem an obvious outcome, the issue at stake is whether or not changes in adult behavior, whether parent or teacher, must be preceded by changes in attitude toward the self. The answer to this question would have tremendous implications, not only for the conduct of groups but for the answer of time necessary in which to bring about change. If attitude change is required, then the time investment will be heavy. If attitude change is not a requisite of behavior change, the time investment will be considerably less.

There are probably other implications in the present research, but these appear to be the most striking. As with any research, the questions raised appear to be greater in number and profundity than the questions which initiated the present project. Some of these questions are already under investigation through the medium of graduate theses and independent research. It is hoped that this process will shed additional light on some of the serious questions which need further illumination in order for pupil services to become more effective in the public school setting.

References

- Coleman, J. S. Social climates in high schools. <u>Cooperative Research</u> Monograph No. 4, OE-33016, U. S. Govt. Printing Office, 1961.
- Conant, J. C. The American high school today. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1959.
- Cook, W. W., Leeds, C. H. and Callis, R. Minnesota teacher attitude inventory. New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1951.
- Cutts, Norma D. (Ed.) <u>School psychologists in mid-century</u>. Washington: American Psychological Association, 1955.
- Halpin, A. W. and Croft, D. B. The organizational climate of schools. Midwest Administration Center, University of Chicago, August, 1963.
- Horn, J. L. and Morrison, W. L. Dimensions of teacher attitudes, <u>J</u>. <u>Educ. Psychol.</u>, 56, 1965, 118-125.
- Ilg, Frances L. and Ames, Louise B. <u>School readiness</u>. New York: Harper & Row, 1965.
- Leton, D. A. Concepts which school social workers hold of school psychology; report of a questionnaire study. Report to Committee on Relationship to Social Work, Div. 16, American Psychology Association, 1958.
- Loughary, J. W., Stripling, R. O., and Fitzgerald, P. W. <u>Counseling</u>, a growing profession. Washington, D. C.: American Personnel and Guidance Association, 1965.
- Ojemann, R. H. <u>Developing a program for education in human behavior</u>. Iowa City: State Univ. of Iowa, 1959.
- Schaeffer, E. S., Bell, R. Q. and Bayley, Nancy. Development of a parental attitude research instrument. Child Development, 1958, 29, 339-361.
- Shaw, M. C. Role delineation among the guidance professions, <u>J. School</u> <u>Psychol.</u>, Vol. IV, No. 1, January, 1967, 3-13.
- Shaw, M. C. The function of theory in guidance programs. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin, 1968.
- Shaw, M. C. and Rector, W. H. Parent and counselor perceptions of their participation in group counseling. Monograph #3 of the Western Regional Center of IRCOPPS, Chico State College (Chico, Calif.), October, 1966, mimeographed, 38 pp. (+ appendices).



112 Modification of the School Environment

ERIC Full Tox t Provided by ERIC

- Shaw, M. C. and Rector, W. H. Group counseling with parents: feasibility, reactions and interrelationships. Monograph #5 of the Western Regional Center of IRCOPPS, Chico State College (Chico, Calif.), May, 1968, 86 pp. (+ appendices).
- Shaw, M. C. and Rector, W. H. Influencing the learning environment: group counseling with teachers. Monograph #6 of the Western Regional Center of IRCOPPS, Chico State College (Chico, Calif.), July, 1968, mimeographed, 77 pp. (+ appendices).
- Shaw, M. C. and Tuel, J. K. A proposed model and research design for pupil personnel services in the public schools. Monograph #1 of the Western Regional Center of IRCOPPS, Chico State College (Chico, Calif.), March, 1964, mimeographed, 95 pp. (+ appendices).
- Shaw, M. C. and Tuel, J. K. Guidance research in action: group counseling with parents. Monograph #2 of the Western Regional Center of IRCOPPS, Chico State College (Chico, Calif.), April, 1965, mimeographed, 200 pp. (+ appendices).
- Shaw, M. C. and Tuel, J. K. A focus for public school guidance programs: a model and proposal, <u>Personnel Guid. J.</u>, April, 1966, 824-830.

APPENDICES

A TO I



-	EASE	001417	. VO!!!	1144		_			AP	PEND	IX Y	•								GRP 26 Ferm C	
PL	EASE	PRINI	TOUR	HAME	HERE:					- 1	PLEA	SE IN	DICA	E SEX	(:					rem C	
Les	<u> </u>				Firs	,			Initiel	\dashv	Male	()		Female	()				
	_				•			SCH	DOL O	פואוט:	א לוופ	VEY									
							Jo		Tue! a				ow.								
								Schoo	l of Edi	uc .tio	n, U.C	.L.A.									
_					_ >		P: FA	SF D	D NOT	WRIT	TE IN	THI	S BO	<u>x</u>							
0	.1.	2	3	4	S	6.	7	. 8	9		0	.1	.2	.3	.4.		5			, 8	::
B	G	F	M	TM	Ti	СМ	CF	AM	AF					::::			*****	:::::		****	::
	:::::																				_
•	The	e purp	ose of	this s	survey is to You may fe	deter	mine th	e opin	ion of	indivi	duals know	with r ledge	espec	t to o	variety a avest	of fo	actors osked	that r	elate Jease	to how	, ,
011	estin	15 00 1	the bo	eie of	the opinio	ns vou	have f	ormed	of this	time.	Plea	se mo	irk yo	ur ans	wers w	ith c	soft	olock (encil.	. If you	IJ
ુ≎ ch જૂ	ange	your n	nind ob	in tuck خ	n onswer o	tter yo	u have	airead				10 6	1010 y	007 71	rst unst	wer c	Cmpie	tely.			
Strong				Strong		In shia			2 eoch i	ection		ocken	one o	f the	Sanswa	er 4 D4	nces t	o the	lest of	that a	u e i
	700		_	Str	tion.	Blac	ken A i	if you	Disagre	e stro	ngly,	Bify	ou Di	s Cgre	(mild)	ly), C	if yo	u cre	Uncert	ain, D	if
Disagree	Disagree	Uncertett	Agrec	Agree	you	Agree	(mildly) or E	if you	Agree	strong	gly. I	or ex	elqmo	, look d	31 SA	MPLE	ITEM	1 O. If	you ci	10:
٥	ã	ລັ	₹	₹	this:		inj, yo	ur ma:)	c next t	o ina:	114M	>uon(, 100X	115							
۸		<u>.c.</u>	<u>.D.</u>	Ε	O. SAMP		M														
<u> </u>	8	C	D	E	1. The n	ost imp	ertant 1	esk of	the sch	ool is t	o help	childr	en 10 (indersi	end the	world	l in wh	ich the	y live.		
A ::::	B	C	D	E	2. The i																
A	. B	C	D	E	3. Foci																
A :::::	.B	C	D	Ε	4. Educe					-				s the s	tudent r	ealiza	his p	etentia	l zelf.		
A ::::		C	D	E	S. The p	ewer af	judgme	nt is by	y natur s	equel	in oll :	men.									
A	В	С	0	E	6. The o	bjectiv	-scient	ific me	thed is t	the bes	11 road	10 tru	ıh.								
A	В	<u>C</u>	D	E	7. The r	eal valu	e of the	curric	ulum de:	pends (on the	change	es it b	rings o	bout in	the be	hevie	end li	ves ef	the stud	i e
<u> </u>		C	D	E	S. Contr																
A	В	C	D	E	9. Teach										themsel	V 0 1 1	alution	s whic	h will	work.	
A	В	C	D	E	10. The b		•	•			_										
A	В	C	D	E	II. The o	nly obje	ctive o	f the se	chool is	intelle	ctual	devele	pment.								
A	В.	.c.	D	.E	12. Knew	ledge ar	d truth	are rel	ative, n	ot obse	lute.										
À		.C	D	=	13. An in	Iividual	's feeli	ngs of	adequac	y obou	t himse	elf wil	l be di	rectly	reflecte	d in ti	he effe	ctiven	ess of l	his behe	I V
A :::::	В	C	Ð	Ε	14. It is b				_					_							
A	.B		D	.€	15. The n	ain pur	- pose of	educat	ion is to	turn c	out acti	ive pe	ple w	ho DQ	things.						
A	.B	<u></u>	D	.E	15. Enjoy											pal.					
A ::::	.B	<u>C</u>	Ď	.E	17. Teach	ers nee	d the ri	ght to 4	dminist	er corp	oral p	unishm	ent te	meinte	in disci	pline.					
À	.B	<u>.c</u>	D	.E	18. Differ	ences a	meng h	uman bi	nings or	e usual	lly sup	erfic lo	1.								
A		<u></u>	D	.E	19. Schoo	ls shou	ld teach	, not je	est know	n fact:	s, but v	ways t	o disc	ever no	w facts						
A		. <u>C</u>	.D.	.E.	20. Teeci	ers sho	uld con	centrot	e on dev	elopin	g ski!l	1, 019	ecielly	critic	al think	ing.					
A ::::	.B			::.::	21. It is t	at the t	eacher*	s job te	determ	ine gui	iit or g	ive ou	t punis	hment	•						
A	B.	<u></u>	.D	.E	22. The 1	echer :	shouid r	at have	10 be c	oncern	ed abo	out mel	ivatio	n.							
Å :::	.В.	. <u></u>	.D		23. Focts	change	01 NOW	, knowl	edge is	devela	ped.										
A :::	.B.	.c.		.E	24. The 1	acher's	primor	y job li	to help	each	child a	chieve	his o	wn pot	ontiel.						
A	.B.	. <u>C</u>	.D.	.E	25. Punis	hment s	eldom p	orođu c e	s the ed	ucation	nal res	ults it	is int	ended 1	le predu	c∙.					
A	В		. <u>D</u>	.E.	26. A. ch.	ld's inte	res: in	o subje	ect is un	re lete	d to ho	w well	he do	es in i	1.						
A :::	.B.		 D	E	27. There	Gre red	II; NO	princip	les whic	ch are t	univers	ol ond	unch	nging.							
A		.::::	D	.E	28. A goo	d educa	tion is	a brocd	educat	ion.											
A	::::·.			E	29. A chi	ld's fee	lings ho	on eve	earing	on his	leamin	ıg.									
A	.B	<u>c</u> .	. <u>D</u>	:::::	30. The n	ost imp	ortant o	bjectiv	of edu	ocation	is to t	teach (effecti	ve prel	lem sol	vin g s	kills.				
A			D	.E	31. School	is exis	primar	ily for t	he purp	ose of	helping	g child	lren ra	slize t	heir ewn	indiv	/iduel	petenti	al.		
A	B.	<u>. c</u> .	D	F .	32. It is !																
Ņ.	B.	<u>ç.</u>	. D.	. E.	33. Truth																
	B.	c.	D.	"E.	34. School															ÇE OVE	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX 5 6 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 .2 F 3 M 1 G ***** ***** **** ****

												=
												==
					PLEASE DO NO		N I H		X			~-
	1	.2	3	4	5 6 7 8 9		::::::	2	:::::		5 6 7 3 9	=
В		F	M	TM	TF CM CF AM AF	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	***** ***** ***** *****	=
												=
												<u> </u>
												_
												_
					•	ection Twe						=
										CHANG	EC if any way haliava	
sha	ıld he	mode.	to ima	cove o	th item and indicate by blackening oublic education. Take number 1 (ii	ndividual cau	n se lir	ng of p	wpils)	for exam	nple. It you believe Mere	=
shor	ıld be	Much	Less	than n	ow you should blacken A; if you be	lieve there s	hauld	be a l	illle i	Less tha	n now, you should blacken	=
	iyau t ken F.		e it sh	auld t	e just the Same as now, blacken C	; it a Lillie i	More 1	nan no	w, 010	icken D;	and it mize more than new,	=
0.00		•										_
												=
8.8				2		68.5				9.00		=
~ <u>`</u>		_		₩		Much Les.	9	ي	٠.	₹ •		=
Much t.ess	l.ess	Same	More	Much Mon		¥ K	Less	Same	More	Much More		=
=	~	S	Ž.	₹			_	_	_	_	and the state of the state of	=
, A	:: B :	c .	و.	.E.	1. Individual counseling of pupils.	:::	: .	. <u>c</u> .			State regulation of aducation.	=
.≜.	. B	. <u>Ç</u> .	٠,٥	. E	2. Campetitive sports.	: . .:		. <u>Ç</u>	. <u>D</u>		Teaching of morals in school.	=
A	8	Ç	D	ξ	3. Pay for administrators.	: ^	. .B	<u>.c.</u>	<u>. م</u>	.E. 36.	Crientation for perents of new pupils.	_
A	8	c	D.	. E	4. Student government.	. <u>A</u> .:	.3.	Ċ.	Φ.	.E.: 37.	Grading on the curve.	=
A _	В	C	Ü	.E	S. Hours spent in school.		В.	<u>.</u> Ç.	. D	.F 38.	. Scheel psychelogists.	_
A	В	С	D	E	6. Use of teaching machines.		В	<u></u>	Ď	.E 39.	. Effects te provent school drep-outs.	=
A	8	C	D	E	7. Strict enforcement of school rules.	. <u>.</u> ^	₿.	.C	.0.	.E., 40.	Individuel attention for each pupil.	=
A	В	C	D	E	8. Counseling on personal problems.	A	5.	C	D	E 41.	. Use of objective tests.	=
A,	, B	C	D	E	9. Team sports.	A	B.,	c	. D	E. 42.	Cleeer home-school relationshipe-	Ξ
A	В	C	D		10. Clerical help for teachers.		В	C.	D.	E 43.	Extent of counselor education.	=
A	В	С	D	E	11. Shop and crafts classes.		.8	C.	D.		. Field trips.	=
A .	В	Ç	D ::::::		12. Weeks in the school year.	A	В	<u>,c</u>			. Teaching of abstress laws.	
A	8	C	D		13. Personality texting.	:A.	В	Ç.	D		. Consumer education.	
A.	В	C	D		14. Strictness of discipline.	ķ	В	С	D		, Writing of themes.	_
A	B.	С	D	-	15. Attention to individuality of pupils		В	C	D	F	. Child-study training.	=
. .	В	C	D		16. Extra-curricular activities.	 A	В	; C	Ď.	E	Encouragement of creativity.	
.:*:	В	C	Ð		17. Pay for teachers.	٠.٨.	.В.	c	D		Co-educational physical education.	_
A:	.B	C	D.		18. Student organizations.	A	В	c	D	•	Large school districts.	=
 A	B	C	D	•		.	В.	. <u></u>	. D	•	•	_
: :: •			D.		19. Emphasis on great literature.	<u>,</u>	В	C	D	F	Incidental expenses of education paid by the school.	_
1]	 B	C C	D		20. Use of IQ tests.	A.	В	Ç	D	E	Emphasis on social studies.	=
-111 -	-			;·····	21. Spanking of misbehaving pupils.				D.,		. Free medical care for students.	=
^ .,	.B	.C	;D.:	-	22. Interest by parents in school matte	_	.B.,	ç			. School social workers.	=
:0:	.Ŗ.,	٠¢.	. P .		23. Time allotted to outdoor play.	A .	8	,Ç.	P		. Graup projects.	=
^	В.	.ç ,	Đ	E	24. Help for emotionally disturbed pup		. В В	ç	,P	E 57.	. Summer school for acceleration.	=
3	₿ _.	.:: C	 D	.E	25. Books in library.	A A	В	c ç	D	E	. Lighting of classrooms.	=
^	В	Ç.	D.	E	26. Longer class periods.	.^	_	Ċ	D	- 59.	. Group discussions with parents.	_
· <u>^</u>	.B.	. <u>c</u>	D	, <u>E</u>	27. Use of standardized tests.		:: 	<u>c</u>	D.		. Team teaching.	=
A	В	C	.P.	E -	28. Stringent laws against truancy.	^	В	c	D	_	. Home visits by teachers.	_
.≜	.В	Ċ,	: D	E	29. Parent-teacher conferences.	A .	В	c	.D	E . 62	. Autonamy of local school boards.	=
۸.	В	C	Ď	E	30. P.T.A. activities.	A .	В	Ç	Đ	_	. Attention given to gifted children.	_
, ^	В	C	Đ	Ē	31. Educational research.	. ^	B	Ċ	Đ	E : 64	. Training in art and music.	
.A.	В	Ç	, D. <u>.</u>	E.	32. Methods courses for teachers.	A .	₿.	<u>, C</u> .	D.	E.: 65	. Fareign language courses.	
A	В.	C	D	E	33 Francisco	٨.	8.,	C	D.		Stress on mothematica.	



Comment of the commen

First Goldan	lease	Prin	t Your	Name	Here:	Please Check The Appropriate Box Form B
In this section red each team then blacken one of the 1 Amore spaces are the left of the section red each team then blacken one of the 1 Amore spaces are the left of the section red each team then blacken one of the 1 Amore spaces are the left of the section red each team then blacken one of the 1 Amore spaces are the left of the 1 Amore spaces are the left of the 1 Amore spaces are the						
In this section pead scot item then blacker one of the 5 shatwer spaces to the left of this question of the 5 shatwer spaces to the left of this question of the 5 shatwer spaces are the left of this question of the 5 shatwer spaces are the left of this question of the 5 shatwer spaces are the left of this question of the 5 shatwer spaces are the spa	ast					
Blacker, A. 1 you Strongly chasters. For yournils, look at SMMPLE ITEM 0. If you chose C (Uncertify of the Company) of the Company of the Com	. .	•	Ę			the blocker one of the 5 answer spaces to the left of that question
A B C D E 1. Children should be allowed to gripe about rules which their parent make. 1. Children should shelter their children from life's little difficulties. 3. The home is the only thing that matters to a good parent. 4. Some children are just so bad that they must be taught to lear adults for their own good. 5. People who thick they can get alloagy in marriage without arguments just don't know the facts. 6. A child should be taught to avoid fighting no matter what happens. 7. More parents should teach their children to have urquestioning loyalty to them. 8. Children shouldris't be confused by letting them learn things that differ from what their parents he told them. 8. Children shouldris' to confused by letting them learn things that differ from what their parents he told them. 8. The things children ask of parents atter a hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at it in the addition. 8. The things children ask of parents atter a hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at it in the addition. 8. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which receive observation the children's drowloady disappointment for their children. 8. Parents should do their bear drowloady disappointment for their children. 8. Parents should do their bear of the drowloady disappointment for their children. 8. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 8. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 8. A child should be taught always to come to his parents of teachers rather than to fight when he is in the children's children in the children's parents above all other grown-ups. 8. A child should be taught always to come to his parents of teachers rather than to fight when he is in the children's parents above all other grown-ups. 8. A child should be taught always to come to his parents of teachers rather than to fight when he is in the children's parents and the parents and the parents	Strongly	Disagro	Uncerta	Agree	Agree Strongly	Blacken A if you Strongly Disagree, B if you Disagree (unity), C if you are checkens a control of the checkens and the checkens are controlled in the control of the checkens and the checkens are controlled in the checkens and the checkens are controlled in the checkens are controlled in the checkens are checkens. The checkens are checkens are checkens are checkens are checkens are checkens.
1. Children should be allowed to gripe about rules which their parent make. 2. Good parents should shelter their children from life's little difficulties. 3. The home is the only thing that natires to a good parent. 4. Some children are just so bad that they must be taught to fear adults for their own good. 5. People who think they can get along in marriage without erguments just don't know the facts. 6. A child should be taught to avoid righting no matter what happers. 7. More parents should teach their children to have unquestioning loyality to them. 8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them learn things that differ from what their parents had told them. 8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them learn things that differ from what their parents had told them. 9. The things children ask of parents sets: a hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at it into them. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happier and bettle behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify the parents of the rectify one and the rectify of the rectify of the rectify of the rectify one an	_	В	C	D	E	A CAMPIN STEM
2. Good parents should shelter their children from life's little difficulties. 3. The home is the only thing that naties to a good parent. 4. Some children are just so bad that they must be taught to fear adults for their own good. 5. People who think they can get along in marriage without arguments just don't know the facts. 6. A child should be taught to avoid righting no matter what happers. 7. More parents should teach their children to have usquestioning loyalty to them. 8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them is arn things that differ from what their parents ha told them. 9. The things children ask of parents 1.tes hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at it in the line of the children of the decided. 10. A child will be grateful later on for strict training. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happier and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which receive concerns the children is decided. 14. Parents should ob their bear to avoid any disappointment for their children. 15. The people who want loss of parities seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be nade to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres and trends. 24. Laughing at children's Jokes and telling children lokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child should b			_			
3. The home is the only thing that naties to a good patent. 4. Some children are just so bad that they must be taught to fear adults for their own good. 5. People who thick they can get along in marriage without arguments just don't know the facts. 6. A child should be taught to avoid fighting no matter what happens. 7. More parents should teach their children to have unquestioning loyalty to them. 8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them learn things that differ from what their parents he told them: 9. The things children ask of parents a ties a hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at a time the state of the st		•				
4. Some children are just so bad that they must be tau, his to fear adults for their own good. 5. People who think they can get along in marriage without arguments just don't know the facts. 6. A child should be tought to awould righting no matter what happens. 7. More parents should teach their children to have unquestioning loyalty to them. 8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them learn things that differ from what their parents ha fold them. 9. The things children ask of parents atter and the grant of the parents at it is a confused by a confused by letting them learn things that differ from what their parents ha fold them. 9. The things children ask of parents at it animg. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happere and better behaved it parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which reculty concerns the children's opinions and take them into account when something which reculty concerns the children's decided. 15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischef out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to flight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's egroup and the children's jokes and telling children's place and the service of the parents and the parents of teachers rather than to flight when he is to the parents and the parents and the parents and the parents and the parents. 21. There's nothing that upsate parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to express it. 24. Laughing at ch						
5. People who think they can get along in marriage without arguments just don't know the facts. 6. A child should be taught to avoid fighting no matter what happens. 7. More parents should teach their children to have unquestioning loyalty to them. 8. Children shouldn't be conclused by letting them Is an things that differ from what their parents ha told them. 10. A child will be grateful later on for strict training. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happer and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should agk for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which recity concerns the children is decided. 14. Parents should do their best to avoid any disappointment for their children. 15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 19. The people who want lots of fairs string characters. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Surter discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres the strings. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child have a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or had for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an itimes. 28. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or had for him. 29. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors						
6. A child should be taught to avoid fighting no matter what happers. 7. More parents should teach their children to have unquestioning loyalty to them. 8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them larn things that differ from what their parents ha told them. 10. A child will be grateful later on for strict training. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happere and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Pargnis should sex for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which the sex of the se						
7. More parents should teach their children to have unquestioning loyalty to them. 8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them I arn things that differ from what their parents ha told them. 9. The things children ask of parents a tiet a hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at it in the children ask of parents of the children ask of parents would show an interest in their affairs. 10. A child will be grateful later on for strict training. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happier and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's onninos and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children is decided. 14. Parents should do their best to avoid any disappointment for their children. 15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to flight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that uspets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too tiring or hard for him. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too tiring or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an ideal so a right to his own parents flow parents way of doin history when the parents are to choose bet						
8. Children shouldn't be confused by letting them Is arn things that differ from what their parents he told them. 9. The things children ask of parents 2.tes a hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at a cold them. 10. A child will be grateful later on for strict training. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happier and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which rectify concerns the children is decided. 14. Parents should be their best to avoid any disappointment for their children. 15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres and the strong has been develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to express it. 24. Loughing at children's lokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too tiring or hard for him. 27. Parents now seel a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause them as a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 31. There is no excussing someone who upsets						
told them. The things children ask of parents cites a hard dry are enough to make anyone lose his temper at it is in the control of the cont						
10. A child will be grateful later on for strict training. 11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happier and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which feetly concerns the children is decided. 14. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which feetly concerns the children is decided. 15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is trequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres and the strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for itim. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an intends. 28. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. You matter how well a married couple love one another, there are alway's differences which cause tion and lead to arguments for a child hitting another child. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child one of the married couple love one another, there are alway's differences wh						told them
11. A young child should be protected from hearing about sex. 12. Children would be happier and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's joinions and take them into account when something which concerns are children it decided. 14. Parents should do their best to avoid any disappointment for their children. 15. The people who wan lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents of teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres are streamed and the strong character. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an itlends. 28. A was eptent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. The matigs how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which couse tions and lead to arguments. 31. A child lead to arguments. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 33. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best activits. 34. Children who are held to						
12. Children would be happer and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs. 13. Parents should ask for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which feelly concerns the children is decided and disappointment for their children. 14. Parents should do their best to avoid any disappointment for their children. 15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres and the strict of						
13. Pargins should set for their children's opinions and take them into account when something which cell decided in the state of the cell process the children is decided. 14. Parents should do their best to avoid any disappointment for their children. 15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres are strong character. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too tring or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an infinity. 28. There is no excussing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents. 29. There is no good excuss for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excussing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best ad-uts. 35. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best ad-uts. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties						
11. Parents should be traight of their best to avoid any disappointment for their children. 12. Parents should be traight of their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an infends. 13. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 14. Sometimes it's necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 15. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 19. The child should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 19. The child should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 19. The child should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 19. The child should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 19. The child scipline develops a fine strong character. 19. The child scipline develops a fine strong character. 19. The child scipline develops a fine strong character. 19. The child scipline develops a fine strong character. 19. The child scipline develops a fine strong character. 19. The child should be protected from jobs which might be too string on more smoothly. 19. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too string or hard for him. 19. The child should be protected from jobs which might be too string or hard for him. 19. The child should be protected from jobs which might be too string or hard for him. 19. The child should be protected from jobs which might be too string or hard for him. 19. The child should be protected from jobs which might be too string or hard for him. 19. The child should be protected from jobs which might be too string or hard for him. 19. The child should be protected from jobs who is boss. 19. The child should be child should be child should be child should be child to arguments. 19. The child should be child should shou						
15. The people who want lots of parties seldom make good parents. 16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief our of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents of teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres are sufficiently as the stript to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with nelghbors an itlend's. 28. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. It's matter how well a married couple love one another, there are alway's differences which cause tion and lead to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "hlow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are haterested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Paren						rectiviconcerns the children is decided.
16. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres with the strong character. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A vise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. The matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause tion and lead to alroguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. The les is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-"its. 35. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-"its. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them griphins. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with						
17. Sometimes it's necessary for a person to tell off his spouse in order to get his rights. 18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents or teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres than the complete of the						
18. A child should be taught always to come to his parents of teachers rather than to fight when he is trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres than a constant of the strong character. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A wase parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. To matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause thon and lead to arguments. 30. There is no excussing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excussing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-its. 35. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-its. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of t						
trouble. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents above all other grown-ups. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres in the strong character. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A wase parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. To matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause thon and lead to arguments. 30. There is no oped excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excussing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-its. 35. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-its. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled						
20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes. 21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres with the strong character. 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. The matter how well a married couple love one another, there are alway's differences which cause tion and lead to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best admits. 35. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best admits. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion.						ecouble.
21. There's nothing that upsets parents more than a noisy family. 22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres with the second of the complete of the compl						
22. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres in the seed of the complete in the seed of the seed of the completely undres in the seed of the seed of the seed of the completely undres in the seed of t						
23. It is very important that young boys and girls not be allowed to see each other completely undres 24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. 170 matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause tion and load to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best ad-its. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX						
24. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children jokes makes things go more smoothly. 25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 26. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 27. I'm matter how well a married couple love one another, there are alway's differences which cause tion and lead to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-lts. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF						
25. A child has a right to his own point of view and should be allowed to express it. 26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too tiring or hard for him. 27. Parents have to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. No matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause tion and lead to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best ad-lis. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF						
26. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too turing or hard for him. 27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. To matter how well a married couple love one another, there are alway's differences which cause tion and lead to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best ad-lts. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX						
27. Parents nave to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors an friends. 28. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best admits. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE ON PLEASE TURN PAGE ON PLEASE TURN PAGE ON PLEASE TURN PAGE ON PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX						
29. A wase parent will teach a child early just who is boss. 29. A matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause tion and lead to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best admits. 35. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best admits. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX						27. Parents have to choose between caring for their family and hob-nobbing around with neighbors and
29. To matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause tion and lead to arguments. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best ad-lts. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. PLEASE TURN PAGE ON PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX						friends. 2b. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss.
100 and taked to algorithms. 30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child. 31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best advits. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF The children of the children of the settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF The children of the children of the settled by a mild discussion.						29. To matter how well a married couple love one another, there are always differences which cause irri
31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents. 32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best addits. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX						30. There is no good excuse for a child hitting another child.
32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing things. 33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to film rules grow up to be the best admits. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF THE PROPERTY AND THE SECONDARY AND THE						31. A child soon learns that there is no greater wisdom than that of his parents.
33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding. 34. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best admits. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX						32. There is no excusing someone who upsets the confidence a child has in his parents' way of doing
34. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best addits. 35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF					:::::	33. It's natural for a parent to "blow his top" when children are selfish and demanding.
35. Children who take part in sex play become sex criminals when they grow up. 36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE ON						34. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best admits.
36. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates, and fun, help them gright. 37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF	::::	:::::		:::::	:::::	
37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions. 38. Parents should know better than to allow their children to be exposed to difficult situations. 39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE OF	::::	:::::		:::::	:::::	
39. Too many parents forget that their place when they are not working is with the family. 40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE ON PLEASE TURN PAGE ON	::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	37. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions.
40. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them. 41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE ON PLEASE TURN PAGE ON THIS BOX	::::	:::::	****	****	:::::	
PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX		:::::				
PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX	::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	
	::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	41. There are some things which just can't be settled by a mild discussion. PLEASE TURN PAGE OVER
	0	<u>l</u> .	2.	3.	4.	PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX 5. 6. 7. 8. 9
			_			



The first of the f

Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Uncertain	Agree	Agree		In this section read each item then blacken one of the 5 answer spaces to the left of that question. Blacken A if you Disagree Strongly, B if you Disagree (mildly), C if you are Uncertain, D if you Agree (mildly) or E if you Agree Strongly.	
A	В	С	D	E	42.	Children should not be encouraged to box or wrestle because it often leads to trouble or injury.	
****	=====		*****		43 -	Perents deserve the highest esteem and regard of their children.	=
						It's best for the child if he never gets started wondering whether his parent's views are right.	=
::::	:::::	=====	=====	*****	44 .	There are times when any parent gets to the point where he can't stand his family a moment longer.	=
::::	. :::::	*****	=====	=====	45 .	Most children should have more discipline than they get.	=
::::	=====	=====	*****	*****	46 .	Sex is one of the greatest problems to be contended with in children.	=
::::	:::::	*****	*****	****	47 .	If parents would have fun with their children, the children would be more apt to take their advice.	=
::::	:::::	*****	:::::	*****	48 -	When a child is in trouble he ought to know that he won't be punished for talking about it with his	Ξ
::::	:::::	*****	*****	:::::	49 .	When a child is in trouble he ought to know that he won't be parents. Children should be kept away from all hard jobs which might be discouraging.	
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	*****	50.		
***	:::::	*****	*****	:::::	51 -	A good parent will find enough social life within the family.	_
::::	:::::	****	*****	====	52.	It is sometimes necessary for the parent to break the child's will.	_
::::	:::::	*****	*****	:::::	53.	It's natural to have quarrels when two people who both have minds of their own get married.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	*****	54.	Most parents prefer a quiet child to a "scrappy" one.	_
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	55 -	Loyalty to parents comes before everything else.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	56.	It is a very bad policy to let a child begin to have doubts about what his parents have told him.	=
::::	:::::	=====	:::::	:::::	57.	A parent may need to "blow his top" once in a while around the home just to clear the air a bit.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	58.	Children are actually happier under strict training.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	*****	59.	There is usually something wrong with a child who asks a lot of questions about sex.	_
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	60.	When you do things together, children feel close to you and can talk more easily.	=
			:::::	:::::	61.	Children should be encouraged to express their opinions about anything that involves them.	=
::::	*****	*****				A child is most lovable when he is small and helpless.	=
::::	*****	*****	*****	*****	62.	A person can't do a parent's job and have an active social life too.	=
::::	:::::	*****	:::::	*****	63.	Many children, like horses, must be broken in order to be trained.	=
::::	*****	:::::	::::	*****	64.	A good argument now and then is a good way for people to settle their differences.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	*****	65.	Children should be taught not to hit back even if someone hits them.	=
::::	*****	:::::	:::::	*****	66.	A child should always love his parents above everyone else.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	*****	67.		=
::::	:::::	*****	*****	:::::	68.	The child should not question the thinking of his parents.	
::.:	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	69.	A parent has a right to be angry and irritated when the family doesn't give him a chance to relax at home.	=
::::	:::::	****	:::::	:::::	70.	Severe discipline is essential in the training of children.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	71.	if children are not warned about sex they may indulge in harmful sex play.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	::::	72.	It is good for parents and teenagers to have a friend-to-friend attitude.	=
::::	****	:::::	:::::	:::::	73-	Family life would be happier if parents made children feel that they were free to say what they	=
****	*****	:::::	:::::	:::::	74.	think about anything. Parents should try to prevent all difficulties which might make a child unhappy.	=
 :=::	:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	75,	A good parent doesn't have time to join clubs or have other activities besides work and family	=
		****	****	*****	-	responsibilities. A good whipping now and then never hurt any child.	=
						Even in marriage a person must fight for his rights at times.	=
:::::			*****			A good child doesn't fight with other children.	=
:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	=====		Children should be more concerned about their parents' happiness than about anything else.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	*****	*****		A child's trust in his parent should be safeguarded better by not having so many people with dif-	=
****	*****	:::::	:::::	:::::	80.	ferent ideas around him.	=
:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	=====	81.	Raising children is a nerve-racking job.	=
::::	::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	82.	Firm enforcement of rules never really hurts a child.	
::::	:::::	*****	:::::	:::::	83.	Children who know a lot about sex become more curious and get into more trouble.	=
:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	*****	84.	Parents should be playful rather than dignified with children.	=
::::	:::::	:::::	*****	:::::			=
:::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	::::			
:::::	:::::	:::::	*****	:::::			
						PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX	
Ω	يلِي.	2	<u>3</u> .	4		5578 .9	<u> </u>
		F	M				l

The state of the s

~:

	DUCATIONAL-VOCATIONAL PLANS INVENTORY INSTRUCTIONS: Be ours to make your marks houry	Me Stack feets barren	IX C DIECT							GRI	P 764.3	P
ı	professed). Erese completely any answers you wish to	cnerge.	Hd:			3		Feed	:		8	
N	IAME	eo jezele.		1		3	4	5	-	7	8	•
	Comment child's passible DCCUPATIONAL	Outdoor			: :-		*	.::	·			****
•	urrefacts by plecing a mark in the "7" column	Mechanical	::.	:-	·. ·.	:	•	:.:::	•••••	:.:::		:.*::
	etil is MOSY interested, then a mark in ma	Scientific		•.	:.: .			: ***	:.:: .	:	:*.::	
	"8" calumn apposite his xext strongest type of nterest, and so on until you have reted the area of	Numericei				•	•: •	:.::	::::	: :	•	•
	is least interest by placing a mark apposite it in	Musical				•	•		::.	:.	.::	: ''
4	sach ww and each column.)	Writing						**	.:	: •:	.;:	
		Artistic		: .	•		**	•	. :	•*:-	::::	
		C!erice1	••			•		:::::	• • :	•	٠.	•
		Secial Services	•			•:	··.	::				.:.
		Selling			-		· :::	::::	•	:::::	-::::	• :
		•		_	_		4		4	7	2	•
	Rate these types of occupations by placing a mark	Outdoor	o .	1	7	• •	. :	.:::-	::	:.:::		
	is the "'e" calumn assessing the Type of occupation	Mechanical				.:.:	::	::::	:::::	.·. :	••••	
	fer which you feel your child is BEST fitted by BOTH INTEREST AND ABILITY, a mark in the	Scientific					••	.: ::	::	::	::	··· ·
	"8" column expesite the type for which your chile	Numerical				•	:: .:	.: :.	::	:.:	:::	::.
	the type for which he is least well titled by placing	Musicel	.:. :	···.:	· :•	:	: ::	··:.		:**	:::::	•:::
	should be only one mark in each row and each	Writing	*****	.::••	•	: •	· :-	••	:::::	:::*:	::::	:::::
	column.)	Artistic		-	:::::		••	:	:·.:	::	.::::	:::::
		Clerical	:::	.:.		:.:::	:::::	::	: -	::::.	:::::	:::::
		Social Services	::	···.		:-	::::.	::::	.::.:	*::::	:::::	:-:::
		Selling					:	:.:	:.	:	:::::	:::.:
					2	3	4	<	4	7	8	
L	Rete your child's APTITUDE for the following school	Art		1	4		. :::	- .	::.::	-	_	
	school subjects by placing a mark in the "8" column appesite the subject in which your child is BEST, a	English					•			:		
	mad to the "7" column assess to the subject in which	Fereign Languages					-			•	•	
	your child is rest best, and so an until you have rated the area; which your child is weakest by	Methemetics					•	-	,	-	•••••	
	plecing a mark of resite it in "I" column. (There should be only on, mark in each row and each	Music								:		
	column.)	Biological Sciences									-	
		Physical Sciences										
		Shop (boys) or Heme Econ. (girls)				•					٠	
	Below ere listed end numbered various choices as to (1) No training beyond high school. (2) Military service. (3) Apprenticeship or on-the-job- training. (4) Tachnical or Trade School. (5) Junior Callage. (6) Four-year chilogo. (7) University. (8) Graduate school.	reining beyond high sch	neel:	•	•	•	4		4	7		
	(a) Piece a mark under the number which goes with the	he choice which your		1	2	3	-74		ě	. ;		
	child feels is most realistic for himself. (b) Place a mark under the number which goes with the			1	2	3			:.: 6	7		
	feel is most realistic for your child. (c) Place a mark under the number which goes with t			•	•	-	-	-	•		-	
	spouss feels Is most reelistic for your child.	-	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%
6.	(a) Place a mark in the column which best indicates child'scless which is below file in actual ability to do a him	the percent (%) of your ecodomic work.	U74	107	•	•:	•			. 70%	.	90%
7.	(b) Place e merk in the column which best indicates your child's close which is below him in grades. How much discussion about his future educational yeals has your child had with	the percent (%) of on ecodomic work. (a) you	0%	10% Nene		Linle		509 Muci	-		Much	
	Annual Land Land Attention of the Attent	(b) your spouse		Non	•	Linle	Some	Mud	1	Very	Much	
8,	In talking about the kind of accupation your child she enter, how much (if ony) disagreement has he with	uld (a) you		-			•					
	enter the a meru fir enly moutre away use us will	(b) your spouse										

The standing of the standing of the standing of the standard o

ERIC PROMOBOL BY ERIC

			APPEND	IX D	
Par	cent		<u> </u>		Group No.
Scł	nool				Group No
Сот	nsultant				
For	: several weeks yo	GUI University	T-SERIES RE (Pare DANCE RESEA of Califor	nt) RCH PROJECT nia at Los	•
to you all fee	know your reaction please respond for participants may	s to this cankly to to be objection the ba	experience : the attached vely evalua tck of this	in order to rating sca ted. Shoul sheet or us	plan for the future. Will ale so that the reactions of d you run out of space, please additional paper.
	-				_
	Not at all 1	2	3	4	tVery much so
2.	the discussi	ons have b	een helpful	•	ain briefly in what ways the
	Not at all*	*	*	*	*Very much so
	5	4	3	2	1
3.	results occu	rred.			ain briefly what negative s behavior around home and
٥.	other out-of-scho	ol situati	ons?		
	Not at all*	* 2	* 3	* /4	*Very much so
	-	ed 3, 4, o (or) worse	or 5 above,	have these	changes been for the

ERIC Frontied by ERIC

4.	Would you recommend participation	in a	similar	group	to	friends	siho	have
	children with academic problems?	yes						
		no						

- 5. What specific expects of the group discussions did you find to be <u>least</u> helpful (or possibly harmful)?
- 6. What specific aspects of the group discussions did you find to be most helpful?
- 7. Please write here and on the back any feelings or reactions about your experience in this group which you have not had an opportunity to express above.



APPENDIX E

DEVELOPMENT OF THE INVENTORY OF PROFESSIONAL EDUCATIONAL PRACTICES

The Inventory of Professional Educational Practices (IPEP) was developed for utilization in the Guidance Research Project at UCLA.

The major thrust of this Project is the establishment of demonstration centers utilizing a specific and unique approach to the provision of guidance services in cooperating schools and the evaluation of outcomes of the work of the demonstration centers. The demonstration centers are being conducted by personnel already working in the district under the general supervision of the Western Regional Center of the Interprofessional Research Commission on Pupil Personnel Services.

The Center is taking the responsibility for the establishment of research procedures, the development of instruments and was originally responsible for the development of the rationale upon which the demonstration centers are based.

In cooperating schools guidance services are being offered which are intended to influence the educational environment of the children in certain grades in cooperating schools. The rationale and research design have been discussed in much more detail elsewhere (Shaw and Tuel, 1964), (Shaw and Tuel, 1965). Instruments to assess change through provision of services in the ways specified by the rationale have either been developed by the Project or have been adapted from already existing instruments. One of these instruments is the School Opinion Survey on which a great deal of data has been collected and a technical manual is in the process of preparation.

While the School Opinion Survey is a new instrument, the IPEP is a combination of four sub-parts; one of which is totally new. The



ments. The general purpose of developing the IPEP was to construct an instrument designed specifically for teachers which would reflect both certain attitudinal dimensions as well as professional behaviors which they endorse. A reciprocal relationship between attitude and behavior is thus being assumed without any assumptions of causality.

The four sub-sections of the IPEP are: The Grading Practices

Opinionnaire developed by staff of the Guidance Research Project, a

shortened version of the teachers' section of the Organizational

Climate Description Questionnaire, developed by Halpin and Croft (1963),

a shortened version of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory (Cook,

Leeds and Callis, 1951) and the Guidance Practices Survey developed

primarily by the Guidance Research Project but including items adapted

from Mahler (1955).

The Grading Practices Opinionnaire

The Grading Practices Opinionnaire was developed to reflect specific behaviors of teachers relative to their judgment of the academic achievement of children. The initial form of the opinionnaire contained 36 items and was administered to 118 students in courses in Educational Psychology. Following collection of this data, a principal axis factor analysis was performed. An eigenvalue of 1 or more was the criterion used to determine the number of factors to be rotated. Seven factors met this criterion. The Kaiser Varimax Program was Utilized for this purpose. A copy of the form of the GPO on which factor analysis was performed will be found in Appendix 1. Appendix 2 reflects the rotated factor matrix. Table 1 reflects the loadings of



Table 1
Grading Practices Opinionnaire

Rotated Loadings for Items Included in Each Factor

Facto	or				_								
Numbe	er I		II		III	1	IV		<u>v</u>		VI	<u> </u>	VII
Ite	n n	Item	1	Ite	n	Ite	n	Ite	D.	Ite	מ	Ite	c
No	Leading	No	Loading	No	Loading	No	Loading	No	Loading	No	Loading	No	Loading
31	.49	7	.47	6	56	14	.51	2	68	8	51	1	.71
33	.62	9	.44	7	48	19	.39	16	82	23	.49	5	.50
35	.58	10	.85	25	40	21	.61			29	.67	12	.64
		11	.84	26	48	24	.50			32	.60		
		13	.41										
		15	.80	ĺ									



items retained in the present form of the GPO. In no case was an item included where the loading was less than .39 and most loadings are substantially higher. Other items with loadings of .39 or higher were found in the rotated matrix, but were not included because they were found to have high loadings on other factors as well. Thus, the items retained not only have high loadings, but tend to have high loadings exclusively on the factor in which they now appear. Factors are essentially orthoganal. One additional item was written to strengthen factor 5, which had only two items in the factor. (This item is now No. 30 and reads, "Solves problems in new ways.") The revised form of the GPO begins on page 3 of the IPEP, which is included in Appendix 2.

Factor One seems to reflect an emphasis on hard work and competitiveness and appears to embody one commonly accepted middle class

American stereotype of, "how to get ahead in almost any field." Factor Two appears to be concerned primarily with the attitude of the student toward his work. Thus, the variables enthusiasm and interest have the strongest loadings in this factor, followed very closely by a very broad variable which simply is "attitude." Factor Three appears, in a certain sense, to be an absolutist scale. The factor appears to be high! unconcerned with effort or change and, by implication, is probably concerned primarily with doing only what the teacher specifically requires. In some ways it appears to be the polar opposite of Factor One. Factor Four is comprised of diverse kinds of items and is quite difficult to label. It appears most closely related to the efficiency of learning. Thus, organization, thoroughness, ability to apply knowledge and quality of work all load in this item. Factor Five is quite

easy to name. It is concerned only with originality and creativity.

One item was added to the scale on an a priori basis, although the factor would probably be sufficiently stable without an additional item.

Factor Six is a very interesting one in that its prime concern appears to be related not to school work or attitudes towards it, but rather to factors beyond the control of the student which might conceivably interfere with earning good grades. The fact that the criterion of accuracy loads negatively into this factor, is significant. Individuals exercising this kind of criterion apparently are used to thinking broadly and subjectively and very possibly are unscientific in outlook. Factor Seven is concerned primarily with certain details that are often presumed to be a part of school learning. Thus, spelling, diction and grammar all load heavily into this factor. The essentially mechanistic-objective element of this factor should not be ignored.

The Organizational Climate Description Questionnaire

The total organizational climate description questionnaire (CDQ)

was designed to reflect various facets of teacher and principal behavior, and to assess the general psychological climate prevailing in any given school situation. It was developed through the process of factor analysis and Form IV, from which the present items are taken, contained a total of 64 items. In order to meet the needs of the UCLA Guidance Research Project, only the 33 items reflecting teacher behavior were utilized. It should be remembered that the second year of data collection of this Project involves pupil personnel specialists



working with volunteer groups of teachers in an attempt to assist them to be more effective in their teaching. It was assumed that if such groups were successful, in terms of the present study, that many of the teacher behaviors reflected in the OCDQ might be changed, thus, bringing about a change in the "organizational climate" (to use Halpin and Croft's term), or in the "learning environment" (to use the terminology of the present study).

The teacher behavior section of the OCDQ is comprised of four factors. The first of these is disengagement. Halpin and Croft describe disengagement as referring: "to the teacher's tendency to be 'not with it!" This dimension describes a group which is "going through the motions," a group that is "not in gear" with respect to the task at hand. It corresponds to the more general concept of anomie as first described by Durkheim. In short, this sub-test focuses upon the teacher's behavior in a task oriented situation. The second factor is hindrance and "refers to the teacher's feeling that the principal burdens them with routine duties, committee demands, and other requirements which the teachers construe as unnecessary busy work. The teachers perceive that the principal is hindering rather than facilitating their work." The third factor is esprit and 'refers to 'morale'." The teachers feel that their social needs are



It should be emphasized that in terms of the present Project, the principal is seen as a prime determinant of the learning environment in any given school. The fact that items on the OCDQ relating to principal behavior are being left out should not be misinterpreted as a lack of respect for this point of view. Rather, this Project has not yet had an opportunity to incorporate work with school administrators as a formal aspect of the study.

7

being satisfied and that they are, at the same time, enjoying a sense of accomplishment in their jobs." The final factor is intimacy and "refers to the teachers' enjoyment of friendly social relations with each other. This dimension describes a social-need satisfaction which is not necessarily associated with task-accomplishment."

The scales are ideally suited to the purposes of the present

Project since they reflect some very general attitudes which teachers

have toward their work in a specific professional situation. It can

hardly be doubted that there is a reciprocal relation between these

attitudes and the effectiveness of the professional job which they do.

It has been postulated that it is the purpose of the guidance worker

to assist in the development of general attitudes and behaviors on

the part of the teacher which are conducive to his performing in an

optimally effective manner. The items comprising the teachers' section

of the OCDQ will be found on pages 4, 5 and 6 of the IPEP,

The Teacher Attitude Inventory

The original form of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory was published in 1951. It was developed by Cook, Leeds and Callis. It has been almost universally used on the assumption that it is a unifactor instrument. It has also been assumed that the more permissive and therefore presumably "student-oriented" teacher is a "good" teacher, while the less permissive and therefore presumably "subject matter-oriented teacher" is a "bad" teacher. A recent study by Horn and Morrison (1965) demonstrated that five major factors could be extracted from the original MTAI. These five factors can be briefly described as being related to (1) beliefs about child control,



}

(2) opinions about goodness or badness of children, (3) permissiveness as opposed to punitiveness, (4) aloneness versus involvement, and (5) laissez faire as opposed to controlling attitudes toward children. Their analysis accounted for 73 of the 150 items found on the MTAI. The first 3 factors included 17, 23 and 22 items respectively, a substantially greater number than would be required for factor reliability. For this reason, the number of items in each of the first three scales was arbitrarily reduced to 15 by dropping the necessary number of items with the lowest loadings. The 4th and 5th factors contained 7 and 4 items respectively, all of which were retained. This resulted in a much shortened teacher attitude inventory comprised of 56 items.

The utility of these five factors as measures of change in teacher attitude and behavior will be obvious. Factors Two and Four appear to have particular relevance for the present study, although data analysis alone will be able to establish this assumed significance.

The Guidance Practices Survey

The fourth section of the IPEP is comprised of 20 questions intended to raflect teacher attitudes toward certain personnel services and personnel workers. It is specifically designed to determine whether or not teachers who participate in volunteer discussion groups improve their opinion of such services and of the people who render them. Many of the items are original, while others were adapted from Mahler (1955). A broad sampling of teacher attitudes with respect to the effectiveness and competence of personnel workers is tapped, as are attitudes with respect to the utility of the functions which they perform. No data are currently available on this section of the IPEP.



References

- Cook, W. W., Leeds, C. H. and Callis, R., Minnesota Teacher Attitude
 Inventory. New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1951.
- Halpin, A. W. and Croft, D. B., <u>The Organizational Climate of Schools</u>. Midwest Administration Center, University of Chicago, August, 1963.
- Horn, J. L. and Morrison, W. L., Dimensions of teacher attitudes. <u>J</u>. <u>Educ. Psychol.</u>, 56, 1965, 118-125.
- Mahler, C. A., A Study of Student and Faculty Reactions to Student Personnel Work. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Minnesota, 1955.
- Shaw, M. C. and Tuel, J. K., A Proposed Model and Research Design for Pupil Personnel Services in the Public Schools. Los Angeles: University of California (mimeographed, 95 pp. + appendices), March, 1964.
- Shaw M. C., and Tuel, J. K., <u>Guidance Research in Action: Group</u>
 <u>Counseling with Parents</u>. Los Angeles: University of California
 (mimeographed, 100 pp. + appendices), March, 1965.

ERIC Full taxt Provided by ERIC

APPENDIX 1

TAME:

(Teachers only)

GRADE LEVEL TAUGHT:

(Parents only)

GRADE LEVEL OF CHILD:

GRADING PRACTICES OPINIONNAIRE

University of California at Los Angeles

By circling one of the five numbers opposite each question, please indicate how much weight (positive or negative) you believe should be given to each kind of criterion when assigning grades.

The state of the s	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		4 7 605	Transaction of the last of the		Commercial Control			T		T		Posteriel	(N. Samuel II.	† ## (Tamenta (Lyman A Non A L A L	B'scommon
	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	0.1234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	t t A t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	it it eal
	18.	17.	16.	15.	14.	13.	12.	11.	10.	9.	8.	7.	6.	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.		
	Tardies	Aptitude test scores	Originality	Attitude	Quality of work	Neatness of work	Grammar	Interest	Enthusiasm	Work habits	Accuracy	Effort demonstrated	Improvement shown	Diction	Classroom behavior	Cooperativeness	Creativity	Spelling		
	012.34	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	01234	None At Al. Very Little Quite A Bi Very Much A Great Dea	ll le it eal
	3 6.	35•	34.	33.	3 2.	31	30.	29.	28.	27.	26.	25.	24.	23.	22.	21.	20.	19.		
	Amount of learning revealed by test scores	Time spent on work	Increased self understanding	Competitiveness	Language problem (allowance for)	General class standing	Attendance	Physical illness	Participation in discussion	Personal cleanliness	Doing extra unassigned work	Getting an assignment in on time	Thoroughness	Home problems (allowance for)	Intelligence	Organization of work	Punctuality	Ability to apply knowledge		



APPENDIX 2

Rotated Factor Matrix of the Grading Practices Opinionnaire

375	Factor Number		II	III	IV	v	VI	VII
	Variable l	-0.05148	0.04925	-0.02605	-0.18574	0.08915	0.10647	0.71377
	2	-0.10873	0.06428	-0.11742	-0.03712	-0.67901	-0.02459	0.04299
	3	0.14188	0.45432	-0.27867	-0.03383	0.28780	0.00798	0.33261
: =	4	0.02663	0.50055	-0.27557	-0.17629	0.23890	0.08445	0.32298
	5	0.10311	0.19052	0.04437	0.17866	-0.04145	-0.07279	0.50380
أطار	6	0.14289	0.27619	-0.56300	-0.18986	-0.06237	0.04407	-0.09020
क्री	7	0.36841	0.47324	-0.48638	-0.14773	0.00274	-0.09126	0.01994
क्र	8	0.04102	-0.18300	-0.06952	0.39865	0.03437	-0.50854	0.18123
-	9	0.19125	0.44461	-0.26459	0.09489	0.33150	0.94683	0.23317
THE STATE OF THE S	10	0.00707	0.84964	-0.08079	0.06816	-0.19774	0.05753	0.05382
	11	0.07383	0.84119	0.08069	0.10580	-0.21500	0.12708	0.06037
	12	-0.02167	0.14325	0.01768	0.12098	-0.04162	-0.04110	0.64303
1.0	13	0.34847	0.41517	0.08902	0.36653	0.14278	-0.01729	0.22490
П	14	-0.02917	0.01918	0.03875	0.50670	0.13584	-0.13063	-0.05726
Ц	15	0.19083	0.79595	-0.08347	-0.00478	-0.10158	-0.02931	0.18676
("2	16	0.04899	0.16258	0.01603	0.10877	-0.79947	0.01921	-0.03054
	17	0.34158	0.09661	0.06974	-0.2386	-0.08950	0.13970	0.38600
	18	0.42691	0.35613	0.01057	-0.11720	0.07	0.14967	0.48444
Noncor	19	-0.05261	-0.05075	-0.05184	0.39245	-G.24621	-0.07950	-0.26458
1.5	20	0.40234	0.10287	0.01190	-0.14111	0.18479	0.19068	0.45202
and and	21	0.04190	0.14456	0.00042	0.60889	-0.13226	0.08917	0.08174
	22	0.36814	0.10990	-0.15531	-0.06212	-0.21805	0.19454	0.31332
77	23	0.02124	0.06435	-0.36451	-0.16701	-0.10667	0.48955	0.34199
No.	24	-0.01265	-0.05274	-0.28381	0.50119	-0.02767	0.04476	-0.05196



APPENDIX 2 (Continued)

Rotated Factor Matrix of the Grading Practices Opinionnaire

Factor Number	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII
Variable 25	0.37832	0.17063	-0.40208	0.17319	0.02138	0.01882	0.12209
26	0.16941	0.08983	-0.47918	0.18447	-0.02236	0.16980	-0.12111
27	0.48967	0.24146	-0.12183	-0.22918	-0.04466	0.12964	9.46603
23	0.26566	0.17077	-0.30978	0.16207	0.00319	0.04363	0.02100
29	0.20436	0.00322	-0.03283	-0.03051	0.11367	0.66874	-0.04003
30	0.53483	0.21395	0.12091	0.00530	0.16810	0.44601	0.18405
31	0.49417	0.01960	-0.18244	0.00977	0.07474	-0.00049	-0.15972
32	0.14417	-0.01585	-0.18834	0.12399	-0.10206	0.59952	0.21552
33	0.62091	-0.00712	-0.11898	0.04625	-0.09272	0.09527	0.03602
34	0.24420	-0.06069	-0.36060	-0.18598	-0.23772	0.12789	0.22387
35	0.58742	0.12824	-0.18967	0.02108	0.12235	0.07987	0.10766
36	0.06853	-0.19561	-0.38479	0.13973	0.05531	0.19668	-0.18665
37	0.00686	-0.00076	-0.34548	0.03890	-0.02078	-0.02639	0.03402



INVENTORY OF PROFESSIONAL EDUCATIONAL PRACTICES

Guidance Research Project U.C.L.A.

The questions contained on the following pages are intended to reflect the opinions of professional educators with respect to a variety of educational practices. You will have first hand familiarity with most of the situations described in this inventory. There may be a few, however, with which you are relatively unfamiliar. In any case, will you please give your opinion on all items, based on your current background of experience and knowledge.

Instructions for completion of the four sections of this inventory appear at the beginning of each of the sections.

Your responses will not be made known to any other school personnel but will be used only for research purposes. You may ignore the confusing system of numbering since it is set up to facilitate computer analysis of your responses.



BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

151

	Your Name						
	School						
		(Write	in the name of your school)				
	Please place a	check mark to the	right of the appropriate category.				
7.	Position:	Principal	1				
		Teacher	2				
		Personnel Wker	3				
		Other	4				
8.	Sex:						
		Male	1				
		Female	2				
9.	Age	20-29	1				
		30-39	2				
		40-49	3				
		50-59	4				
		60 or over	5•				
10.	Years of experience in education	0-9	1				
	OUTCEDION	10-19	2				
		20-29	3				
		30 or over	4				
11.	Years at this school:	0-4	1				
		5-9	2				
		10-19	3				
		20 or over	4.				



GRADING PRACTICES OPINIONNAIRE

By circling one of the five numbers opposite each question, please indicate how much weight (positive or negative) you believe should be given to each kind of criterion when assigning grades to students.

A rounded	e At All F Little te A Bit F Much			e At All F Little te A Bit F Much reat Deal		
L STEEDENING I	None Very Quit Very A Gry			None Very Quito Very A Gry		
7	1 2 3 4 5	12.	Spelling	1 2 3 4 5	25.	Attitude
i managari i	12345	13.	Creativity	1 2 3 4 5	26.	Criginality
	12345	14.	Thoroughness	12345	27.	Ability to apply knowledge
New Trans.	12345	15.	Diction	1 2 3 4 5	28.	Organization of work
.5	12345	16.	Improvement shown	1 2 3 4 5	29.	Home problems (allowance for)
ī	12345	17.	Effort demonstrated	1 2 3 4 5	30.	Solves problems in new ways
TOTAL MANAGES	12345	18.	Accuracy	1 2 3 4 5	31.	Getting assignments in on time
-	12345	19.	Work habits	1 2 3 4 5	32.	Doing extra unassigned work
and a second	12345	20.	Enthusiasm	12345	33.	Physical illness
.	12345	21.	Inter es t	1 2 3 4 5	34.	General class standing
Lancoune L	12345	22.	Granmar	12345	35.	Lang. problem (allowance for)
A CONT	12345	23.	Neatness of work	1 2 3 4 5	36.	Compatitiveness
ī	12345	24.	Quality of work	1 2 3 4 5	37.	Time spent on work



ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE DESCRIPTION QUESTIONNAIRE (Teachers) Developed by Andrew W. Halpin and Don B. Croft

Marking Instructions

Printed below is an example of a typical item found in this section:

Rarely Occurs Sometimes Occurs Often Occurs Very Frequently Occurs

1 2 3 4 0. Teachers call each other by their first names.

In this example the respondent marked alternative 3 to show that the interpersonal relationship described by this item "often occurs" at his school. Of course, any of the other alternatives could be selected, depending upon how often the behavior described by the item does, in your opinion, occur in your school.

Please circle your response clearly, as in the example. (Please be sure that you mark every item).

Rarely Occurs
Sometimes Occurs
Often Occurs
Very Frequently Occurs

- 1234 38. Teachers' closest friends are other faculty members at this school.
- 1 2 3 4 39. The mannerisms of teachers at this school are annoying.



	Rarely Occurs Sometimes Occurs Often Occurs Very Frequently Occurs		
	1 2 3 4	40.	Teachers spend time after school with students who have individual problems.
-	1234	41.	Instructions for the operation of teaching aids are available.
	1 2 3 4	42.	Teachers invite other faculty to visit them at home.
	1234	43.	There is a minority group of teachers who always oppose the majority.
	1234	44.	Extra books are available for classroom use.
	1234	45.	Sufficient time is given to prepare administrative reports.
	1234	46.	Teachers know the family background of other faculty members.
	1234	47.	Teachers exert group pressure on non-conforming faculty members.
	1234	48.	In faculty meetings, there is a feeling of "let's get things done."
	1234	49.	Administrative paper work is burdensome at this school.
	1234	50.	Teachers talk about their personal life to other faculty members.
	1234	51.	Teachers seek special favors from the principal.
Section 1	1 2 3 4	52.	School supplies are readily available for use in classwork.
_	1 2 3 4	53.	Student progress reports require too much work.
	1 2 3 4	54.	Teachers have fun socializing together during school time.
	1234	55.	Teachers interrupt other faculty members who are talking in staff meetings.

Rarely Occurs Sometimes Occurs Often Occurs Very Frequently Occurs		
1234	56.	Most of the teachers here accept the faults of their colleagues.
1234	57•	Teachers have too many committee requirements.
1234	58•	There is considerable laughter when teachers gather informally.
1 2 3 4	5 9•	Teachers ask nonsensical questions in faculty meetings.
1 2 3 4	60•	Custodial service is available when needed.
1 2 3 4	61.	Routine duties interfere with the job of teaching.
1 2 3 4	62•	Teachers prepare administrative reports by themselves.
1 2 3 4	63.	Teachers ramble when they talk in faculty meetings.
1 2 3 4	64.	Teachers at this school show much school spirit.
1 2 3 4	65.	Teachers at this school stay by themselves.
1234	66.	The teachers accomplish their work with great vim, vigor and pleasure.
1234	67.	The morale of the teachers is high.

Teachers socialize together in small select groups.

70. Teachers talk about leaving the school system.

Teachers work together preparing administrative reports.

1 2 3 4

1 2 3 4

1 2 3 4

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

68.

TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY (Short Form)

(Adapted from the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory)

This section contains 56 statements reflecting opinions about teacher-pupil relations. There is considerable disagreement as to what the answers should be. Decide how you feel about each statement and <u>circle</u> the appropriate response.

THE COLUMN TO TH	Agree 1 Disagree		
Lansant	Strongly Ag Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Di		
None and a second	1 2 3 4 5	7.	Most pupils don't appreciate what a teacher does for them.
Control of	12345	8.	If the teacher laughs with the pupils in emusing classroom situations, the class tends to get out of control.
	12345	9.	It sometimes does a child good to be criticized in the presence of other pupils.
I DESAUGE	12345	10.	Unquestioning obedience in a child is not desirable.
판행	12345	11.	Pupils should be required to do more studying at home.
B the second	12345	12.	The first lesson a child needs to learn is to obey the teacher without hesitation.
Parazza (12345	13.	Young people are difficult to understand these days.
	12345	14.	There is too great an emphasis upon "keeping order" in the classroom.
Taxana.	12345	15.	Pupils have it too easy in the modern school.
	12345	16.	A teacher should not be expected to sacrifice an evening of recreation in order to visit a child's home.
New York	12345	17.	Most pupils do not make an adequate effort to prepare their lessons.
- Constitution of the Cons	12345	18.	Too many children nowadays are allowed to have their own way.



Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree		
12345	19.	The boastful child is usually over-confident of his ability.
12345	20.	A teacher cannot place much faith in the statements of pupils.
12345	21.	Some children ask too many questions.
12345	22.	Discipline in the modern school is not as strict as it should be.
12345	23.	A good motivating device is the critical comparison of a pupil's work with that of other pupils.
12345	24.	The child must learn that "teacher knows best."
12345	25.	Teachers should exercise more authority over their pupils than they do.
12345	26.	Many teachers are not severe enough in their dealings with pupils.
12345	27.	Too much nonsense goes on in many classrooms these days.
12345	28.	The school is often to blame in cases of truancy.
12345	29.	Pupils who are foreigners usually make the teacher's task more unpleasant.
12345	30.	Assigning additional school work is often an effective means of punishment.
12345	31.	Pupils must learn to respect teachers if for no other reason than that they are teachers.
12345	32.	No child should rebel against authority.
12345	33•	There is too much leniency today in the handling of children.
12345	34.	Children nowadays are allowed too much freedom in school.
12345	35•	Children are unable to reason adequately.

Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree 36. The child who misbehaves should be made to feel guilty and 12345 ashamed of himself. 37. If a child wants to speak or to leave his seat during the 12345 class period, he should always get permission from the teacher. 38. Throwing of chalk and erasers should always demand severe 12345 punishment. 39. There are too many activities lacking in academic respectability 12345 that are being introduced into the curriculum of the modern school. 40. Children should be given more freedom in the classroom than 12345 they usually get. 41. Most pupils are unnecessarily thoughtless relative to the 12345 teacher's wishes. 42. Shy pupils especially should be required to stand when reciting. 12345 43. Teachers should consider problems of conduct more seriously than 12345 they do. 44. A teacher should not be expected to do more work than he is paid 12345 45. As a rule teachers are too lenient with their pupils. 12345 46. Slow pupils certainly try one's patience. 12345 47. Pupils like to annoy the teacher. 12345 48. Children usually will not think for themselves. 12345 12345 49. Classroom rules and regulations must be considered inviolable.

50. Most pupils have too easy a time of it and do not learn to do

12345

real work.

otrougly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree		
12345	51.	A teacher seldom finds children really enjoyable.
12345	52.	It isn't practicable to base school work upon children's interest.
12345	53•	It is difficult to understand why some children want to come to school.
12345	54.	Children are usually too inquisitive.
12345	55•	Children today are given too much freedom.
12345	56.	One should be able to get along with almost any child.
12345	57•	Children are not mature enough to make their own decisions.
12345	58.	A child who bites his nails needs to be shamed.
12345	59•	Children just cannot be trusted.
12345	60.	Most pupils are not interested in learning.
12345	61.	The teacher should disregard the complaints of the child who constantly talks about imaginary illnesses.
12345	62.	Teachers probably over-emphasize the seriousness of such pupil behavior as the writing of obscene notes.



GUIDANCE PRACTICES SURVEY

that best reflects your opinion.

	7•	Do you moving	feel defic	that it is necessary for this school to provide help in reciences in reading?
(7)			(1)	Yes
			(2)	No
R	8.	How muc	h he	lp of this sort is provided by this school?
N e crea			(1)	Provides little help
7			(2)	Provides some help
			(3)	Provides adequate help
.			(4)	Provides extensive help
			(5)	Ţ do not know
	9.	How man	ny of	the students at this school can find someone on the staff with
******		whom th	ney c	an freely discuss their problems or concerns?
			(1)	Almost all of them
Targette Branch			(2)	Many of them
			(3)	Some of them
a. Turker			(4)	Only a few of them
			(5)	I do not know
j				



10.	Do you feel	that students in this school who most need counseling are
	receiving su	ich help?
	(1)	Almost all of them
	(2)	Many of them
	(3)	Some of them
	(4)	Only a few of them
	(5)	I do not know
11.	How helpful	are the counseling services which are available to students,
	parents and	teachers at this school?
	(1)	Very helpful
	(2)	Fairly helpful
	(3)	Uncertain
	(4)	Not very helpful
	(5)	Little or no help
12.	How many of	the students at this school who are below average in ability
	are receivi	ng an adequate education?
	(1)	Most of them
	(2)	Many of them
	(3)	Some of them
	(4)	Only a few of them
	<u>(</u> 5)	I do not know
13.	Do you beli	eve that the opinions of students are given enough considera-
	tion in det	ermining the rules and regulations of this school?
	(1)	Most all of the time
	(2)	Frequently



1	
]	(3) Not very often(4) Seldom
7	(5) I do not know
	14. How many of the students are getting the kind of education at this school
7	that their parents would like for them to get?
	(1) Almost all of them
	(2) Most of them
3	(3) Some of them
7	(4) A few of them
	(5) I do not know
Tanana (15. How well do you feel that this school handles discipline problems?
	(1) Very well
Darwaren	(2) Fairly well
200	(3) Uncertain
	(4) Not very well
Transaction of the last of the	(5) Not well at all
	16. How well do the various guidance and personnel services such as counseling,
Total Control	psychometry, school psychology, nursing, speech therapy, etc., seem to co-
	operate with each other?
Paranta Paranta	(1) Very cooperative
酒	(2) Cooperative
	(3) Uncertain
75	(4) Uncooperative
Transfer I	(5) Very uncooperative
Section 1	



AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

-

17.	Do you feel	that in this school there is, at present, a tendency to over-
	emphasize g	uidance and counseling services?
	(1)	Yes, definitely
	(2)	Yes
	(3)	Uncertain
	(4)	No
	(5)	No, definitely
18.	How closely	do the various guidance and personnel services such as counsel-
	ing, school	psychology, nursing, speech therapy, etc., seem to work with
	the faculty	in the school?
	(1)	Very closely
	(2)	Fairly closely
	(3)	Uncertain
	(4)	Not too closely
	(5)	Hardly at all
19.	Do you feel	that guidance personnel contribute materially to the education
	of all or n	early all children in your school?
	(1)	Yes, definitely
	(2)	Yes
	(3)	Uncertain
	(4)	No
	(5)	No, definitely

.5		
E METOCOLO		- 15 -
demonstration of the state of t	20.	As compared to six months ago, how much use are you making of guidance
T		services available to you?
		(1) Much more
T		(2) More
E		(3) Seme
T		(4) Less
L		(5) Much less
T	21.	With respect to the number of guidance workers available to you, should
L		(1) More be added
T		(2) The same number be kept, or
L		(3) Guidance staff be reduced?
T	22.	To what extent could teachers effectively carry out functions now assigned
		to guidance personnel, assuming they were given adequate time to do so?
T		(1) Very much so
		(2) Much
T		(3) Some
		(4) Little
T		(5) Not at all
	23.	The competence of guidance personnel associated with this school is
7		(1) Very high
		(2) High
		(3) Uncertain
		(4) Low
a <u>f</u> g		(5) Very low
2000 - 100 -		
70		
NEC		

The second secon

24.	In this question, please place a 1 next to the guidance function you										
	consider most important in the following list, a 2 next to the function										
	you would rank second in importance and a 3 next to the function you										
	would rank third.										
	(1) Testing										
	(2) Placement of children in appropriate classes										
	(3) Providing relevant information to teachers										
	(4) Conferencing with parents										
	(5) Student activities										
	(6) Educational-vocational guidance										
	(7) Assistance with curriculum modification										
	(8) Direct assistance to the administration										
	(9) Assisting students to find jobs and/or obtain scholarships										
	(10) Counseling with students										
	24a. What guidance functions, not included in the above list, do you										
	consider to be important? Please list in the spaces provided.										
	(1)										
	(2)										
25.	If changes in the guidance program of your school were to be made, what										
	would you recommend? Please rank your recommended changes from 1 through 6.										
	(1) Increased work with individual students, including such activi-										
	ties as individual testing, individual counseling and therapy.										
	(2) Increased attention to special groups of children, including										
	work with the retarded, gifted, physically handicapped and										
	emotionally disturbed.										



		(0)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i-E		(3)	Increased work with teachers, including more feedback on
			test results, direct assistance with behavior as disciplin-
15			ing problems and assistance with curriculum modification.
		(4)	Increased work with parents, including parent conferencing,
			parent group dicussions centeres on problem prevention,
ī R			and work with the parents of children having learning diffi-
- Comment			culties.
		(5)	Increased assistance to the administrator(s) of your school,
Brewish			including serving as an administrator when other administra-
17			tors are out of the building, providing supervision for play-
THE REAL PROPERTY.			ground and/or student social activities, and informing parents
3 T			of student misbehavior.
Name of Street		(6)	Increased work with normal children, including work with
7 N			students achieving below their potential, prevention of learn-
NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS			ing difficulties and special attention to children new to the
5.4			school.
Taracana I	26.	Rank the fol	llowing pupil personnel workers in order of their value to
		the classro	om teacher by placing a 1 next to the most helpful, a 2 next
		to the secon	nd most helpful, until all 7 have been ranked.
		(1)	Nurse
П		(2)	School psychologist
_		(3)	Counselor
		(4)	Child Welfare and Attendance Worker
·		(5)	Physician
. 1		(6)	School social worker
لسن		(7)	Speech or hearing specialist



APPENDIX F

ERIC **
Full Text Provided by EBIC

to be completed for each group at the conclusion of each series. District	7 520° I	RTANT: One of t	these forms is		Consu	ltant		
NOTE. When used for teacher group, observe substitutions in parentheses above text. COUNSELOR REACTIONS TO SPECIFIC GROUP GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	to b	e completed for	each group at		Distr	rict		
NOTE. When used for teacher group, observe substitutions in perentheses above text. COUNSELOR REACTIONS TO SPECIFIC GROUP GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)					School	ol		
COUNSELOR REACTIONS TO SPECIFIC GROUP GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)								
COUNSELOR REACTIONS TO SPECIFIC GROUP GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good (5) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (Circle one) Almost that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (2) (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (0wn Pupils) Other Group Member (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (0wn Pupils) Other Group Member (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (2) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (2) (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (5)				_	Group	Number	Teache	<u>r</u>
COUNSELOR REACTIONS TO SPECIFIC GROUP GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Membe (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	grou	p, observe subs	titutions in		(Ciro	ele) Series:	1 2	3
GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Foor Not So Good Fair Very Good (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (Own Pupils) Other (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	pare	ntheses above t	ext.	.i	Date	This Series S	tarted:	
University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Peal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			COUNSELOR REAC	TIONS TO	SPECIFIC	C GROUP		
University of California, Los Angeles 1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Peal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				DECEMBANA	איז סט זער	π		
1. How would you describe rapport in this group? (Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good (5) (teachers) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (2) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (None Child Group Member (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (2) (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (None Child Group Member (2) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1)								
(Circle one) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (teachers) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question vas 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers (7) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			University of	Callion	nia, los .	HIRETCA		
(1) (2) (teachers) 2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (2) (3) (4) (5) (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	1.	How would you d						
2. How much interaction was there among parents in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		(Circle one)	Poor Not	(2)	(3)	(4)	Excell(5)	ent
(Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)					(teachers) in this group?	•	
3. How much hostility was expressed in this group? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	2.	How much intere	ection was then	re among	parents	III CHID Brown.	Quite	A Gree
(Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Parents) (Own Pupils) Other (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		(Circle one)	Almost None (1)	Very (Little 2)	A Fair Amount (3)	A Bit	Deal
4. (Answer only if answer to above question was 3, 4 or 5.) Was this hostility directed primarily towards (Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Dead (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	3.	How much hosti	lity was expre	ssed in	this grou	ıp?	Quite	A Gree
(Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Dead (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		(Circle one)	Almost None (1)	Very (Little [2)	A Fair Amount (3)	A Bit (4)	Deal (5)
(Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Dead (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	١.	(Augreem only i	f answer to ab	ove ques	stion was	3, 4 or 5.)	Was this	
(Circle one) Self Counselor Teachers Own Child Group Member (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	4.	hostility dire	cted primarily	towards	•			
5. Did the group seem to insist that you talk or lecture to them? (Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			Self Coun	selor	(Parents Teachers	Own Child	s) (Grou	у мешье.
(Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			(1) (۷)	(3)	()		
(Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Deal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			to incict	that w	ou talk o	r lecture to t	hem?	
(Circle one) Almost None Very Little A Fair Amount A Bit Dea. (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 6. What is your feeling about outcomes in this group? (Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	5•	Did the group	seem to insist	, onac y			Quite	A Gre
(Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		(Circle one)					A Bit	Deal
(Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair Very Good Excellent (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	6.	What is your i	feeling about (outcomes	in this	group?		
(Circle One) Poor Not So Good Fair (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	J.	_					xcellent	,
7. In a short paragraph, characterize this group and put down your reaction to it. (Use back of sheet or extra paper if necessary.)		•	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.	In a short part to it. (Use)	ragraph, charac back of sheet	cterize or extra	this group paper if	np and put down necessary.)	n your re	action
		, -						

APPENDIX G

Consult	Consultant							
Distric	:t							
Level:	Elem. Jr.Hi. Sr (Circle One)	. H1.						

GENERAL COUNSELOR REACTIONS

GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT University of California, Los Angeles

1. Would you recommend working with parent groups as an effective technique

to other counselors?								
(Circle One)	Definitely No	Yes, But With Many Reservations	Yes, But With Some Reservations	Yes	Enthusiastically <u>Yes</u>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			

2. Would you like to see a program of parent group counseling introduced in your own guidance system (assuming appropriate shifts in load)?

(Circle One)	Definitely <u>No</u>	Yes, But With Many Reservations	Yes, But !!ith Some Reservations	Yes	Enthusiastically Yes
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

3. Do you feel that your work with parent groups had any impact on their children?

(Circle One)	Definitely	Probably	Uncertain	Probably	Definitely
(0000000)	No	No		Yes	Yes
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

3a. If "yes" (4 or 5), please describe some of the kinds of outcomes you believe occurred.

4. In a paragraph or two, please summarize your major reactions to your group counseling experience this year. Use back of sheet or extra paper if necessary.



					APPENDIX H
The second secon		NAM	E: _		GUIDANCE RESEARCH PROJECT: UCLA
1000					QUALITATIVE IMPRESSIONS OF CONSULTANTS
	}	ı.			Process
			ı.		What was the most difficult aspect of the parent courseling sessions for you?
	<i>:</i>				
1 2 2 2 3 W		•		(a)	In what ways did your previous experience in group counseling affect
news States	- ₩ -₩-		٠.	(4)	your performance?
		-			
	Sales Ass			(b)	In what ways did your <u>previous</u> experience in individual counseling affect your performance?
			2		What changes in your own performance and attitudes did you note
	· 4/4		3•		throughout the group sessions?
	-	•			



The state of the s

								2.	
4.	(a)	Comment on t	the value o	f the su	pervisio	n sessions.			
	(b)	Intensity							
	(c)	Comments							
5.		Observations	on parent	attritio	on				:
	(a)	Impressi ons	as to why	parents	dropped	out before	first sessi	ion.	
	(ъ)	Impressions	as to why	parents	dropped	out before	the end of	the first	1. T.
	. ,	series.	·	-					

(c) Impressions	as t	to why	parents	staved	for	two	or more	series.
~	,	GO 4		Pascaro			0-0	<u> </u>	

II. Content

- 1. What were the major topics brought up by parents?
- 2. Did certain parents tend to set the topic for discussion or was there general interest in what was discussed?
- 3. Were the prepared outlines helpful? If so, how?
- 4. In what ways could your own skills in group counseling have been advanced more effectively?



III.		Outcomes	4
	1.	What would you judge to be the value of including such a program as a regular pert of a guidance program at the level on which you worked?	# · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	2.	What were your impressions of the general value of the group sessions to participating parents?	de la constante de la constant
	3•	What were your impressions of the general value of the group sessions to children of participating parents?	In the second se
IV.	1.	Miscellaneous With what aspects of improving your group counseling do you need the most help?	
	2.	Pescribe the reactions of other school personnel (teachers and administrators) to the parent counseling groups.	



APPENDIX I OCC 355.0 STUDENT DATA SUNNARI DATE RECEIVED Init. First. 0 1 2 3 4 (a) 5 6 7 8 9 DE 0 1 2 3 4 (ce) 5 6 7 8 9 ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (04) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ===== ===== ===== ===== (03) ===== ===== ===== ===== ===== 3 ::::: ::::: (%) ::::: ::::: ::::: *::*::: (05) HO3 22222 2222 2222 2222 2222 (08) 22222 10+ (07) GRAD ::::: ::::: ::::: (%) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: DOB 0 1 2 3 4 (10) 5 6 7 8 9 Y N ::::: ::::: (11) ::::: ::::: ::::: 9 PANILI 5 S Į, 6 8 0 1 3 (18) (18) (19) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: 8 9 INTEL 0 2 3 5 6 1 2 4 10th ੱਲ 9 ACRIEV O 1 3 - 5 _ 6 ::::: ::::: 8 9 BENAVE O 1 2 3 4 POST -5 7 8 SUNICT A D F POST С D PFL ENGLISH ::::: ::::: ::::: (49) (48) IANG. ::::: ::::: ::::: (51) ::::: ::::: (50) ::::: ::::: ::::: (53) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (52) Math. SOC-STU ::::: ::::: ::::: (55) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (54) ::::: ::::: (57) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (56) SCI. ::::: ::::: (59) :::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (58) SHOP-HZ ---:: ::::: ::::: (61) ART-MIS ::::: :::.: **** **** **** **** ::::: ::::: 9 ACHIEV O 2 3 ::::: ::::: ::::: (62) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (63) ::::: -----::::: ::::: (65) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (64) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ***** ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (67) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (66) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::-:: ::::: (69) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (68) ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: ::::: (71) ::::: :::: .:::: .::::

